arXiv:math/0308202v4 [math.NT] 17 Nov 2008

A motivic conjecture of Milne

Adrian Vasiu, Binghamton University

November 17, 2008

ABSTRACT. Let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p > 0$. Let $W(k)$ be the ring of Witt vectors with coefficients in k. We prove a motivic conjecture of Milne that relates the étale cohomology with $\mathbb{Z}_p$ coefficients to the crystalline cohomology with integral coefficients, in the wider context of p-divisible groups endowed with families of crystalline tensors over a finite, discrete valuation ring extension of $W(k)$. The result extends work of Faltings. As a main new tool we construct global deformations of p-divisible groups endowed with crystalline tensors over certain regular, formally smooth schemes over $W(k)$.

Key words: étale and crystalline cohomologies with integral coefficients, p-divisible groups, affine group schemes, and deformations.

MSC 2000: 11G10, 11G18, 11S25, 14F30, 14G35, 14L05, and 20G25.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Preliminaries 5
3. Global deformations 20
4. Proof of the Main Theorem 33
5. The ramified context 45
References 52

1. Introduction

Let $p \in \mathbb{N}$ be a prime. Let k be a perfect field of characteristic p. Let $W(k)$ be the ring of Witt vectors with coefficients in k. In this paper we study *p-divisible groups* endowed with families of *étale* and *crystalline tensors* over (finite discrete valuation ring extensions of) $W(k)$. We begin by introducing families of tensors.

Let $\mathrm{Spec}(R)$ be an affine scheme. For a finitely generated, projective R-module N, let $N^* := \mathrm{Hom}_R(N, R)$ and let $\boldsymbol{GL}_N$ be the reductive group scheme over $\mathrm{Spec}(R)$ of automorphisms of N. For $s \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, let $N^{\otimes s} := N \otimes_R \cdots \otimes_R N$, the number of copies of N being s. By the *essential tensor algebra* of N we mean

$$\mathcal{T}(N) := \oplus_{s,t \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}} N^{\otimes s} \otimes_R N^{*\otimes t}.$$

Let $x_R \in R$ be a non-divisor of 0. A family of tensors of $\mathcal{T}(N[\frac{1}{x_R}]) = \mathcal{T}(N)[\frac{1}{x_R}]$ is denoted $(w_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}}$, with $\mathcal{J}$ as the set of indices. The scalar extensions of w_α are also denoted by w_α. Let N_1 be another finitely generated, projective R-module. Let $(w_{1,\alpha})_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}}$ be a family of tensors of $\mathcal{T}(N_1[\frac{1}{x_R}])$ indexed by the same set $\mathcal{J}$. By an isomorphism

$$f : (N, (w_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} (N_1, (w_{1,\alpha})_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$$

we mean an R-linear isomorphism $f : N \xrightarrow{\sim} N_1$ that extends naturally to an $R[\frac{1}{x_R}]$-linear isomorphism $\mathcal{T}(N[\frac{1}{x_R}]) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{T}(N_1[\frac{1}{x_R}])$ that takes w_α to $w_{1,\alpha}$ for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}$. If E is a smooth, closed subgroup scheme of $\boldsymbol{GL}_N$, let $\mathrm{Lie}(E)$ be its Lie algebra over R.

If $\dagger$ is a $\mathrm{Spec}(R)$-scheme (or a morphism of $\mathrm{Spec}(R)$-schemes or a p-divisible group over $\mathrm{Spec}(R)$) and if $\mathrm{Spec}(\tilde{R}) \to \mathrm{Spec}(R)$ is an affine morphism, we define $\dagger_{\tilde{R}} := \dagger \times_{\mathrm{Spec}(R)} \mathrm{Spec}(\tilde{R})$. Similarly we define $\dagger_{\tilde{R}}$ (resp. $\dagger_{*,\tilde{R}}$), starting from $\dagger_R$ (resp. $\dagger_*$ with $*$ an index).

Let $\sigma := \sigma_k$ be the Frobenius automorphism of $W(k)$ and $B(k)$ induced from k. We fix an algebraic closure $\overline{B(k)}$ of $B(k)$. For K a subfield of $\overline{B(k)}$ that contains $B(k)$, let $\mathrm{Gal}(K) := \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{B(k)}/K)$.

1.1. On p-divisible groups over Spec$(W(k))$ endowed with tensors. Let D be a p-divisible group over $\mathrm{Spec}(W(k))$. Let (M, ϕ) be the contravariant *Dieudonné module* of D_k. Thus M is a free $W(k)$-module whose rank $\mathrm{rk}_{W(k)}(M)$ equals to the height of D and ϕ is a σ-linear endomorphism of M such that we have $pM \subseteq \phi(M)$. Let F^1 be the direct summand of M that is the *Hodge filtration* defined by D. We have $\phi(M + \frac{1}{p}F^1) = M$. The rank of F^1 is the dimension of D_k. We refer to (M, F^1, ϕ) as the *filtered Dieudonné module* of D. Let $(F^i(M))_{i\in\{0,1,2\}}$ be the decreasing, exhaustive, and separated filtration of M defined by $F^2(M) := 0$, $F^1(M) := F^1$, and $F^0(M) := M$. Let $(F^i(M^*))_{i\in\{-1,0,1\}}$ be the decreasing, exhaustive, and separated filtration of M^* defined by $F^{-1}(M^*) = M^*$, $F^0(M^*) := \{x \in M^* | x(F^1) = 0\}$, and $F^1(M^*) = 0$. We endow $\mathcal{T}(M)$ with the *tensor product filtration* $F^i(\mathcal{T}(M))_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$ defined by $(F^i(M))_{i\in\{0,1,2\}}$ and $(F^i(M^*))_{i\in\{-1,0,1\}}$. The decreasing, exhaustive, and separated filtration $F^i(\mathcal{T}(M))_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$ of $\mathcal{T}(M)$ depends only on F^1 and therefore we also call it the *filtration of* $\mathcal{T}(M)$ *defined by* F^1.

For $\ddagger \in M^*[\frac{1}{p}]$ let $\phi(\ddagger) := \sigma \circ \ddagger \circ \phi^{-1} \in M^*[\frac{1}{p}]$. Thus ϕ acts in the usual tensor product way on $\mathcal{T}(M[\frac{1}{p}])$. Under the identification $\mathrm{End}_{W(k)}(M) = M \otimes_{W(k)} M^*$, ϕ maps $\triangle \in \mathrm{End}_{B(k)}(M[\frac{1}{p}])$ to $\phi \circ \triangle \circ \phi^{-1} \in \mathrm{End}_{B(k)}(M[\frac{1}{p}])$. Let $(t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}}$ be a family of tensors of $F^0(\mathcal{T}(M))[\frac{1}{p}] \subseteq \mathcal{T}(M[\frac{1}{p}])$ which are fixed by ϕ; thus $t_\alpha \in \{x \in F^0(\mathcal{T}(M))[\frac{1}{p}] | \phi(x) = x\})$. Let G be the schematic closure in $\boldsymbol{GL}_M$ of the subgroup of $\boldsymbol{GL}_{M[\frac{1}{p}]}$ that fixes t_α for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}$. It is a flat, closed subgroup scheme of $\boldsymbol{GL}_M$ such that we have $\phi(\mathrm{Lie}(G_{B(k)})) = \mathrm{Lie}(G_{B(k)})$. Thus the pair $(\mathrm{Lie}(G_{B(k)}), \phi)$ is a Lie F-subisocrystal of $(\mathrm{End}_{B(k)}(M[\frac{1}{p}]), \phi)$.

Quadruples of the form $(M, \phi, G, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$ play key roles in the study of special fibres of good integral models of *Shimura varieties* of *Hodge type* in mixed characteristic $(0, p)$ (see [LR], [Ko], and [Va1, Sect. 5] for concrete situations with G a reductive group scheme).

Let D^{t} be the Cartier dual of D^{t}. Let $H^1(D) := T_p(D^{\mathrm{t}}_{B(k)})(-1)$ be the dual of the *Tate-module* $T_p(D_{B(k)})$ of $D_{B(k)}$. Thus $H^1(D)$ is a free $\mathbb{Z}_p$-module of rank $\mathrm{rk}_{W(k)}(M)$ and $\mathrm{Gal}(B(k))$ acts on it. We disregard the Galois action whenever we consider $\boldsymbol{GL}_{H^1(D)\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} R}$

or pairs of the form $(H^1(D)\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} R, (w_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$, with R as a $\mathbb{Z}_p$-algebra. Let $v_\alpha \in \mathcal{T}(H^1(D)[\frac{1}{p}])$ be the $\mathrm{Gal}(B(k))$-invariant tensor that corresponds to t_α via *Fontaine comparison theory* (see [Fo3, Subsect. 5.5], [Fa2, Sect. 6], Subsubsection 2.2.2, etc.). Let $G^{\acute{e}t}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ be the schematic closure in $\boldsymbol{GL}_{H^1(D)}$ of the subgroup of $\boldsymbol{GL}_{H^1(D)[\frac{1}{p}]}$ that fixes v_α for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}$. The next definition plays a key role when $p = 2$.

1.1.1. Definition. We say the *property (C)* holds (for (M, ϕ, G)) if there exists no element $h \in G(W(\bar{k}))$ such that the Dieudonné module $(M \otimes_{W(k)} W(\bar{k}), h(\phi\otimes\sigma_{\bar{k}}))$ over $\bar{k}$ has both Newton polygon slopes 0 and 1 with positive multiplicities.

The main goal of this paper is to construct *global deformations* of the pairs $(D, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$ and $(D, (v_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$ and to use them to prove the following Main Theorem.

1.2. Main Theorem. *Suppose that $k = \bar{k}$. If $p = 2$ we also assume that either $G^{\acute{e}t}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ is a torus or the property (C) holds. Then there exists an isomorphism*

$$\rho : (M, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} (H^1(D) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(k), (v_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}}).$$

1.3. Corollary. *If $p = 2$, we assume that either $G^{\acute{e}t}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ is a torus or the property (C) holds.*

(a) *Then the pulls back to Spec$(W(\bar{k}))$ of G and $G^{\acute{e}t}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ are isomorphic. In particular, G is smooth (resp. reductive) if and only if $G^{\acute{e}t}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ is smooth (resp. reductive).*

(b) *Let $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}$. Then the tensor t_α belongs to $\mathcal{T}(M)$ (resp. to $\mathcal{T}(M) \setminus p\mathcal{T}(M)$) if and only if the tensor v_α belongs to $\mathcal{T}(H^1(D))$ (resp. to $\mathcal{T}(H^1(D)) \setminus p\mathcal{T}(H^1(D))$).*

Let $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. If $t \in F^i(\mathcal{T}(M))[\frac{1}{p}]$ is such that $\phi^i(t) = p^i t$, then Fontaine comparison theory associates to t an étale Tate-cycle $v \in \mathcal{T}(H^1(D)[\frac{1}{p}])$ whose $\mathbb{Z}_p$-span is a $\mathrm{Gal}(B(k))$-module isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_p(-i)$. Strictly speaking v is unique up to i-th powers of units of $\mathbb{Z}_p$ i.e., up to a choice of a generator β_0 of a suitable free $\mathbb{Z}_p$-submodule of $B^+(W(k))$ of rank 1 (see Subsection 2.2 for the integral crystalline *Fontaine ring* $B^+(W(k))$ and for its $\mathbb{Z}_p$-submodule $\mathbb{Z}_p\beta_0$). We have the following equivalent form of the Main Theorem which involves also *Tate-twists*, in which all étale Tate-cycles are defined using a fixed generator β_0 (see Subsubsection 2.2.2), and which is proved in Subsection 4.4.

1.4. Corollary. *We assume that $k = \bar{k}$. If $p = 2$ we also assume that either $G^{\acute{e}t}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ is a torus or the property (C) holds. Let $(t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}_{\mathrm{twist}}}$ be the family of all tensors in the set $\{x \in F^i(\mathcal{T}(M))[\frac{1}{p}] | \phi(x) = p^i x\}$. Let $\mathcal{W}$ be the family of all direct summands W of the $W(k)$-module $\mathcal{T}(M)$ which have finite ranks and for which we have an equality $W = \sum_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{p^i}\phi(W \cap F^i(\mathcal{T}(M)))$. For $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}_{\mathrm{twist}}$ (resp. for $W \in \mathcal{W}$) let v_α (resp. $W^{\acute{e}t}$) be the tensor of $\mathcal{T}(H^1(D))[\frac{1}{p}]$ (resp. the direct summand of $\mathcal{T}(H^1(D))$) that corresponds to t_α (resp. to W) via Fontaine comparison theory. Then there exists an isomorphism ρ_{twist} : $(M, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}_{\mathrm{twist}}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} (H^1(D) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(k), (v_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}_{\mathrm{twist}}})$ with the property that the isomorphism $\mathcal{T}(M) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{T}(H^1(D) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(k))$ induced by ρ maps each $W \in \mathcal{W}$ onto $W^{\acute{e}t} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(k)$.*

The existence of $\rho : (M, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} (H^1(D) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(k), (v_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$ was conjectured by Milne if $G^{\acute{e}t}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ is a reductive group scheme over $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$, D is the p-divisible group of an *abelian scheme* A over $\mathrm{Spec}(W(k))$, and each t_α and v_α are the de Rham component and the p-component of the étale component (respectively) of a *Hodge cycle* on $A_{B(k)}$ (see [De2, Sect. 2] for Hodge cycles). References to *Milne's conjecture* in the context of abelian schemes can be found in [Mi3, property (4.16.3) and Subsect. 4.23], an unpublished manuscript of Milne dated Aug. 1995 which worked with $p >> 0$ (see also [Mi4]), [Va1, Rm. 5.6.5 and Conj. 5.6.6], [Va5], and [Va6]. In fact the conjecture [Va1, Conj. 5.6.6] is a slight restatement of Milne's conjecture of 1995. In [Va5] and [Va6] we proved [Va1, Conj. 5.6.6] in many cases. The methods used in [Va5] and [Va6] in connection to Milne's conjecture are very short and simple and of purely reductive group scheme theoretical nature. These methods can be viewed as refinements of [Ko, Lem. 7.2] and can be used to regain the Main Theorem only if the following two conditions hold:

– $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}^{\acute{e}t}$ and G are reductive group schemes and G is generated by cocharacters of G that act on M via the trivial and the identical character of $\mathbb{G}_m$;

– the maximal torus of the center of G is the maximal torus of the center of the double centralizer of G in either $\boldsymbol{GL}_M$ or $\boldsymbol{GSp}(M, \lambda_M)$ (resp. or $\boldsymbol{GSO}(M, \lambda_M)$), where $\lambda_M : M \otimes_{W(k)} M \to W(k)$ is an alternating bilinear form (resp. a symmetric bilinear form which modulo 2 is alternating) normalized by G and defined by an isomorphism $D \xrightarrow{\sim} D^{\mathrm{t}}$.

In Milne's motivic approach to the proof of the *Langlands–Rapoport conjecture* (of [Mi2, Conj. 4.4]) for Shimura varieties of Hodge type, Milne's conjecture plays a key role (see [Mi4], [Va6], and [Va8]). We recall that Shimura varieties of Hodge type are *moduli spaces* of polarized abelian schemes endowed with level structures and with (specializations of) Hodge cycles and, due to this, they are also the main testing ground for many parts of the *Langlands program* (like zeta functions, local correspondences). Thus the importance of Milne's conjecture stems mainly from its meaningful applications to the Langlands program in general and to Shimura varieties in particular. Though for $p \geq 5$, [Va1] and [Va6] combined can suffice for applications to the Langlands–Rapoport conjecture for Shimura varieties of Hodge type, for refined applications one needs the much stronger result of the Main Theorem. In future works we will use the Main Theorem as follows:

(i) To prove the existence of *integral canonical models* in mixed characteristics $(0, 3)$ and $(0, 2)$ of Shimura varieties of abelian type (see [Va1] for definitions and for the analogue result in mixed characteristic $(0, p)$ with $p \geq 5$). See already [Va7].

(ii) To study different stratifications of special fibres of good integral models of Shimura varieties of abelian type (see already [Va3, Sect. 8] and [Va4]).

(iii) To construct a comprehensive theory of *automorphic vector bundles* on integral canonical models of Shimura varieties of abelian type (presently this theory is not complete even for Siegel modular varieties, cf. end of [FC, Ch. VI, p. 238]).

(iv) To get analogues of the Main Theorem and Corollary 1.3 for different *motives* associated to classes of polarized projective schemes whose moduli spaces are related to Shimura varieties (see [An] for such classes; we have in mind mainly the case of H^2_{crys} and H^2_{et} groups of *hyperkähler schemes*).

Corollary 1.3 (b) is implied by Faltings' results [Fa2, Thm. 5 and Cor. 9] if and only if we have $t_\alpha \in \oplus_{s,t \in \{0,\ldots,p-2\}} (M^{\otimes s} \otimes_{W(k)} M^{*\otimes t})[\frac{1}{p}]$.

Both the Main Theorem and the Corollary 1.3 do not hold in general for $p = 2$ (like when D is isogenous but not isomorphic to $\mathbb{Q}_2/\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mu_{2^\infty}$ and G is isomorphic to $\mathbb{G}_m$). Thus the Main Theorem and the different variants of it one can get based on its proof, are in essence the maximum one can prove for $p = 2$.

1.5. On contents. The proof of the Main Theorem involves two steps. The first step shows that it is enough to prove the Main Theorem if G is a torus (see Subsection 3.5) and the second step proves the Main Theorem if G is a torus (see Subsections 4.1 and 4.2).

The first step relies on the existence of global deformations of the pairs $(D, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$ and $(D, (v_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$ over certain regular, formally smooth $\mathrm{Spec}(W(k))$-schemes whose special fibres are connected and have a Zariski dense set of $\bar{k}$-valued points. Based on [Fa1, Thm. 7.1] and on a variant of it for $p = 2$, the existence of such deformations boils down to proving the existence of certain integrable and nilpotent modulo p connections (see Subsection 3.4). See Lemma 3.1.3 and Theorem 3.2 for our basic results which pertain to connections and see Subsection 3.3 for their proofs. Though these global deformations are of interest in their own (for instance, they can be used to solve essentially any specialization problem which pertains to pairs of the form $(D_k, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$), here we will use them only to accomplish the first step.

The second step relies on the *integral version of Fontaine comparison theory* studied in [Fa2, Sect. 4] and it involves a non-trivial reduction to the *Lubin–Tate* case in which $k = \bar{k}$, F^1 has rank 1, the F-isocrystal $(M[\frac{1}{p}], \phi)$ over k is simple, and G is a torus. It is easy to prove Theorem 1.2 in the Lubin–Tate case, cf. Example 4.1.3 and Lemma 4.2.1.

Section 2 gathers prerequisites for Sections 3 to 5. Corollary 1.4 is proved in Subsection 4.4. See Subsections 4.3 and 4.5 and Section 5 for variants and complements to the Main Theorem and Corollary 1.3. Here we only add that any natural variant of the Main Theorem which is over the spectrum of a finite, totally ramified discrete valuation ring extension of $W(k)$ and which involves a setting one expects to be deformable to $\mathrm{Spec}(W(k))$, follows from the Main Theorem and from a refinement of the deformation theory of [Fa2, Ch. 7] (see Subsections 5.2 to 5.4). Analogues of the Main Theorem do not exist in general for those ramified settings that are not deformable to $\mathrm{Spec}(W(k))$, cf. Subsection 5.5.

2. Preliminaries

See Subsection 2.1 for conventions and notations to be used throughout the paper. In Subsections 2.2 and 2.3 we recall some facts which pertain to Fontaine comparison theories and to Faltings–Fontaine categories. In Subsection 2.4 we introduce Artin–Schreier systems of equations. They play a key role in Section 3. In Subsections 2.5 to 2.7 we include few simple group scheme theoretical properties.

2.1. Conventions and notations. If f_1 and f_2 are endomorphisms of a group, we often denote $f_1 \circ f_2$ by $f_1 f_2$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let $W_n(k) := W(k)/p^n W(k)$. Let $R^\wedge$ be the p-adic completion of a commutative, flat $W(k)$-algebra R. If $Y = \mathrm{Spec}(R)$ let $Y^\wedge := \mathrm{Spec}(R^\wedge)$. By a *Frobenius lift* of $R^\wedge$ (resp. of $Y^\wedge$) we mean an endomorphism of $R^\wedge$ (resp. of $Y^\wedge$) that lifts the Frobenius endomorphism of R/pR (resp. of $Y^\wedge_k = Y_k$). If $m_Y : \tilde{Y} \to Y$ is a morphism of $\mathrm{Spec}(W(k))$-schemes, then by its special fibre we mean $\tilde{Y}_k \to Y_k$, by its generic fibre we mean $\tilde{Y}_{B(k)} \to Y_{B(k)}$, and by m_Y modulo p^n we mean $\tilde{Y}_{W_n(k)} \to Y_{W_n(k)}$. If $\mathrm{Spec}(\tilde{R}) \to \mathrm{Spec}(R)$ is an affine morphism between affine, flat $W(k)$-schemes, then whenever we say that it (or the induced $W(k)$-homomorphism $R \to \tilde{R}$) is formally smooth (or étale), both the $W(k)$-algebras R and $\tilde{R}$ are endowed with the p-adic topology. Let $R/pR^{(p)}$ be R/pR but viewed as an R/pR-algebra via the Frobenius endomorphism of R/pR. If $\hat{R}$ is the completion of R with respect to an ideal I of R that contains p and if $\mathrm{Spf}(\hat{R})$ is the corresponding formal scheme, then we identify canonically the $\mathbb{Z}_p$-linear categories of p-divisible groups over $\mathrm{Spec}(\hat{R})$ and respectively over $\mathrm{Spf}(\hat{R})$ (cf. [Me, Ch. II, Lem. 4.16]); thus we will often use the Grothendieck–Messing deformation theory of [Me, Ch. 5] to lift p-divisible groups over $\mathrm{Spec}(R/I)$ to p-divisible groups over $\mathrm{Spec}(\hat{R})$.

Let $\delta(p)$ be the natural divided power structure from characteristic 0 of the ideal (p) of either R or R/p^nR. Let $CRIS(Y_k/\mathrm{Spec}(W(k)))$ be the Berthelot crystalline site of [Be, Ch. III, Sect. 4]. In what follows, it is convenient to call both pairs $(Y_k \hookrightarrow Y_{W_n(k)}, \delta(p))$ and $(Y_k \hookrightarrow Y^\wedge, \delta(p))$ as thickenings, even though only the first pair is a *thickening* (i.e., an *épaississement à puissances diviséss*) in the sense of [Be, Ch. III, Def. 1.1.1]. For *Dieudonné crystals* on $CRIS(Y_k/\mathrm{Spec}(W(k)))$ of either p-divisible groups or finite, flat, commutative group schemes annihilated by a power of p over Y_k, we refer to [BBM, Ch. 3] and [BM, Chs. 2 and 3]. If $\mathcal{D}_{Y_k}$ is a p-divisible group over Y_k and if Φ_R is a fixed Frobenius lift of $R^\wedge$ compatible with σ, then by the *evaluation* (N, Φ_N, ∇_N) of the Dieudonné crystal $\mathbb{D}(\mathcal{D}_{Y_k})$ on $CRIS(Y_k/\mathrm{Spec}(W(k)))$ at the thickening $(Y_k \hookrightarrow Y^\wedge, \delta(p))$ we mean the projective limit indexed by n of the evaluation of $\mathbb{D}(\mathcal{D}_{Y_k})$ at the thickening $(Y_k \hookrightarrow Y_{W_n(k)}, \delta(p))$ of $CRIS(Y_k/\mathrm{Spec}(W(k)))$, the Verschiebung maps being disregarded. Thus N is a projective $R^\wedge$-module, Φ_N is a Φ_R-linear endomorphism of N, and ∇_N is an integrable, nilpotent modulo p connection on N. By the *filtered Dieudonné crystal* of a p-divisible group $\mathcal{D}$ over Y we mean $\mathbb{D}(\mathcal{D}_{Y_k})$ endowed with the Hodge filtration defined by $\mathcal{D}$; thus the evaluation of the mentioned filtered Dieudonné crystal at the thickening $(Y_k \hookrightarrow Y^\wedge, \delta(p))$ is the quadruple $(N, F^1_N, \Phi_N, \nabla_N)$, where $F^1_N \subseteq N$ is the Hodge filtration defined by $\mathcal{D}$. We recall that N/p^nN is the Lie algebra of the universal vector extension of the Cartier dual $\mathcal{D}^{\mathrm{t}}_{Y_{W_n(k)}}$ of $\mathcal{D}_{Y_{W_n(k)}}$, cf. [BM, Cor. 3.2.11] and [Me, Ch. 4].

Let K_A be the field of fractions of a $\mathbb{Z}$-algebra A that is an integral domain. Let $\overline{W(k)}$ be the normalization of $W(k)$ in $\overline{B(k)}$. Let $V(k) := \overline{W(k)}^\wedge$. Let $K(k) := V(k)[\frac{1}{p}]$.

The notations D, (M, ϕ), F^1, $(t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}$, G, $(v_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}$, and $G^{\acute{e}t}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ will be as in Subsection 1.1. Let $\mu : \mathbb{G}_m \to G$ be a cocharacter that produces a direct sum decomposition $M = F^1 \oplus F^0$ such that $\delta \in \mathbb{G}_m(W(k))$ acts through μ on F^i as the multiplication with δ^{-i}, $i \in \{0, 1\}$. For instance, we can take μ to be the factorization through G of the inverse of the *canonical split cocharacter* $\mu_{\mathrm{can}} : \mathbb{G}_m \to \boldsymbol{GL}_M$ of (M, F^1, ϕ) defined in [Wi, p. 512] (the cocharacter μ_{can} fixes each t_α, cf. the functorial aspects of [Wi, p. 513]).

2.2. Fontaine comparison theory. For the review included in this Subsection we refer to [Fo2] and [Fa2, Sect. 2]. Let K be a finite, totally ramified field extension of $B(k)$. Let V be the ring of integers of K i.e., the normalization of $W(k)$ in K. Let $e := [V : W(k)]$. Let π_V be a uniformizer of V. Let X be a free variable. The minimal polynomial $f_e \in W(k)[X]$ of π_V over $W(k)$ is an Eisenstein polynomial. Let S_e be the $W(k)[[X]]$-subalgebra of $B(k)[[X]]$ generated by all $\frac{X^{en}}{n!}$ with $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$; it is the divided power hull of any one of the ideals (X^e), (f_e), or $(p, X^e) = (p, f_e)$ of $W(k)[[X]]$. Let J_e (resp. K_e) be the ideal of S_e generated by all $\frac{f_e^{en}}{n!}$ (resp. by all $\frac{X^{en}}{n!}$) with $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $R_e := S_e^\wedge$. By mapping X to π_V, we get identifications $V = W(k)[X]/(f_e) = S_e/J_e$ and a $W(k)$-epimorphism $e_V : R_e \twoheadrightarrow V$. Let $\widetilde{R}_e$ be the completion of S_e with respect to the decreasing filtration given by its ideals $K_e^{[n]}$, $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. Thus $\widetilde{R}_e = \text{proj.lim.}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} S_e/K_e^{[n]}$. We recall that $K_e^{[0]} := S_e$ and that for $n \geq 1$ the ideal $K_e^{[n]}$ of S_e is generated by all products $\frac{\delta_1^{a_1}}{a_1!} \cdots \frac{\delta_m^{a_m}}{a_m!}$ with $\delta_1, \ldots, \delta_m \in K_e$ and with m, $a_1, \ldots, a_m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ such that we have $a_1 + \cdots + a_m \geq n$. If $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $m \geq n$, then we have $\frac{X^{em}}{m!} \in K_e^{[n]}$. If $p > 2$, then $\widetilde{R}_e$ is also the completion of S_e with respect to its decreasing filtration $(J_e^{[n]})_{n\in\mathbb{N}\cup\{0\}}$; thus for $p > 2$ we have as well a $W(k)$-epimorphism $\tilde{e}_V : \widetilde{R}_e \twoheadrightarrow V$ that takes X to π_V.

The $W(k)$-algebra $\widetilde{R}_e$ (resp. R_e) consists of formal power series $\Sigma_{n \geq 0} a_n X^n$ such that the sequence $([\frac{n}{e}]!a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}\cap\{0\}}$ is formed by elements of $W(k)$ (resp. is formed by elements of $W(k)$ and converges to 0). Let Φ_k be the Frobenius lift of S_e, R_e, or $\widetilde{R}_e$ that is compatible with σ and such that $\Phi_k(X) = X^p$. The sequence $(\frac{[\frac{np}{e}]!}{[\frac{n}{e}]!})_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of integers in $W(k)$ converges to 0 in the p-adic topology and thus we have $\Phi_k(\widetilde{R}_e) \subseteq R_e$. We have $W(k)$-epimorphisms from S_e, R_e, and $\widetilde{R}_e$ onto $W(k)$ defined by the rule $\Sigma_{n\geq 0} a_n X^n \to a_0$.

Let A_k be the perfect integral domain of sequences $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}\cup\{0\}}$ of $V(k)/pV(k)$ which satisfy the identity $x_{n-1} = x_n^p$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The Galois group $\text{Gal}(B(k))$ acts on $V(k)$ and thus also on A_k. The $\text{Gal}(B(k))$-module $\mathbb{Q}_p(1)$ can be identified with sequences $(\eta_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}\cup\{0\}}$ of p-power roots of unity of $V(k)$ which satisfy the identity $\eta_{n-1} = \eta_n^p$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Taking such sequences modulo p, we get a group homomorphism $\gamma_k\colon \mathbb{Q}_p(1) \to \mathbb{G}_m(A_k)$ that respects the Galois actions. For an element $z \in V(k)$, we choose a sequence $(z(n))_{n\in\mathbb{N}\cup\{0\}}$ of elements of $V(k)$ with the properties that $z(0) = z$ and that $z(n-1) = z(n)^p$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Taking this sequence modulo p we obtain an element $\underline{z} \in A_k$, well defined by z up to multiplication with an element of $\gamma_k(\mathbb{Z}_p(1))$. If $x \in V(k)/pV(k)$ let $\tilde{x} \in V(k)$ be a lift of it. As A_k is an integral domain, the ring $W(A_k)$ of Witt vectors with coefficients in A_k is also an integral domain.

Let $s_k\colon W(A_k) \twoheadrightarrow V(k)$ be the $W(k)$-epimorphism defined by the rule

$$s_k((x_0, x_1, \ldots)) = \sum_{n\geq 0} p^n x_n^{(n)},$$

where $(x_{n,m})_{m\in\mathbb{N}\cup\{0\}}$ is the sequence of elements of $V(k)/pV(k)$ that defines $x_n \in A_k$ and where $x_n^{(n)}$ is the p-adic limit in $V(k)$ of the sequence $(\tilde{x}_{n,n+m}^{p^m})_{m\in\mathbb{N}\cup\{0\}}$ (this limit does not depend on the choice of the lifts $\tilde{x}_{n,n+m}$'s). We have $s_k(f(\underline{\pi_V}, 0, 0, \ldots)) = f(\pi_V) = 0$. Let

$$\xi_0 := (\underline{p}, \underline{-1}^p, 0, \ldots) \in W(A_k).$$

We have $s_k(\xi_0) = p - p = 0$. Thus both ξ_0 and $f(\underline{\pi_V}, 0, 0, \ldots)$ belong to $\text{Ker}(s_k)$. Obviously the kernel of s_k modulo p is generated by either ξ_0 modulo p or by $f(\underline{\pi_V}, 0, 0, \ldots)$ modulo p. From the last two sentences we get that either ξ_0 or $f(\underline{\pi_V}, 0, 0, \ldots)$ generates $\text{Ker}(s_k)$.

Let $W^+(A_k)$ be the divided power hull of the ideal $\text{Ker}(s_k)$ of $W(A_k)$ i.e., the $W(A_k)$-subalgebra of the field of fractions of $W(A_k)$ generated by all $\frac{\xi_0^n}{n!}$ with $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$.

Let $B^+(W(k)) := W^+(A_k)^\wedge$ be the integral crystalline Fontaine ring; it is a p-adically complete integral domain that is a $W^+(A_k)$-algebra and thus also a $W(k)$-algebra. The $W(k)$-algebra $B^+(W(k))$ has a decreasing filtration $(F^n(B^+(W(k))))_{n\in\mathbb{N}\cup\{0\}}$ by ideals, where $F^n(B^+(W(k)))$ is the p-adic completion of $\mathrm{Ker}(s_k)^{[n]}$. The group $\mathrm{Gal}(B(k))$ acts on the filtered $W(k)$-algebra $B^+(W(k))$. We denote also by s_k the $W(k)$-epimorphism

$$s_k : B^+(W(k)) \twoheadrightarrow B^+(W(k))/F^1(B^+(W(k))) = V(k).$$

induced naturally by $s_k : W(A_k) \twoheadrightarrow V(k)$.

As A_k is a perfect integral domain, we have a Teichmüller monomorphism $\mathbb{G}_m(A_k) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{G}_m(W(A_k))$. Composing the restriction of γ_k to $\mathbb{Z}_p(1)$ with this Teichmüller monomorphism, we get a homomorphism $t_k : \mathbb{Z}_p(1) \to \mathbb{G}_m(W(A_k))$. Let $v_k : \mathbb{Z}_p(1) \to \mathbb{G}_m(B^+(W(k)))$ be the composite of t_k with the natural monomorphism $\mathbb{G}_m(W(A_k)) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{G}_m(B^+(W(k)))$. The composite $s_k \circ v_k$ is the trivial homomorphism $\mathbb{Z}_p(1) \to \mathbb{G}_m(V(k))$. Thus let

$$\beta_k : \mathbb{Z}_p(1) \to F^1(B^+(W(k)))$$

be the homomorphism obtained by taking log of v_k. Throughout the paper we *fix* a generator β_0 of the free $\mathbb{Z}_p$-module $\mathrm{Im}(\beta_k)$ of rank one. As $\mathrm{Ker}(s_k)$ has a divided power structure, we have $\frac{\beta_0^p}{p} \in B^+(W(k))$. Therefore $B^+(W(k))[\frac{1}{\beta_0}]$ is a $B(k)$-algebra.

There exists a $W(k)$-monomorphism $i_e : R_e \hookrightarrow B^+(W(k))$ defined by the rule: $X \to (\underline{\pi_V}, 0, 0, \dots) \in W(A_k)$. As $\Phi_k(\xi_0) \in pW(A_k)$, the canonical Frobenius lift of $W(A_k)$ extends to a Frobenius lift Φ_k of $B^+(W(k))$ (it makes sense to denote it also by Φ_k, as all $W(k)$-monomorphisms i_e respect Frobenius lifts). We have $\Phi_k \circ \beta_k = p\beta_k$. As $B^+(W(k))/F^n(B^+(W(k)))$ is p-adically complete, the $W(A_k)$-algebras

$$B^{++}(W(k)) := \mathrm{proj.lim.}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} B^+(W(k))/F^n(B^+(W(k)))$$

and

$$\mathrm{proj.lim.}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} W^+(A_k)/F^n(B^+(W(k))) \cap W^+(A_k)$$

are naturally identified. The $W(A_k)$-monomorphism $B^+(W(k)) \hookrightarrow B^{++}(W(k))$ gives birth for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ to an identification

$$F^n(B^+(W(k))) = B^+(W(k)) \cap \mathrm{Ker}(B^{++}(W(k)) \twoheadrightarrow B^+(W(k))/F^n(B^+(W(k)))).$$

2.2.1. Key facts. Let $\bar{\xi}_0 \in A_k$ be the reduction modulo p of $\xi_0 \in W(A_k)$. We have isomorphisms

$$q_k^{(p)} : B^+(W(k))/(F^p(B^+(W(k))) + pB^+(W(k))) = W(A_k)/(\xi_0^p, p) = A_k/(\bar{\xi}_0^p) \xrightarrow{\sim} V(k)/pV(k),$$

where all except the last one are canonical identifications and where the last isomorphism is defined by the epimorphism $A_k \twoheadrightarrow V(k)/pV(k)$ that takes $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}\cup\{0\}} \in A_k$ to x_1 (see [Fa1, Sect. 2, p. 30] and [Fa2, Sect. 4, top of p. 126]). The isomorphism $q_k^{(p)}$ is defined naturally by a $W(k)$-epimorphism

$$q_k : B^+(W(k)) \twoheadrightarrow V(k)/pV(k).$$

We fix a p-th root $p^{\frac{1}{p}}$ of p such that $q_k(\xi_0)$ is $p^{\frac{1}{p}}$ modulo p; thus, if $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}\cup\{0\}} \in A_k$ defines $\underline{p}$, then x_1 is $p^{\frac{1}{p}}$ modulo p. For $i \in \{1, \ldots, p-1\}$, the ideal $q_k(F^i(B^+(W(k))))$ of $V(k)/pV(k)$ is generated by $p^{\frac{i}{p}}$ modulo p. Let $\Phi_{1k} : F^1(B^+(W(k))) \to B^+(W(k))$ be the map defined by the rule: if $x \in F^1(B^+(W(k)))$, then we have an equality $\Phi_k(x) = p\Phi_{1k}(x)$. As $\Phi_k(\xi_0) = (\underline{p}^p, \underline{-1}^{p^2}, 0, \ldots)$, we easily get that $\Phi_k(\xi_0) - p\xi_0^p - p(\underline{-1}^p, 0, \ldots) \in p^2W(A_k)$. As $\frac{\xi_0^p}{p} \in F^p(B^+(W(k)))$ and as $(-1)^p$ and -1 are congruent modulo p, we get that $\Phi_{1k}(\xi_0) - (\underline{-1}^{p^2}, 0, 0, \ldots) \in \mathrm{Ker}(q_k)$. Thus the Frobenius lift Φ_k of $B^+(W(k))$ and the Φ_k-linear map Φ_{1k} become under q_k the Frobenius endomorphism $\bar{\Phi}_k$ of $V(k)/pV(k)$ and respectively the map $\bar{\Phi}_{1k} : p^{\frac{1}{p}}V(k)/pV(k) \to V(k)/pV(k)$ that takes $p^{\frac{1}{p}}x$ modulo p to $(-x)^p$ (equivalently to $-x^p$) modulo p; here $x \in V(k)$. Let

$$\mathbb{D}(B^+(W(k))/pB^+(W(k)))$$

be the reduction of $(B^+(W(k)), F^1(B^+(W(k))), \Phi_k, \Phi_{1k})$ modulo p. Also let

$$\mathbb{D}(V(k)/pV(k)) := (V(k)/pV(k), p^{\frac{1}{p}}V(k)/pV(k), \bar{\Phi}_k, \bar{\Phi}_{1k}).$$

Let $\mathrm{gr}^0 := V(k) = F^0(B^+(W(k)))/F^1(B^+(W(k)))$. The $V(k)$-module

$$\mathrm{gr}^1 := F^1(B^+(W(k)))/F^2(B^+(W(k)))$$

is generated by the image ξ_1 of ξ_0 in gr^1. As gr^1 is torsion free, we get that gr^1 is free of rank 1. The image β_1 of β_0 in gr^1 is $\lambda_1\xi_1$, where $\lambda_1 \in V(k)$ is a $\mathbb{G}_m(V(k))$-multiple of a $p-1$-th root of p (cf. [Fo2, Subsubsects. 5.1.2 and 5.2.4] where the pair (β_0, ξ_0) is denoted as (t, ξ)). This implies that $q_k(\beta_0)$ is $q_k(\xi_0)$ times a $\mathbb{G}_m(V(k)/pV(k))$-multiple of the reduction modulo p of a $p(p-1)$-th root of p. As $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p(p-1)} = \frac{1}{p-1}$, we get that $q_k(\beta_0)$ is the image in $V(k)/pV(k)$ of a $\mathbb{G}_m(V(k))$-multiple of a $p-1$-th root of p.

2.2.2. Applications to D. We use the notations of Subsection 1.1. Let $(F^i(H^1(D)))_{i\in\{0,1\}}$ be the filtration of $H^1(D)$ defined by $F^1(H^1(D)) := 0$ and $F^0(H^1(D)) := H^1(D)$. We endow M with the trivial $\mathrm{Gal}(B(k))$-action.

The Fontaine comparison theory provides us with a $B^+(W(k))$-linear monomorphism

$$i_D : M \otimes_{W(k)} B^+(W(k)) \hookrightarrow H^1(D) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} B^+(W(k)) \tag{1}$$

that respects the tensor product filtrations and the Galois actions. Following [Fa2, Sect. 6], we recall how i_D is constructed. Let $j : \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p \to D_{V(k)}$ be a homomorphism of p-divisible groups over $\mathrm{Spec}(V(k))$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let δ_n^{can} be the natural divided power structure of the ideal $\mathrm{Im}(F^1(B^+(W(k)) + pB^+(W(k)) \to B^+(W(k))/p^nB^+(W(k)))$ of $B^+(W(k))/p^nB^+(W(k))$. By evaluating $\mathbb{D}(j)$ at the thickening $(\mathrm{Spec}(V(k)/pV(k)) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(B^+(W(k))/p^nB^+(W(k))), \delta_n^{\mathrm{can}})$, we get a $B^+(W(k))/p^nB^+(W(k))$-linear map $M/p^nM \otimes_{W_n(k)} B^+(W(k))/p^nB^+(W(k)) \to B^+(W(k))/p^nB^+(W(k))$. Passing to limit $n \to \infty$ we get a $B^+(W(k))$-linear map $M \otimes_{W(k)} B^+(W(k)) \to B^+(W(k))$ i.e., an element of $M^* \otimes_{W(k)} B^+(W(k))$. Varying j we get a $B^+(W(k))$-linear monomorphism $i_D^* : T_p(D_{B(k)}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} B^+(W(k)) \hookrightarrow M^* \otimes_{W(k)} B^+(W(k))$. The dual of i_D^* is i_D.

We check that β_0 annihilates $\mathrm{Coker}(i_D)$ and $\mathrm{Coker}(i_D^*)$. Considering homomorphisms $\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p \to D_{V(k)} \to \mu_{p^\infty}$, it is enough to handle the case when $D = \mu_{p^\infty}^m$, with $m \in \mathbb{N}$. In this case we have $\mathrm{Im}(i_D) = H^1(D) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \beta_0 B^+(W(k))$, cf. the definition of β_k and [BM, Cor. 2.2.4]; thus β_0 annihilates $\mathrm{Coker}(i_D)$. By duality, we get that β_0 annihilates $\mathrm{Coker}(i_D^*)$.

The $B^+(W(k))$-linear monomorphism i_D is strict with respect to filtrations, cf. [Fa2, Thms. 5 and 7] and [Fa2, Ch. 8] (due to the paragraph before Subsubsection 2.2.1, to check this property it is irrelevant if we use i_D or its tensorization over $B^+(W(k))$ with $B^{++}(W(k))$). This strictness property implies that the $V(k)$-linear map

$$j_D : F^0 \otimes_{W(k)} \mathrm{gr}^1 \oplus F^1 \otimes_{W(k)} \mathrm{gr}^0 \hookrightarrow H^1(D) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathrm{gr}^1 \tag{2}$$

defined by i_D at the level of one gradings, is injective. As $\mathrm{Coker}(i_D)$ is annihilated by β_0, $\mathrm{Coker}(j_D)$ is annihilated by the element $\lambda_1 \in V(k)$ of Subsubsection 2.2.1.

The category of crystalline representations of $\mathrm{Gal}(B(k))$ over $\mathbb{Q}_p$ is stable under tensor products and duals, cf. [Fo3, Subsect. 5.5]. This implies that there exists a tensor $v_\alpha \in \mathcal{T}(H^1(D)[\frac{1}{p}])$ that corresponds to t_α via the $B^+(W(k))[\frac{1}{\beta_0}]$-linear isomorphism $\mathcal{T}(M[\frac{1}{p}]) \otimes_{B(k)} B^+(W(k))[\frac{1}{\beta_0}] \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{T}(H^1(D)[\frac{1}{p}]) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} B^+(W(k))[\frac{1}{\beta_0}]$ defined by $i_D[\frac{1}{\beta_0}]$. The tensor v_α is fixed by $\mathrm{Gal}(B(k))$.

Let $\mu_{\mathrm{can}}(\beta_0^{-1})$ be the $B^+(W(k))[\frac{1}{\beta_0}]$-linear automorphism of $M \otimes_{W(k)} B^+(W(k))[\frac{1}{\beta_0}]$ defined by the evaluation of the cocharacter $\mu_{\mathrm{can}} : \mathbb{G}_m \to G$ at β_0^{-1}. If $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $t \in \{x \in F^i(\mathcal{T}(M))[\frac{1}{p}] | \phi(x) = p^i x\}$, then the $B^+(W(k))[\frac{1}{\beta_0}]$-linear isomorphism $\mathcal{T}(M) \otimes_{W(k)} B^+(W(k))[\frac{1}{\beta_0}] \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{T}(H^1(D)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} B^+(W(k))[\frac{1}{\beta_0}]$ defined by $i_D[\frac{1}{\beta_0}] \circ \mu_{\mathrm{can}}(\beta_0^{-1})$ takes t to a tensor $v \in \mathcal{T}(H^1(D))[\frac{1}{p}]$ whose $\mathbb{Z}_p$-span $< v >$ is a $\mathrm{Gal}(B(k))$-module isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_p(-i)$. We say that v corresponds (or is associated) to t via Fontaine comparison theory for D (and via the choice of the generator β_0 of the free $\mathbb{Z}_p$-module $\mathrm{Im}(\beta_k)$).

Let W be a direct summand of the $W(k)$-module $\mathcal{T}(M)$ which has finite rank and for which we have an identity $W = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{p^i} \phi(W \cap F^i(\mathcal{T}(M)))$. Then Fontaine comparison theory show that there exists a unique direct summand $W^{\acute{e}t}$ of $\mathcal{T}(H^1(D))$ such that the $B^+(W(k))[\frac{1}{\beta_0}]$-linear isomorphism $\mathcal{T}(M) \otimes_{W(k)} B^+(W(k))[\frac{1}{\beta_0}] \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{T}(H^1(D)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} B^+(W(k))[\frac{1}{\beta_0}]$ defined by $i_D[\frac{1}{\beta_0}]$ maps $W \otimes_{W(k)} B^+(W(k))[\frac{1}{\beta_0}]$ to $W^{\acute{e}t} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} B^+(W(k))[\frac{1}{\beta_0}]$.

2.2.3. Lemma. *We recall that D is a p-divisible group over Spec$(W(k))$. If $p = 2$, we assume that either D_k or D_k^{t} is connected. Then D is uniquely determined up to isomorphism by its filtered Dieudonné module (M, F^1, ϕ) and the natural homomorphism of $\mathbb{Z}_p$-algebras End$(D)^{\mathrm{opp}}$ = End(D^{t}) = End$(H^1(D)) \to$ End$((M, F^1, \phi))$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof: The classical Dieudonné theory says that D_k is uniquely determined up to isomorphism by (M, ϕ) and that the natural homomorphism $\mathrm{End}(D_k)^{\mathrm{opp}} = \mathrm{End}(D_k^{\mathrm{t}}) \to \mathrm{End}((M, \phi))$ is an isomorphism (see [Dem, Ch. III, Sect. 8, Thm.], [Fo1, Ch. III, p. 128 or p. 153], etc.). Thus for $p > 2$ the Lemma follows from Grothendieck–Messing deformation theory (see also [Fa2, Thm. 5] or Theorem 2.3.4 below). For $p \geq 2$ the Lemma (as well as Proposition 2.2.4 below) can be deduced from [Fo1, Ch. IV, 1.6, p. 186]. As loc. cit. is stated in terms of Honda triples of the form $(M, \phi(\frac{1}{p}F^1), \phi)$, we include here a proof of the Lemma for $p = 2$ that appeals to Subsubsection 2.2.2.

Suppose that $p=2$; thus $p-1=1$. As $\lambda_1 \in 2\mathbb{G}_m(V(k))$, 2 annihilates $\mathrm{Coker}(j_D)$. It suffices to prove the Lemma under the assumption that D_k^{t} is connected. Let D_1 be another 2-divisible group over $\mathrm{Spec}(W(k))$ that has (M,F^1,ϕ) as its filtered Dieudonné module. As 2 annihilates both $\mathrm{Coker}(j_D)$ and $\mathrm{Coker}(j_{D_1})$, via either j_{D_1} or i_{D_1} we can identify $H^1(D_1)$ with a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-submodule of $\frac{1}{2}H^1(D)$ that contains $2H^1(D)$.

We show that the assumption $H^1(D) \neq H^1(D_1)$ leads to a contradiction. We can assume $k=\bar{k}$. Let $D^{\acute{e}t}$ and $D_1^{\acute{e}t}$ be the maximal étale 2-divisible groups that are quotients of D and D_1 (respectively). Their filtered Dieudonné modules are $(M_0,0,\phi)$, where $M_0=\cap_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\phi^n(M)$ is the maximal direct summand of M that is $W(k)$-generated by elements fixed by ϕ. Let $r_0 \in \mathbb{N}\cup\{0\}$ be the rank of M_0. Let $\{a_1,\ldots,a_r\}$ be a $W(k)$-basis for M formed by elements of $F^1\cup F^0$ and such that we have $\phi(a_i)=a_i$ if $i \le r_0$; this makes sense as the canonical split cocharacter $\mu_{\mathrm{can}}:\mathbb{G}_m \to G$ of (M,F^1,ϕ) fixes all elements of M fixed by ϕ (cf. the functorial aspects of [Wi, p. 513]). As $i_{D^{\acute{e}t}}$ and $i_{D_1^{\acute{e}t}}$ are isomorphisms, $H^1(D^{\acute{e}t})=H^1(D_1^{\acute{e}t})$ is a direct summand of both $H^1(D)$ and $H^1(D_1)$. Let $x\in H^1(D)\cap H^1(D_1)$ be such that $\mathbb{Z}_2x\oplus H^1(D^{\acute{e}t})$ is a direct summand of $H^1(D)\cap H^1(D_1)$ and $\mathbb{Z}_2\frac{x}{2}$ is a direct summand of precisely one of the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-modules $H^1(D)$ and $H^1(D_1)$. To fix the ideas we assume that $\frac{x}{2}\in H^1(D)\setminus H^1(D_1)$. As $\frac{x}{2}\beta_0 \in i_D(M\otimes_{W(k)} B^+(W(k)))$, we can write $\frac{x}{2}\beta_0=i_D(\sum_{i=1}^r a_i\otimes\alpha_i)$, where $\alpha_i\in B^+(W(k))$. Let $\alpha_i^0\in\mathrm{gr}^0$ be α_i modulo $F^1(B^+(W(k)))$. As i_D is strict with respect to filtrations, we have $\alpha_i\in F^1(B^+(W(k)))$ for each $i\in\{1,\ldots,r\}$ such that $a_i\in F^0$. One can check that in fact we have $\beta_0\in 2B^+(W(k))$, cf. [Fo1, top of p. 79]. Either from this or from the fact that $\lambda_1\in 2\mathbb{G}_m(V(k))$ we get that the image of $\frac{x}{2}\beta_0 = x\frac{\beta_0}{2}\in H^1(D_1)\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} B^+(W(k))[\frac{1}{2}]$ in $H^1(D_1)\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2}\mathrm{gr}^1[\frac{1}{2}]$ belongs to $H^1(D_1)\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2}\mathrm{gr}^1$ and generates a direct summand which when tensored with k does not belong to $H^1(D^{\acute{e}t})\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2}\mathrm{gr}^1\otimes_{V(k)} k$. Thus there exists $i_0\in\{r_0+1,\ldots,r\}$ such that:

(i) either $a_{i_0}\in F^1$ and $\alpha_{i_0}\in\mathbb{G}_m(B^+(W(k)))$

(ii) or $a_{i_0}\in F^0$ and $j_{D_1}(a_{i_0}\otimes\alpha_{i_0}^0)$ generates a direct summand of $H^1(D_1)\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2}\mathrm{gr}^1$ which when tensored with k does not belong to $H^1(D_1^{\acute{e}t})\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2}\mathrm{gr}^1\otimes_{V(k)} k$.

We first assume that (i) holds. As $\Phi_k(\beta_0)=2\beta_0$, for $n\in\mathbb{N}$ we have $\sum_{i=1}^r\phi^n(a_i)\otimes\Phi_k^n(\alpha_i)=i_D^{-1}(2^n\frac{x}{2}\beta_0)\in 2^nM\otimes_{W(k)}B^+(W(k))$. As $\Phi_k^n(\alpha_{i_0})\in\mathbb{G}_m(B^+(W(k)))$ and as $\{\phi^n(a_1),\ldots,\phi^n(a_r)\}$ is a $B(k)$-basis for $M[\frac{1}{2}]$ formed by elements of $M\setminus 2^{n+1}M$, we get that $\phi^n(a_{i_0})$ is divisible by 2^n. Taking $n>>0$, this implies that (M,ϕ) has Newton polygon slope 1 with positive multiplicity. Thus D_k^{t} is not connected. Contradiction.

We assume that (ii) holds. Due to the property enjoyed by $j_{D_1}(a_{i_0}\otimes\alpha_{i_0}^0)$, the image of $i_{D_1}(a_{i_0}\otimes 1)$ in $H^1(D_1)\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2}k$ does not belong to $H^1(D_1^{\acute{e}t})\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2}k$. This statement holds also if a_{i_0} is replaced by $\phi^n(a_{i_0})$. Taking $n>>0$, we get that (M,ϕ) has Newton polygon slope 0 with multiplicity at least r_0+1. This contradicts the definition of r_0.

Thus $H^1(D)=H^1(D_1)$. Therefore $D=D_1$, cf. a classical theorem of Tate. The standard trick of replacing D by $D\oplus D$ shows that to prove that $\mathrm{End}(H^1(D))$ surjects onto $\mathrm{End}((M,F^1,\phi))$, it suffices to show that each automorphism a of (M,F^1,ϕ) is the image of an automorphism of $H^1(D)$. We identify a via $i_D[\frac{1}{\beta_0}]$ with a Galois automorphism $a^{\acute{e}t}: H^1(D)[\frac{1}{2}]\xrightarrow{\sim} H^1(D)[\frac{1}{2}]$. An argument similar to the one above shows that the assumption $H^1(D)\neq a^{\acute{e}t}(H^1(D))$ leads to a contradiction. Thus $H^1(D)=a^{\acute{e}t}(H^1(D))$ and therefore

a is the image of the automorphism $a^{\acute{e}t}$ of $H^1(D)$. $\square$

2.2.4. Proposition. *Suppose that $p = 2$ and either D_k or D_k^{t} is connected. Then each direct summand $\tilde{F}^1$ of M that lifts $F^1/2F^1$, is the Hodge filtration of a 2-divisible group $\tilde{D}$ over Spec$(W(k))$ that lifts D_k. Moreover, $\tilde{D}$ is unique (up to a unique isomorphism).*

Proof: The divided power structure of the ideal (4) of $W(k)$ is nilpotent modulo (2^n) for all $n \geq 2$. Thus based on Grothendieck–Messing deformation theory, it suffices to show that there exists a unique lift $\tilde{D}_{W_2(k)}$ of D_k to $\mathrm{Spec}(W_2(k))$ whose Hodge filtration is $\tilde{F}^1/4\tilde{F}^1$.

Let d be the product of the dimensions of D_k and D_k^{t}. Let D^{univ} be the universal 2-divisible group over the deformation space $\mathfrak{D}$ of D_k, cf. [Il, Cor. 4.8 (i)]. We view $\mathfrak{D}$ as a scheme naturally identified with $\mathrm{Spec}(W(k)[[x_1, \ldots, x_d]])$ and not as a formal scheme of the form $\mathrm{Spf}(W(k)[[x_1, \ldots, x_d]])$, cf. Subsection 2.1. Let $R^{(d)}$ be the 2-adic completion of the divided power hull of the maximal ideal of $W(k)[[x_1, \ldots, x_d]]$. Let $\delta_2^{(d)}$ be the natural divided power structure of the maximal ideal of $R^{(d)}$. Let $\Phi_{R^{(d)}}$ be the Frobenius lift of $R^{(d)}$ that is compatible with σ and that takes x_i to x_i^2 for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$. The evaluation of the filtered Dieudonné crystal of $D^{\mathrm{univ}}_{R^{(d)}}$ at the thickening $(\mathrm{Spec}(k) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(R^{(d)}), \delta_2^{(d)})$ is defined as in Subsection 2.1 and it is $(M \otimes_{W(k)} R^{(d)}, F^1_{\mathrm{univ}}, \phi \otimes \Phi_{R^{(d)}}, \delta_0)$, where δ_0 is the flat connection on $M \otimes_{W(k)} R^{(d)}$ that annihilates $M \otimes 1$ and where F^1_{univ} is a direct summand of $M \otimes_{W(k)} R^{(d)}$ that lifts $F^1/2F^1$. Let $\mathbb{A}^d_{\mathrm{def}}$ (resp. $\mathbb{A}^d_{\mathrm{lift}}$) be the d dimensional affine space over $\mathrm{Spec}(k)$ that parametrizes lifts of D_k to $\mathrm{Spec}(W_2(k))$ (resp. lifts of $F^1/2F^1$ to direct summands of $M/4M$), the origin corresponding to $D_{W_2(k)}$ (resp. to $F^1/4F^1$).

The existence of F^1_{univ} implies that there exists a morphism $m_{D_k} : \mathbb{A}^d_{\mathrm{def}} \to \mathbb{A}^d_{\mathrm{lift}}$ which on k-valued points takes a lift of D_k to $\mathrm{Spec}(W_2(k))$ to the direct summand of $M/4M$ that is the Hodge filtration of this lift. We know that $m_{D_k}(k)$ is injective, cf. Lemma 2.2.3 and the beginning of this proof. As $m_{D_{\bar{k}}}$ is the pull back of m_{D_k} to a morphism of $\mathrm{Spec}(\bar{k})$-schemes, $m_{D_k}(\bar{k})$ is also injective. Thus the morphism m_{D_k} is quasi-finite, (due to reasons of dimensions) dominant, and generically purely inseparable. In particular, $\mathbb{A}^d_{\mathrm{def}}$ is an open subscheme of the normalization $\mathbb{B}^d_{\mathrm{def}}$ of $\mathbb{A}^d_{\mathrm{lift}}$ in the field of fractions of $\mathbb{A}^d_{\mathrm{def}}$, cf. Zariski Main theorem (see [Ra1, Ch. IV]). We show that the assumption $\mathbb{A}^d_{\mathrm{def}} \neq \mathbb{B}^d_{\mathrm{def}}$ leads to a contradiction. From [Ma, Subsect. (17.H), Thm. 38] we easily get that $\mathbb{B}^d_{\mathrm{def}} \setminus \mathbb{A}^d_{\mathrm{def}}$ is purely of codimension 1 in $\mathbb{B}^d_{\mathrm{def}}$ and thus contains an irreducible divisor of $\mathbb{B}^d_{\mathrm{def}}$. As m_{D_k} is generically purely inseparable, such a divisor is the reduced scheme of the pull back of an irreducible divisor C of $\mathbb{A}^d_{\mathrm{lift}}$. As a polynomial ring over k is a unique factorization domain, C is the principal divisor of a global function f of $\mathbb{A}^d_{\mathrm{lift}}$ that does not belong to k. We get that the polynomial ring over k of global functions of $\mathbb{A}^d_{\mathrm{def}}$ has an invertible element f that does not belong to k. Contradiction. Therefore $\mathbb{A}^d_{\mathrm{def}} = \mathbb{B}^d_{\mathrm{def}}$.

Thus the morphism m_{D_k} is finite. As m_{D_k} is also generically purely inseparable and as $\mathbb{A}^d_{\mathrm{lift}}$ is normal, m_{D_k} is in fact a finite, purely inseparable morphism. As k perfect, this implies that the map $m_{D_k}(k)$ is surjective. As $m_{D_k}(k)$ is also injective, the map $m_{D_k}(k)$ is a bijection. Thus $\tilde{D}_{W_2(k)}$ exists and it is unique. $\square$

2.3. Smooth Faltings–Fontaine theory. Let R_{00} be a smooth $W(k)$-algebra. Let R_0 be a regular, formally smooth R_{00}-algebra. The main examples of interest are ind-étale algebras over R_{00} or different completions of them. We recall that an ind-étale algebra over R_{00} is the direct limit of a functor from a filtered category (see [Mi1, App. A]) to the category of étale R_{00}-algebras. Let R be either R_0 or $R_0^\wedge$. Let Φ_R be a Frobenius lift of $R^\wedge = R_0^\wedge$ that is compatible with σ.

Until Subsubsection 2.3.2 we will assume that R_0 and R_0/pR_0 are integral domains; thus R is also an integral domain. Let $K_{\bar{R}}$ be the maximal field extension of K_R such that the normalization $\bar{R}$ of R in $K_{\bar{R}}$ has the property that $\mathrm{Spec}(\bar{R}[\frac{1}{p}])$ is a pro-étale cover of $\mathrm{Spec}(R[\frac{1}{p}])$. The field extension $K_R \hookrightarrow K_{\bar{R}}$ is a pro-finite Galois extension (see [Gr, Exp. V, Prop. 8.2]) and thus the notations match (i.e., $K_{\bar{R}}$ is the field of fractions of $\bar{R}$). Let $A_{R/pR} := \mathrm{proj.lim.}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \bar{R}/p\bar{R}$, the transition homomorphisms being Frobenius endomorphisms. Using $A_{R/pR}$ as a substitute of A_k, one constructs R-homomorphisms $s_{R/pR} : W(A_{R/pR}) \to \bar{R}^\wedge$ and

$$s_{R/pR} : B^+(R) \to \bar{R}^\wedge$$

which are the analogous to $s_k : W(A_k) \to V(k)$ and $s_k : B^+(W(k)) \to V(k)$ of Subsection 2.2 (if R is a smooth $W(k)$-algebra, then this construction was first performed in [Fa1, Sect. 2]; see [Fa3] for other general forms of it). The $W(k)$-algebra $B^+(R)$ is equipped with a Frobenius lift $\Phi_{R/pR}$, a decreasing and separated filtration $F^n(B^+(R))_{n\in\mathbb{N}\cup\{0\}}$, and a $\mathrm{Gal}(K_{\bar{R}}/K_R)$-action that respects the filtration. Though $A_{R/pR}$, $s_{R/pR}$, and $\Phi_{R/pR}$ depend only on $\bar{R}/p\bar{R}$, they are not always uniquely determined but R/pR. However, to ease and uniformize notations, we will use the lower right index R/pR and not $\bar{R}/p\bar{R}$.

We identify $\overline{W(k)}$ with the normalization of $W(k)$ in $\bar{R}$. We have a canonical $W(k)$-monomorphism $B^+(W(k)) \hookrightarrow B^+(R)$ that respects the Frobenius lifts and the filtrations. In particular, both β_0 and ξ_0 are naturally identified with elements of $F^1(B^+(R))$.

2.3.1. Lemma. (a) *The R-homomorphism $s_{R/pR} : W(A_{R/pR}) \to \bar{R}^\wedge$ is onto.*

(b) *The kernel of the R-epimorphism $s_{R/pR} : W(A_{R/pR}) \twoheadrightarrow \bar{R}^\wedge$ is generated by ξ_0.*

Proof: Part (a) is due in essence to Faltings. Here is a slight modification of his arguments. It is enough to show that $s_{R/pR}$ modulo p is onto and thus that the Frobenius endomorphism of $\bar{R}/p\bar{R}$ is onto. We fix a $2p$-th root $p^{\frac{1}{2p}}$ of p in $V(k)$. Let $y \in \bar{R}$. The $\bar{R}$-algebra $\bar{R}_y := \bar{R}[z]/(z^p - p^{\frac{1}{2}}z - y)$ is finite and after inverting p becomes étale, cf. proof of [Fa3, p. 219, Lem. 5]. The $\bar{R}$-algebra $\bar{R}_y$ has a section $\bar{R}_y \twoheadrightarrow \bar{R}$, cf. the very definition of $\bar{R}$. Thus there exists $s(y) \in \bar{R}$ such that $s(y)^p - p^{\frac{1}{2}}s(y) - y = 0$. A simple calculation shows that $s(y)^p - [p^{\frac{1}{2p}}s(s(y))]^p - y \in p\bar{R}$. Therefore y modulo p has a p-th root in $\bar{R}/p\bar{R}$. Thus $s_{R/pR}$ is onto. The proof of (b) is the same as for the case $R = W(k)$. □

2.3.2. Categories $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[0,1]}(R)$ and $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}(R)$. In this Subsubsection we do not assume that R_0 and R_0/pR_0 are integral domains. Let $d\Phi_R/p : \Omega_{R^\wedge/W(k)} \to \Omega_{R^\wedge/W(k)}$ be the differential of Φ_R divided by p. Let $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[0,1]}(R)$ be the *Faltings–Fontaine $\mathbb{Z}_p$-linear category* defined as follows (see [FL, Sect. 1] and [Wi, Sect. 1] for $R = W(k)$, see [Fa1, Sect. 2] for R smooth, and [Va2, Sect. 2] in general). Its objects are quintuples $(N, F, \Phi_0, \Phi_1, \nabla)$, where N is a finitely generated torsion R-module, F is a direct summand of N, $\Phi_0 : N \to N$ and $\Phi_1 : F \to N$ are Φ_R-linear maps, and $\nabla : N \to N \otimes_R \Omega_{R/W(k)} = N \otimes_R \Omega_{R^\wedge/W(k)}$ is an integrable, nilpotent modulo p connection on N, such that the following five axioms hold:

(a) we have $\Phi_0(x) = p\Phi_1(x)$ for all $x \in F$;

(b) the R-module N is R-generated by $\Phi_0(N) + \Phi_1(F)$;

(c) we have $\nabla \circ \Phi_0(x) = p(\Phi_0 \otimes d\Phi_R/p) \circ \nabla(x)$ for all $x \in N$;

(d) we have $\nabla \circ \Phi_1(x) = (\Phi_0 \otimes d\Phi_R/p) \circ \nabla(x)$ for all $x \in F$;

(e) locally in the Zariski topology of $\mathrm{Spec}(R/pR)$, N is a finite direct sum of R-modules of the form R/p^sR, where $s \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$.

A morphism $f : (N, F, \Phi_0, \Phi_1, \nabla) \to (N', F', \Phi'_0, \Phi'_1, \nabla')$ between two such quintuples is an R-linear map $f_0 : N \to N'$ such that we have an inclusion $f_0(F) \subseteq F'$ and identities $\Phi'_0 \circ f_0 = f_0 \circ \Phi_0$, $\Phi'_1 \circ f_0 = f_0 \circ \Phi_1$, and $\nabla' \circ f_0 = (f_0 \otimes_R 1_{\Omega_{R/W(k)}}) \circ \nabla$. Additions of f_0's and multiplications of f_0's by elements of $\mathbb{Z}_p$ define the $\mathbb{Z}_p$-linear structure of $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[0,1]}(R)$. Due to (e) we have a natural identification $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[0,1]}(R^\wedge) = \mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[0,1]}(R)$. Disregarding the connections and thus axioms (c) and (d), we get the $\mathbb{Z}_p$-linear category $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}(R)$.

2.3.3. The functor $\mathbb{D}$. Let $p - FF(\mathrm{Spec}(R))$ be the $\mathbb{Z}_p$-linear category of finite, flat, commutative group schemes over $\mathrm{Spec}(R)$ of p power order. We recall from [Va2, Sect. 2] that there exists a contravariant $\mathbb{Z}_p$-linear functor

$$\mathbb{D} : p - FF(\mathrm{Spec}(R)) \to \mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[0,1]}(R) = \mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[0,1]}(R_0).$$

Let H be an object of $p - FF(\mathrm{Spec}(R))$. We review from loc. cit. the construction of $\mathbb{D}(H) = (N, F, \Phi_0, \Phi_1, \nabla)$. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that p^n annihilates H. The triple (N, Φ_0, ∇) is part of the evaluation $(N, \Phi_0, \vartheta_0, \nabla)$ of the Dieudonné crystal $\mathbb{D}(H_{R/pR})$ at the thickening $(\mathrm{Spec}(R/pR) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(R/p^nR), \delta(p))$ and this motivates the notation $\mathbb{D}(H)$. The direct summand F^1 of N is the Hodge filtration of N defined by the lift H_{R/p^nR}. To define Φ_1 we can work locally in the Zariski topology of $\mathrm{Spec}(R/pR)$ and thus we can assume that $R = R^\wedge = R_0^\wedge$ and (cf. Raynaud theorem of [BBM, Thm. 3.1.1]) that H is a closed subgroup scheme of an abelian scheme A over $\mathrm{Spec}(R)$. Let $N_A := H^1_{\mathrm{dR}}(A/R) = H^1_{\mathrm{crys}}(A/R)$. Let F_A be the direct summand of N_A that is the Hodge filtration defined by A. Let ∇_A be the Gauss–Manin connection on N_A. Let Φ_{0A} be the Φ_R-linear endomorphism of N_A. The embedding $H \hookrightarrow A$ defines a canonical R-epimorphism $(N_A, F_A, \Phi_{0A}, \nabla_A) \twoheadrightarrow (N, F, \Phi_0, \nabla)$, cf. [BBM, Thm. 3.1.2 and pp. 132–133]. Thus as $\Phi_1 : F \to N$ we can take the natural quotient of the restriction of $\frac{1}{p}\Phi_{0A}$ to F_A.

As the $\mathbb{Z}_p$-linear categories of p-divisible groups over $\mathrm{Spec}(R_0^\wedge)$ and over $\mathrm{Spf}(R_0^\wedge)$ are canonically isomorphic, from [Fa1, Thm. 7.1] we get that:

2.3.4. Theorem. *Suppose that $p > 2$, that the $W(k)$-algebra R_0 is smooth, and that $R = R_0^\wedge$. Then the $\mathbb{Z}_p$-linear functor $\mathbb{D} : p - FF(Spec(R)) \to \mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[0,1]}(R)$ is an antiequivalence of $\mathbb{Z}_p$-linear categories.*

One can use [Fa1, Sect. 2, pp. 31–33] and [Ra2, Thm. 3.3.3] to check that the functor $\mathbb{D}$ of Theorem 2.3.4 is in fact an antiequivalence of abelian categories.

2.3.5. A more general form of (1). In this Subsubsection we assume that R_0 and R_0/pR_0 are integral domains, that $R = R_0^\wedge$, and that there exist elements $z_1, \ldots, z_d \in R$ such that R is a formally étale $W(k)[z_1, \ldots, z_n]$-algebra and the equality $\Phi_R(z_i) = z_i^p$ holds for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, each element of $\mathrm{Ker}(B^+(R)/p^n B^+(R) \to \bar{R}^\wedge/p^n\bar{R}^\wedge)$ is nilpotent. Thus the formally étale assumption and the fact that R is p-adically complete imply that there exists a unique $W(k)$-monomorphism $i_R : R \hookrightarrow B^+(R)$ that lifts the inclusion $R \hookrightarrow \bar{R}^\wedge$ and that takes z_i to $(\underline{z_i}, 0, 0, \ldots) \in W(A_{R/pR}) \hookrightarrow B^+(R)$. Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a p-divisible group over $\mathrm{Spec}(R)$. Let $(N, F_N^1, \Phi_N, \nabla_N)$ be as in Subsection 2.1. As the R-homomorphism $s_{R/pR} : B^+(R) \to \bar{R}^\wedge$ is onto (cf. Lemma 2.3.1 (a)), there exists a $B^+(R)$-linear monomorphism

$$i_{\mathcal{D}} : N \otimes_R B^+(R) \hookrightarrow H^1(\mathcal{D}_{K_R}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} B^+(R) \tag{3}$$

that is constructed and has the same properties as the $B^+(W(k))$-linear monomorphism i_D of Subsubsection 2.2.2. Here (as for $H^1(D)$) $H^1(\mathcal{D}_{K_R})$ is the dual of the Tate-module of $\mathcal{D}_{K_R}$. As the $W(k)$-monomorphism $i_R : R \hookrightarrow W^+(R)$ respects Frobenius lifts, the Frobenius endomorphism of $N \otimes_R B^+(R)$ is $\Phi_N \otimes \Phi_{R/pR}$.

2.4. Artin–Schreier systems of equations. For a matrix z, let $z^{[p]}$ be the matrix obtained by raising the entries of z to their p-powers. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ be variables. Let $x := (x_1, \ldots, x_n)^{\mathrm{t}}$. Let $\mathrm{Spec}(Z_0)$ be an affine $\mathrm{Spec}(k)$-scheme. An *Artin–Schreier system of equations* in n variables over Z_0 is a system

$$x = Bx^{[p]} + C_0, \quad \text{where } B \in M_{n\times n}(Z_0) \text{ and } C_0 \in M_{n\times 1}(Z_0). \tag{4}$$

Let Z_{00} be the finitely generated k-subalgebra of Z_0 generated by the entries of B.

2.4.1. Theorem. (a) *The system (4) defines an étale, affine Spec(Z_0)-scheme Spec(Z_1).*

(b) *Each geometric fibre of the morphism $q_1 :$ Spec$(Z_1) \to$ Spec(Z_0) has a number of geometric points equal to p^m, where $m \in \{0, \ldots, n\}$ depends on the fibre.*

(c) *There exists an open, Zariski dense subscheme $U_{00}^{(1)}$ of Spec(Z_{00}) that depends only on B but not on either the Z_{00}-algebra Z_0 or C_0 and such that if $U_0^{(1)} :=$ Spec$(Z_0) \times_{\mathrm{Spec}(Z_{00})} U_{00}^{(1)}$, then the morphism $U_1^{(1)} := q_1^*(U_0^{(1)}) \to U_0^{(1)}$ is an étale cover.*

(d) *Suppose that Z_0 is noetherian. Let Z_∞ be the inductive limit of $\mathbb{F}_p$-algebras Z_q, $q \in \mathbb{N}$, $q \geq 2$, where each Z_q is the Z_{q-1}-algebra defined by an Artin–Schreier system of equations of the form (4) but with C_0 replaced by some other matrix $C_{q-1} \in M_{n\times 1}(Z_{q-1})$. Then the image of each connected component $\mathcal{C}_\infty$ of Spec(Z_∞) in Spec(Z_0) is an open, Zariski dense subscheme of a connected component of Spec(Z_0).*

(e) *Suppose that Z_0 is a finitely generated k-algebra. Then each point $\mathfrak{p}_\infty$ of Spec(Z_∞) specializes to a point of Spec(Z_∞) whose residue field is algebraic over k.*

Proof: We prove (a). The Z_0-algebra Z_1 is of finite presentation. We have $\Omega_{Z_1/Z_0} = 0$, cf. the shape of the system (4). We check that the criterion of formal étaleness holds for q_1. Let Z be a commutative Z_0-algebra and let I be an ideal of Z of such that $I^2 = 0$. Let $\bar{w}$ be a solution of (4) in Z/I. If $w \in M_{n\times 1}(Z)$ lifts $\bar{w}$, then $Bw^{[p]} + C_0$ does not depend on the choice of w and it is the unique solution of (4) in Z that lifts $\bar{w}$. We conclude that the morphism q_1 is étale, cf. [BLR, Ch. 2, Sect. 2.2, Props. 2 and 6].

To prove (b) we can assume that $Z_0 = k = \bar{k}$. Let $B_1 \in M_{n\times n}(k)$ be such that $B_1^{[p]} = B$. We use induction on $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The case $n = 1$ is trivial. For $n \geq 2$ the passage from $n-1$ to n goes as follows. Let x_0 be a new variable. We introduce the homogeneous system of equations in the variables $x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n$

$$x_0^{p-1}x = Bx^{[p]} + x_0^p C_0, \tag{5}$$

the multiplications with powers of x_0 being scalar multiplications. The system (5) defines a closed subscheme $\mathcal{Y}$ of $\mathbb{P}_k^n$. By repeatedly using the projective dimension theorem (cf. [Ha, Ch. I, Thm. 7.2]), we get that the k-scheme $\mathcal{Y}$ is non-empty. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be the hypersurface of $\mathbb{P}_k^n$ defined by $x_0 = 0$. We first consider the case when the set $(\mathcal{Y} \cap \mathcal{H})(k)$ is empty. In this case we have $\mathrm{Spec}(Z_1) = \mathcal{Y}$ and therefore from (a) we get that $\mathcal{Y}$ is an étale, closed subscheme of $\mathbb{P}_k^n$. Thus the $\mathrm{Spec}(k)$-scheme $\mathcal{Y}$ is finite. The degree of Y is p^n, cf. [Ha, Ch. I, Thm. 7.7]. Thus $\mathrm{Spec}(Z_1) = \mathrm{Spec}(k^{p^n})$; therefore (b) holds in this case.

We consider the case when the set $(\mathcal{Y} \cap \mathcal{H})(k)$ is non-empty. This means that the system of linear equations $B_1 x = 0$ has a non-trivial solution in k. Thus the matrix B_1 is not invertible. Up to a renumbering of x_i's we can assume that we have an identity $B_1^{(n)} = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} d_i B_1^{(i)}$, where $d_i \in k$ and where $B_1^{(i)}$ is the i-th row of B_1. The system (4) is equivalent to the system $\mathcal{X}$ of equations, where we keep the first $n-1$ equations of (4) which involve $x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}$ on the left hand side and where we replace the last equation of (4) which involves x_n on the left hand side by the following linear equation

$$x_n + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} d_i^p x_i = c_n + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} c_i d_i^p, \tag{6}$$

where $(c_1, \ldots, c_n) := C_0^{\mathrm{t}}$. Equation (6) allows us to eliminate the variable x_n in $\mathcal{X}$ (and thus also in (4)). By performing this elimination we come across an Artin–Schreier system of equations in $n-1$ variables over k of the form $\tilde{x} = \tilde{B}\tilde{x}^{[p]} + \tilde{C}_0$, where $\tilde{x} := (x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1})^{\mathrm{t}}$. The entries of $\tilde{B} \in M_{n-1\times n-1}(k)$ depend on B but not on C_0. By induction we have $Z_1 = k^{p^m}$ for some $m \in \{0, \ldots, n-1\}$. This proves (b).

To prove (c), let $\mathrm{Spec}(W_{00})$ be the étale group scheme over $\mathrm{Spec}(Z_{00})$ defined by the addition of solutions of the Artin–Schreier system of equations $y = By^{[p]}$, where $y := (y_1, \ldots, y_n)^{\mathrm{t}}$. Let $U_{00}^{(1)}$ be the maximal open subscheme of $\mathrm{Spec}(Z_{00})$ such that the morphism $\mathrm{Spec}(W_{00}) \times_{\mathrm{Spec}(Z_{00})} U_{00}^{(1)} \to U_{00}^{(1)}$ is an étale cover. As the fibres of q_1 are non-empty (cf. (b)), the product rule $(y, x) \to y + x$ makes $\mathrm{Spec}(Z_1)$ to be a left torsor of the affine group scheme $\mathrm{Spec}(W_{00}) \times_{\mathrm{Spec}(Z_{00})} \mathrm{Spec}(Z_0)$ over $\mathrm{Spec}(Z_0)$. Thus $U_1^{(1)}$ is an étale cover of $U_0^{(1)}$ i.e., (c) holds.

To prove (d) and (e) we can assume that $\mathrm{Spec}(Z_0)$ is reduced and connected. We prove (d). For $q \in \mathbb{N}$ let $U_q^{(1)} := \mathrm{Spec}(Z_q) \times_{\mathrm{Spec}(Z_{00})} U_{00}^{(1)}$. As $U_q^{(1)}$ is an étale cover of $U_{q-1}^{(1)}$ (cf. (c)), the pull back $U_\infty^{(1)}$ of $U_{00}^{(1)}$ to $\mathrm{Spec}(Z_\infty)$ is a pro-étale cover of $U_0^{(1)}$. By induction on $\dim(\mathrm{Spec}(Z_{00}))$ we define a stratification of $\mathrm{Spec}(Z_{00})$ in reduced, locally closed subschemes $U_{00}^{(1)}, \ldots, U_{00}^{(s)}$ such that the following property holds:

(*) for each $i \in \{2, \ldots, s\}$ the scheme $U_{00}^{(i)}$ is the maximal open subscheme of the reduced scheme of $\mathrm{Spec}(Z_{00}) \setminus (\cup_{j=1}^{i-1} U_{00}^{(j)})$ which has the property that the morphism $\mathrm{Spec}(W_{00}) \times_{\mathrm{Spec}(Z_{00})} U_{00}^{(i)} \to U_{00}^{(i)}$ is an étale cover.

As above (for the case $i = 1$) we argue that for $i \in \{1, \ldots, s\}$ the following scheme $U_{\infty}^{(i)} := \mathrm{Spec}(Z_{\infty}) \times_{\mathrm{Spec}(Z_{00})} U_{00}^{(i)}$ is a pro-étale cover of $U_0^{(i)} := \mathrm{Spec}(Z_0) \times_{\mathrm{Spec}(Z_{00})} U_{00}^{(i)}$. The going up (resp. down) property holds for pro-étale covers (resp. pro-étale morphisms), cf. [Ma, Subsect. (5.E), Thm. 5] (resp. [Ma, Subsect. (5.D), Thm. 4]). We apply this to the pro-étale covers $U_{\infty}^{(i)} \to U_0^{(i)}$ and to the pro-étale morphism $\mathrm{Spec}(Z_{\infty}) \to \mathrm{Spec}(Z_0)$. We get that the image $\mathcal{C}_0$ of $\mathcal{C}_{\infty}$ in $\mathrm{Spec}(Z_0)$ is the complement in $\mathrm{Spec}(Z_0)$ of the union of the schematic closures in $\mathrm{Spec}(Z_0)$ of those connected components of some $U_0^{(i)}$ with $i \in \{2, \ldots, s\}$ that do not intersect $\mathcal{C}_0$. As $\mathrm{Spec}(Z_0)$ is noetherian, this union is finite and thus $\mathcal{C}_0$ is an open, Zariski dense subscheme of $\mathrm{Spec}(Z_0)$.

We prove (e). Let I_{∞} be the prime ideal of $\mathrm{Spec}(Z_{\infty})$ that defines $\mathfrak{p}_{\infty}$. To prove (e), for $* \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0, \infty\}$ we can replace Z_* with $Z_*/(I_{\infty} \cap Z_0)Z_*$. Thus we can assume that $I_{\infty} \cap Z_0 = 0$ and that Z_0 is an integral domain. By localizing we can also assume that $U_{00}^{(1)}$ is $\mathrm{Spec}(Z_{00})$. The morphism $\mathrm{Spec}(Z_{\infty}/I_{\infty}) \to \mathrm{Spec}(Z_0)$ is an étale cover and thus surjective. As $\mathrm{Spec}(Z_0)$ has points whose residue fields are algebraic over k and due to the going down property, we get that $\mathfrak{p}_{\infty}$ specializes to points of $\mathrm{Spec}(Z_{\infty})$ whose residue fields are algebraic over k. $\square$

2.4.2. Remark. The case $C_0 = 0$ (i.e., the Z_{00}-algebra W_{00}) is studied systematically for the first time in [Ly], using a language of F-modules. We came across Artin–Schreier systems of equations independently in 1998 in connection to formula (12) below.

2.5. Simple group properties. Until the end we use the notations of Subsection 1.1. Let $S \in \{W(k), B^+(W(k))\}$. Let $x_S \in S$ be p if $S = W(k)$ and be β_0 if $S = B^+(W(k))$. Let $(t_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in \tilde{\mathfrak{J}}}$ be another family of tensors of $F^0(\mathcal{T}(M))[\frac{1}{p}]$ fixed by ϕ and such that $G_{B(k)}$ is also the subgroup of $\boldsymbol{GL}_{M[\frac{1}{p}]}$ that fixes t_{α} for all $\alpha \in \tilde{\mathfrak{J}}$. For $\alpha \in \tilde{\mathfrak{J}}$ let $v_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{T}(H^1(D)[\frac{1}{p}])$ be such that $i_D[\frac{1}{\beta_0}]$ maps t_{α} to v_{α}, cf. Subsubsection 2.2.2.

2.5.1. Fact. (a) *There exists an isomorphism* $\tilde{\rho}_S : (M \otimes_{W(k)} S, (t_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in \tilde{\mathfrak{J}}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} (H^1(D) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} S, (t_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in \tilde{\mathfrak{J}}})$ *if and only if there exists an isomorphism* $\rho_S : (M \otimes_{W(k)} S, (t_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{J}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} (H^1(D) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} S, (t_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{J}})$.

(b) *Suppose that there exists an isomorphism* $i_S : (M \otimes_{W(k)} S[\frac{1}{x_S}], (t_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{J}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} (H^1(D) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} S[\frac{1}{x_S}], (t_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{J}})$. *Then there exists an isomorphism* $\rho_S : (M \otimes_{W(k)} S, (t_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{J}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} (H^1(D) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} S, (t_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{J}})$ *if and only if there exists an element* $h \in G(S[\frac{1}{x_S}])$ *that takes the* S*-submodule* $i_S^{-1}(H^1(D) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} S)$ *of* $M \otimes_{W(k)} S[\frac{1}{x_S}]$ *onto* $M \otimes_{W(k)} S$.

Proof: We prove (a). If $\tilde{\rho}_S$ exists, then its tensorization with $B^+(W(k))[\frac{1}{\beta_0}]$ is of the form $i_D[\frac{1}{\beta_0}] \circ h$, where $h \in \boldsymbol{GL}_M(B^+(W(k))[\frac{1}{\beta_0}])$ fixes t_{α} for all $\alpha \in \tilde{\mathfrak{J}}$. Thus $h \in G(B^+(W(k))[\frac{1}{\beta_0}])$ and therefore $\tilde{\rho}_S$ takes t_{α} to v_{α} for all $\alpha \in \mathfrak{J}$. Thus we can take ρ_S to be defined by $\tilde{\rho}_S$. Similarly, if ρ_S exists, then we can take $\tilde{\rho}_S$ to be defined by ρ_S.

Part (b) is an elementary exercise: one regains each element of the set $\{\rho_S, h\}$ from the other element via the identity $\rho_S[\frac{1}{x_S}] = i_S \circ h^{-1}$. $\square$

Let $\sigma_\phi := \phi\mu(p)$. It is a σ-linear automorphism of M that normalizes $\mathrm{Lie}(G_{B(k)}) \cap \mathrm{End}_{W(k)}(M)$. Until Subsection 2.6 we assume $k = \bar{k}$. Thus $M_{\mathbb{Z}_p} := \{x \in M | \sigma_\phi(x) = x\}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_p$-structure of M. As $\mu(p)$ and ϕ fix t_α, we have $\sigma_\phi(t_\alpha) = t_\alpha$. Thus we have $t_\alpha \in \mathcal{T}(M_{\mathbb{Z}_p}[\frac{1}{p}])$ for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}$. Thus G is the pull back to $\mathrm{Spec}(W(k))$ of a flat, closed subgroup scheme $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ of $\boldsymbol{GL}_{M_{\mathbb{Z}_p}}$. If G is smooth, then $\mathrm{Lie}(G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}) = \{x \in \mathrm{Lie}(G) | \sigma_\phi(x) = x\}$.

2.5.2. Lemma. **(a)** *Suppose that G is smooth and G_k is connected. Then there exists an isomorphism $\rho_{\mathbb{Z}_p} : (M_{\mathbb{Z}_p}, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} (H^1(D), (v_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$ if and only if there exists an isomorphism $\rho : (M, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} (H^1(D) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(k), (v_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$.*

(b) *Suppose that G is smooth. Then there exists an isomorphism ρ as in (a) if and only if there exists an isomorphism $\rho_{B^+(W(k))} : (M \otimes_{W(k)} B^+(W(k)), (t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} (H^1(D) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} B^+(W(k)), (v_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$.*

Proof: To prove the Lemma we can assume that we have $t_\alpha \in \mathcal{T}(M)$ and $v_\alpha \in \mathcal{T}(H^1(D))$ for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}$. Let Y_0 be the affine $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$-scheme that parametrizes isomorphisms between $(M_{\mathbb{Z}_p}, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$ and $(H^1(D), (v_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$. Let Y be the schematic closure of $Y_{0\mathbb{Q}_p}$ in Y_0. The group scheme $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ acts on Y from the left. The only if part of (a) is trivial. We prove the if part of (a). As ρ exists, $Y_{W(k)}$ is the trivial torsor of G. Thus Y is a torsor of $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ in the flat topology and therefore it is a smooth $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$-scheme of finite type. Thus Y has points with values in finite fields. As $G_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ is connected, Y has $\mathbb{F}_p$-valued points (cf. Lang's theorem). Thus Y has $\mathbb{Z}_p$-valued points i.e., $\rho_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ exists. This proves (a). The proof of (b) is similar, starting from the fact that each torsor of G in the flat topology of $\mathrm{Spec}(W(k))$ has k-valued points and therefore (as G is smooth) it also has $W(k)$-valued points. $\square$

2.5.3. Lemma. *We recall that $k = \bar{k}$. Let $(M_{\mathbb{Z}_p}, G_{\mathbb{Z}_p})$ and $\sigma_\phi = \phi\mu(\frac{1}{p})$ be as above. Let $\tilde{G}$ be a flat, closed subgroup scheme of G such that the following three properties hold:*

(i) *the cocharacter $\mu : \mathbb{G}_m \to G$ factors through $\tilde{G}$;*

(ii) *we have $\phi(\mathrm{Lie}(\tilde{G}_{B(k)})) = \mathrm{Lie}(\tilde{G}_{B(k)})$;*

(iii) *the generic fibre $\tilde{G}_{B(k)}$ is connected.*

Then there exists a unique closed, flat subgroup scheme $\tilde{G}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ of $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ whose pull back to $\mathrm{Spec}(W(k))$ is $\tilde{G}$. If $\tilde{G}_{B(k)}$ is a reductive group, then the generic fibre $\tilde{G}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ of $\tilde{G}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ is also a reductive group and therefore there exists a set $\tilde{\mathcal{J}}$ that contains $\mathcal{J}$ and such that there exist a family of tensors $(t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\tilde{\mathcal{J}}}$ of $\mathcal{T}(M_{\mathbb{Z}_p}[\frac{1}{p}])$ with the property that $\tilde{G}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is the subgroup of $\boldsymbol{GL}_{M_{\mathbb{Z}_p}[\frac{1}{p}]}$ which fixes t_α for all $\alpha \in \tilde{\mathcal{J}}$.

Proof: We know that σ_ϕ normalizes $\mathrm{Lie}(\tilde{G}_{B(k)})$, cf. properties (i) and (ii). The following Lie algebra $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} := \{x \in \mathrm{Lie}(\tilde{G}_{B(k)}) | \sigma_\phi(x) = x\}$ over $\mathbb{Q}_p$ is the unique Lie subalgebra of $\mathrm{Lie}(G_{\mathbb{Q}_p})$ such that we have $\mathrm{Lie}(\tilde{G}_{B(k)}) = \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} B(k)$. We check that there exists a unique connected subgroup $\tilde{G}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ whose Lie algebra is $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$. The uniqueness part is implied by [Bo, Ch. II, Subsect. 7.1]. To check the existence part, we consider commutative $\mathbb{Q}_p$-algebras $\tilde{A}$ such that there exists a closed, flat subgroup scheme $\tilde{G}_{\tilde{A}}$ of $G_{\tilde{A}}$ whose Lie algebra is $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \tilde{A}$. For instance, $\tilde{A}$ can be $B(k)$ itself and thus we can assume $\tilde{A}$ is a finitely generated $\mathbb{Q}_p$-subalgebra of $B(k)$. By replacing $\tilde{A}$ with its quotient through a maximal ideal, we can assume $\tilde{A}$ is a finite field extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$. Even more, we can assume that $\tilde{A}$ is a finite Galois extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ and that $\tilde{G}_{\tilde{A}}$ is connected. As $\mathrm{Lie}(\tilde{G}_{\tilde{A}}) = \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \tilde{A}$, from [Bo, Ch. II, Subsect. 7.1] we get that the natural action of the Galois group $\mathrm{Gal}(\tilde{A}/\mathbb{Q}_p)$ on $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \tilde{A}$ is defined naturally by a natural action of $\mathrm{Gal}(\tilde{A}/\mathbb{Q}_p)$ on $\tilde{G}_{\tilde{A}}$. This last action is free. As $\tilde{G}_{\tilde{A}}$ is an affine scheme, the quotient $\tilde{G}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ of $\tilde{G}_{\tilde{A}}$ by $\mathrm{Gal}(\tilde{A}/\mathbb{Q}_p)$ exists (cf. [BLR, Ch. 6, Sect. 6.1, Thm. 5]) and it has all the desired properties.

From [Bo, Ch. II, Subsect. 7.1] and the property (iii) we get that our notations match i.e., the pull back of the connected group $\tilde{G}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ to $\mathrm{Spec}(B(k))$ has the same Lie algebra as $\tilde{G}_{B(k)}$ and therefore it is $\tilde{G}_{B(k)}$. Let $\tilde{G}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ be the schematic closure of $\tilde{G}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ in $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$; it is the unique closed, flat subgroup scheme of $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ whose pull back to $\mathrm{Spec}(W(k))$ is $\tilde{G}$. Obviously $\tilde{G}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is reductive if and only if $\tilde{G}_{B(k)}$ is so. The last part of the Lemma that pertains to the set $\tilde{J}$ follows from [De2, Prop. 3.1 c)]. $\square$

2.6. The smoothening G' of G. We recall from [BLR, Ch. 7, Sect. 7.1, Thm. 5] that there exists a unique smooth group scheme G' over $\mathrm{Spec}(W(k))$ which is equipped with a homomorphism $i_G : G' \to G$ and for which the following two properties hold:

(i) the generic fibre of i_G is an isomorphism, and

(ii) if Y is an arbitrary smooth $\mathrm{Spec}(W(k))$-scheme, then each morphism $Y \to G$ of $\mathrm{Spec}(W(k))$-schemes factors uniquely through i_G.

See [BLR, Ch. 3, Sect. 3.2] for dilatations. As i_G is obtained using a sequence of dilatations centered on special fibres (see [BLR, Ch. 7, Sect. 7.1, pp. 174–175]), the scheme G' is affine. We denote also by $\mu : \mathbb{G}_m \to G'$ the factorization through G' of the cocharacter $\mu : \mathbb{G}_m \to G$ of the end of Subsection 2.1, cf. (ii). From (ii) we get that:

(iii) each smooth, closed subgroup scheme U of G is naturally a closed subgroup scheme of G'.

We identify $\mathrm{Lie}(G')$ with a $W(k)$-lattice of $\mathrm{Lie}(G_{B(k)}) = \mathrm{Lie}(G'_{B(k)})$ contained in $\mathrm{End}_{W(k)}(M)$. Let G'^0_k be the identity component of G'_k. Let $G(W(\bar{k}))^0$ be the subgroup of $G(W(\bar{k})) = G'(W(\bar{k}))$ formed by $W(\bar{k})$-valued points of G' whose special fibres factor through G'^0_k. Let $G(W(k))^0 := G(W(\bar{k}))^0 \cap G(W(k))$.

2.7. Two unipotent group schemes. Let $\mu : \mathbb{G}_m \to G$ and $M = F^1 \oplus F^0$ be as in Subsection 2.1. Let $\mathfrak{T}(M) = \oplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}\tilde{F}^i(\mathfrak{T}(M))$ be the direct sum decomposition such that $\mathbb{G}_m$ acts via μ on $\tilde{F}^i(\mathfrak{T}(M))$ as the $-i$-th power of the identity character of $\mathbb{G}_m$. Let U_{big} be the smooth, unipotent, commutative, closed subgroup scheme of $\boldsymbol{GL}_M$ whose Lie algebra is $\tilde{F}^{-1}(\mathrm{End}_{W(k)}(M)) := \tilde{F}^{-1}(\mathfrak{T}(M)) \cap \mathrm{End}_{W(k)}(M)$. Under the direct sum decomposition

$$\mathrm{End}_{W(k)}(M) = \mathrm{Hom}_{W(k)}(F^1, F^0) \oplus \mathrm{End}_{W(k)}(F^1) \oplus \mathrm{End}_{W(k)}(F^0) \oplus \mathrm{Hom}_{W(k)}(F^0, F^1)$$

of $W(k)$-modules, we have $\tilde{F}^{-1}(\mathrm{End}_{W(k)}(M)) = \mathrm{Hom}_{W(k)}(F^1, F^0)$. If $\mathrm{Spec}(R)$ is an affine $\mathrm{Spec}(W(k))$-scheme, then we have $U_{\mathrm{big}}(R) = 1_{M\otimes_{W(k)}R} + \tilde{F}^{-1}(\mathrm{End}_{W(k)}(M)) \otimes_{W(k)} R$. The intersection $\mathrm{Lie}(G_{B(k)}) \cap \tilde{F}^{-1}(\mathrm{End}_{W(k)}(M))$ is a direct summand of $\tilde{F}^{-1}(\mathrm{End}_{W(k)}(M))$. Let U be the smooth, closed subgroup scheme of U_{big} (and thus also of $\boldsymbol{GL}_M$) defined by the following rule on valued points:

$$U(R) = 1_{M\otimes_{W(k)}R} + \mathrm{Lie}(G_{B(k)}) \cap \tilde{F}^{-1}(\mathrm{End}_{W(k)}(M)) \otimes_{W(k)} R.$$

We have $\mathrm{Lie}(U) = \mathrm{Lie}(G_{B(k)}) \cap \tilde{F}^{-1}(\mathrm{End}_{W(k)}(M))$. As $U_{B(k)}$ is connected and as we have $\mathrm{Lie}(U_{B(k)}) \subseteq \mathrm{Lie}(G_{B(k)})$, the group $U_{B(k)}$ is a subgroup of $G_{B(k)}$ (cf. [Bo, Ch. II, Subsect. 7.1]). As U and G are schematic closures in $\boldsymbol{GL}_M$ of their generic fibres, U is a smooth, closed subgroup scheme of G and thus also of G' (cf. property 2.6 (iii)).

3. Global deformations

In this Section we use the notations of Subsections 2.1 and 2.6. We first construct global deformations of $(M, F^1, \phi, G, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$ over p-adic completions of ind-étale algebras over smooth $W(k)$-algebras whose reductions modulo p are regular, formally smooth over k, geometrically connected, and define spectra which have Zariski dense sets of $\bar{k}$-valued points. Then we use such deformations to "connect" $(M, F^1, \phi, G, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$ with $(M, F^1, g\phi, G, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$, where g is an arbitrary element of $G(W(k))^0$. If $k = \bar{k}$, then we truly connect both $(M, F^1, \phi, G, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$ and $(M, F^1, g\phi, G, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$ with the same quadruple $(M, F^1, h\phi, G, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$ for some $h \in G(W(k))^0$ that has certain properties (see Subsection 3.5). Until Subsection 3.4 we do not use the fact that (even if $p = 2$) the triple (M, F^1, ϕ) is the filtered Dieudonné module of a p-divisible group D over $\mathrm{Spec}(W(k))$.

In Subsection 3.1 we develop the language needed to state the Theorem 3.2 which pertains to the existence of some connections and implicitly of some global deformations of $(M, F^1, \phi, G, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$. In Subsection 3.3 we prove the basic results Lemma 3.1.3 and Theorem 3.2. In Subsection 3.4 we translate Theorem 3.2 in terms of p-divisible groups as allowed by Theorem 2.3.4 and by a variant of it for $p = 2$. In Subsection 3.5 we apply Subsection 3.4 to show that to prove the Main Theorem we can assume that G is a torus.

3.1. Notations and a language. Let $d_M := \mathrm{rk}_{W(k)}(M)$, $d := \dim(G_{B(k)}) = \dim(G_k)$, $S(M) := \{1, \ldots, d_M\}$, and $S(G) := \{1, \ldots, d\}$. Let G' and $G(W(\bar{k}))^0$ be as in Subsection 2.6. Let $\mathcal{Z}_k$ be the origin of G'_k; as a scheme it is $\mathrm{Spec}(k)$. Let $z_1, \ldots, z_d$ be free variables. Let $O := \mathrm{Spec}(W(k)[z_1, \ldots, z_d])$. Let $Y = \mathrm{Spec}(R)$ be an open, affine subscheme of G' through which the identity section $a : \mathrm{Spec}(W(k)) \to G'$ factors and which has a geometrically connected special fibre as well as the following property:

(i) There exists an étale, affine morphism $b : Y \to O$ of $\mathrm{Spec}(W(k))$-schemes, such that the morphism $b \circ a : \mathrm{Spec}(W(k)) \to O$ at the level of rings maps each z_l to 0.

We also view $\mathcal{Z}_k$ as a closed subscheme of Y, Y_k, or $Y^\wedge$. We will choose Y such that there exists a section

$$h : \mathrm{Spec}(W(k)) \hookrightarrow Y = \mathrm{Spec}(R)$$

with the property that the $W(k)$-epimorphism $W(k)[z_1, \ldots, z_d] \twoheadrightarrow W(k)$ associated to $b \circ h$ sends each z_l to an element $u_l \in \mathbb{G}_m(W(k))$. If k is infinite, then the existence of h

is implied by the fact that the set of k-valued points of Y_k is Zariski dense in Y_k (cf. [Bo, Ch. V, Cor. 18.3]). Composing b with an automorphism of O which takes z_l to $u_l^{-1} z_l$ for all $l \in S(G)$, we can assume that we have $u_l = 1$ for all $l \in S(G)$.

The $W(k)$-monomorphism $W(k)[z_1, \ldots, z_d] \hookrightarrow R$ associated to b allows us to identify z_l with an element of R. Let Φ_R be the Frobenius lift of either $R^\wedge$ or $Y^\wedge$ that is compatible with σ and such that we have $\Phi_R(z_l) = z_l^p$ for all $l \in S(G)$. Let $r \in G'(Y^\wedge) = G'^\wedge(Y^\wedge)$ be the universal element of $G'^\wedge$ defined by the p-adic completion of the inclusion $Y \hookrightarrow G'$. Here and it what follows we identify points of $G'^\wedge$ (or of G') with values in a flat, affine $\mathrm{Spec}(W(k))$-scheme $\mathrm{Spec}(*)$ with $*$-linear automorphisms of $M \otimes_{W(k)} *$. See the end of Subsection 2.1 for the direct sum decomposition $M = F^1 \oplus F^0$.

3.1.1. Definition. A morphism $\tilde{Y}_0 \to Y^\wedge$ will be called *formally quasi-étale* if either it is formally étale or there exists a formally étale morphism $\tilde{Y} \to Y^\wedge$ such that $\tilde{Y}_0$ is the spectrum of the completion of a local ring of $\tilde{Y}$ whose residue field has characteristic p and $\tilde{Y}_0 \to Y^\wedge$ is the natural morphism. Thus $\tilde{Y}_0$ is regular and a formally smooth $Y^\wedge$-scheme.

3.1.2. Definition and notations. (a) Let $c : \mathrm{Spec}(\tilde{Q}^\wedge) \to Y^\wedge$ be a formally quasi-étale, affine morphism of $\mathrm{Spec}(W(k))$-schemes, with $\tilde{Q}$ a regular $W(k)$-algebra. Let $\Phi_{\tilde{Q}}$ be the only Frobenius lift of $\mathrm{Spec}(\tilde{Q}^\wedge)$ (or $\tilde{Q}^\wedge$) that satisfies the identity $c \circ \Phi_{\tilde{Q}} = \Phi_R \circ c$. Let

$$M_{\tilde{Q}} := (M \otimes_{W(k)} \tilde{Q}^\wedge, F^1 \otimes_{W(k)} \tilde{Q}^\wedge, \Phi(\tilde{Q})^0, \Phi(\tilde{Q})^1),$$

where $\Phi(\tilde{Q})^0 := r \circ c(\phi \otimes \Phi_{\tilde{Q}})$ and where $\Phi(\tilde{Q})^1 : F^1 \otimes_{W(k)} \tilde{Q}^\wedge \to M \otimes_{W(k)} \tilde{Q}^\wedge$ is the $\Phi_{\tilde{Q}}$-linear map such that for $x \in F^1 \otimes_{W(k)} \tilde{Q}^\wedge$ we have $p\Phi(\tilde{Q})^1(x) = \Phi(\tilde{Q})^0(x)$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we also denote by $\Phi(\tilde{Q})^i$ its reduction modulo p^n, $i \in \{0, 1\}$.

(b) Let δ_0 be the flat connection on either $M \otimes_{W(k)} \tilde{Q}^\wedge$ or $M \otimes_{W(k)} \tilde{Q}/p^n\tilde{Q}$ that annihilates $M \otimes 1$.

(c) Let $M_{\tilde{Q}}/p^n M_{\tilde{Q}}$ be the reduction modulo p^n of $M_{\tilde{Q}}$; it is an object of the category $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}(\tilde{Q})$. We say $M_{\tilde{Q}}/p^n M_{\tilde{Q}}$ *potentially can be viewed as an object of* $\mathcal{MF}^\nabla_{[0,1]}(\tilde{Q})$ if there exists a connection

$$\nabla : M \otimes_{W(k)} \tilde{Q}/p^n\tilde{Q} \to M \otimes_{W(k)} \tilde{Q}/p^n\tilde{Q} \otimes_{\tilde{Q}/p^n\tilde{Q}} \Omega_{(\tilde{Q}/p^n\tilde{Q})/W_n(k)} = M \otimes_{W(k)} \Omega_{(\tilde{Q}/p^n\tilde{Q})/W_n(k)}$$

such that we have:

$$\nabla \circ \Phi(\tilde{Q})^0(x) = p(\Phi(\tilde{Q})^0 \otimes d\Phi_{\tilde{Q}}/p) \circ \nabla(x) \quad \forall x \in F^0 \otimes_{W(k)} \tilde{Q}/p^n\tilde{Q} \quad \text{and} \tag{7}$$

$$\nabla \circ \Phi(\tilde{Q})^1(x) = (\Phi(\tilde{Q})^0 \otimes d\Phi_{\tilde{Q}}/p) \circ \nabla(x) \quad \forall x \in F^1 \otimes_{W(k)} \tilde{Q}/p^n\tilde{Q}. \tag{8}$$

Here $d\Phi_{\tilde{Q}}/p$ is the differential of $\Phi_{\tilde{Q}}$ divided by p and then taken modulo p^n. About ∇ we say *it is a connection on* $M_{\tilde{Q}}/p^n M_{\tilde{Q}}$. We emphasize that the system of equations obtained by putting (7) and (8) together, does not depend on the choice of the direct supplement F^0 of F^1 in M; moreover, as we chose $\Phi(\tilde{Q})^0$ and $\Phi(\tilde{Q})^1$ to be $\Phi_{\tilde{Q}}$-linear maps and not $\tilde{Q}^\wedge$-linear maps, we got $x \in F^i \otimes_{W(k)} \tilde{Q}/p^n\tilde{Q}$ and not in $F^i \otimes_{W(k)\,\sigma} \tilde{Q}/p^n\tilde{Q}$ (here $i \in \{0, 1\}$).

(d) We say the connection ∇ of (c) *respects the G-action*, if for each $l \in S(G)$, the $\tilde{Q}/p^n\tilde{Q}$-linear endomorphism of $M \otimes_{W(k)} \tilde{Q}/p^n\tilde{Q}$ which for $x \in M$ maps $x \otimes 1$ to $\nabla(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_l})(x \otimes 1) \in M \otimes_{W(k)} \tilde{Q}/p^n\tilde{Q}$, is an element of $(\mathrm{Lie}(G_{B(k)}) \cap \mathrm{End}_{W(k)}(M)) \otimes_{W(k)} \tilde{Q}/p^n\tilde{Q}$.

3.1.3. Lemma. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We consider a formally quasi-étale, affine morphism $c : Spec(\tilde{Q}^\wedge) \to Y^\wedge$ of $Spec(W(k))$-schemes, with $\tilde{Q}$ a regular $W(k)$-algebra. If all connected components of $Spec(\tilde{Q}/p\tilde{Q})$ have non-empty intersections with $c^{-1}(\mathcal{Z}_k)$, then there exists at most one connection on $M_{\tilde{Q}}/p^nM_{\tilde{Q}}$.*

3.2. Basic Theorem. *The following four things hold:*

(a) *For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists an étale R-algebra Q_n such that the natural formally étale, affine morphism $\ell_n : Spec(Q_n^\wedge) \to Y^\wedge$ has the following three properties:*

- *(i) $\ell_n^{-1}(\mathcal{Z}_k) = Spec(k)$ and $Spec(Q_n/pQ_n)$ is a geometrically connected $Spec(k)$-scheme;*
- *(ii) there exists a unique connection ∇_n on $M_{Q_n}/p^nM_{Q_n}$ and moreover ∇_n is integrable, nilpotent modulo p, and respects the G-action;*
- *(iii) for each formally quasi-étale, affine morphism $c : Spec(\tilde{Q}^\wedge) \to Y^\wedge$ of $Spec(W(k))$-schemes (with $\tilde{Q}$ a regular $W(k)$-algebra) such that all connected components of $Spec(\tilde{Q}/p\tilde{Q})$ have a non-empty intersection with $c^{-1}(\mathcal{Z}_k)$ and $M_{\tilde{Q}}/p^nM_{\tilde{Q}}$ potentially can be viewed as an object of $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[0,1]}(\tilde{Q})$, there exists a unique morphism $c_n : Spec(\tilde{Q}^\wedge) \to Spec(Q_n^\wedge)$ such that $c = \ell_n \circ c_n$ and the unique connection on $M_{\tilde{Q}}/p^nM_{\tilde{Q}}$ is the extension of ∇_n via c_n modulo p^n.*

(b) *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We consider the unique morphism $\ell^{(n)} : Spec(Q_{n+1}^\wedge) \to Spec(Q_n^\wedge)$ such that $\ell_{n+1} = \ell_n \circ \ell^{(n)}$ and the extension of ∇_n via $\ell^{(n)}$ modulo p^n is ∇_{n+1} modulo p^n, cf. property (iii) of (a). Then $\ell^{(n)}$ modulo p is étale, quasi-finite, and generically an étale cover of degree at most p^{d^2}. Similarly, ℓ_1 modulo p has all these properties.*

(c) *Let $Spec(Q_\infty)$ be the projective limit of $Spec(Q_n^\wedge)$ under the transition morphisms $\ell^{(n)}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $Q := Q_\infty^\wedge$. Let $\ell : Spec(Q) \to Y^\wedge$ be the resulting morphism. Then the image Y_k^0 of ℓ modulo p is an open subscheme of Y_k and moreover each point of $Spec(Q/pQ)$ specializes to a point of $Spec(Q/pQ)$ whose residue field is an algebraic extension of k.*

(d) *There exists a reduced, closed subscheme $\mathcal{B}(\phi)$ of G'_k of dimension at most $d-1$, that depends on ϕ and on the reduction modulo p of $d\Phi_R/p$ but not on the choice of $h : Spec(W(k)) \hookrightarrow Y$ in Subsection 3.1, and such that $Y_k \setminus \mathcal{B}(\phi) \subseteq Y_k^0$.*

3.3. The proofs of 3.1.3 and 3.2. We prove Lemma 3.1.3 and Theorem 3.2 in the following nine steps.

1) The complete local case. Let $\hat{R}$ be the completion of R with respect to the ideal of R that defines the factorization $\mathrm{Spec}(W(k)) \hookrightarrow Y$ of the identity section $a : \mathrm{Spec}(W(k)) \hookrightarrow G'$. See Subsubsection 3.1.2 (a) for $\Phi_{\hat{R}}$ and $\Phi(\hat{R})^0$. There exists a unique connection $\nabla_{\hat{R}}$ on $M \otimes_{W(k)} \hat{R}$ such that $\Phi(\hat{R})^0$ is horizontal i.e., we have $\nabla_{\hat{R}} \circ \Phi(\hat{R})^0 = (\Phi(\hat{R})^0 \otimes d\Phi_{\hat{R}}) \circ \nabla_{\hat{R}}$. The connection $\nabla_{\hat{R}}$ is integrable and nilpotent modulo p. As we have $\Phi_R(z_l) = z_l^p$ for all $l \in S(G)$, the last two sentences follow from [Fa2, Thm. 10] applied to the triple $(M \otimes_{W(k)} \hat{R}, F \otimes_{W(k)} \hat{R}, \Phi(\hat{R})^0)$ (the $\hat{R}$-linear map $(M + \frac{1}{p}F^1) \otimes_{W(k)} {}_\sigma\hat{R} \to M \otimes_{W(k)} \hat{R}$ defined naturally by $\Phi(\hat{R})^0$ is an $\hat{R}$-linear isomorphism). We recall the argument for the uniqueness part. Let $\tilde{\nabla}_{\hat{R}}$ be another connection on $M \otimes_{W(k)} \hat{R}$ such that $\Phi(\hat{R})^0$ is horizontal. As we have $\Phi_R(z_l) = z_l^p$ for all $l \in S(G)$ and $\bullet \circ \Phi(\hat{R})^0 = (\Phi(\hat{R})^0 \otimes d\Phi_{\hat{R}}) \circ \bullet$ for $\bullet \in \{\nabla_{\hat{R}}, \tilde{\nabla}_{\hat{R}}\}$, by induction on $q \in \mathbb{N}$ we get that $\tilde{\nabla}_{\hat{R}} - \nabla_{\hat{R}} \in \mathrm{End}_{W(k)}(M) \otimes_{W(k)} (z_1, \ldots, z_d)^q \Omega^\wedge_{\hat{R}/W(k)}[\frac{1}{p}]$, where $\Omega^\wedge_{\hat{R}/W(k)} = \Omega_{R/W(k)} \otimes_R \hat{R}$ is the p-adic completion of $\Omega_{\hat{R}/W(k)}$. As $\hat{R}$ is complete in the $(z_1, \ldots, z_d)$-topology, we get that $\tilde{\nabla}_{\hat{R}} = \nabla_{\hat{R}}$.

See Subsubsection 3.1.2 (b) for δ_0. We check the following relation

$$\gamma_{\hat{R}} := \nabla_{\hat{R}} - \delta_0 \in (\mathrm{Lie}(G_{B(k)}) \cap \mathrm{End}_{W(k)}(M)) \otimes_{W(k)} \Omega_{R/W(k)} \otimes_R \hat{R}. \tag{9}$$

If G is smooth, then (9) is implied by [Fa2, Sect. 7, Rm. ii)]. In general, we view $\mathcal{T}(M)$ as a module over the Lie algebra (associated to) $\mathrm{End}_{W(k)}(M)$ and we denote also by $\nabla_{\hat{R}}$ the connection on $\mathcal{T}(M \otimes_{W(k)} \hat{R}[\frac{1}{p}])$ which extends naturally the connection $\nabla_{\hat{R}}$ on $M \otimes_{W(k)} \hat{R}$. The $\Phi_{\hat{R}}$-linear action of $\Phi(\hat{R})^0$ on $M \otimes_{W(k)} \hat{R}$ extends to a horizontal $\Phi_{\hat{R}}$-linear action of $\Phi(\hat{R})^0$ on $\mathcal{T}(M \otimes_{W(k)} \hat{R}[\frac{1}{p}])$. For instance, if $f \in M^* \otimes_{W(k)} \hat{R} = (M \otimes_{W(k)} \hat{R})^*$ and if $x \in M \otimes_{W(k)} \hat{R}$, then $\Phi(\hat{R})^0(f) \in M^* \otimes_{W(k)} \hat{R}[\frac{1}{p}]$ maps $\Phi(\hat{R})^0(x)$ to $\Phi_{\hat{R}}(f(x))$. As ϕ and G fix t_α, the tensor $t_\alpha \in \mathcal{T}(M \otimes_{W(k)} \hat{R}[\frac{1}{p}])$ is also fixed by $\Phi(\hat{R})^0$. Thus we have $\nabla_{\hat{R}}(t_\alpha) = (\Phi(\hat{R})^0 \otimes d\Phi_{\hat{R}})(\nabla_{\hat{R}}(t_\alpha))$. As we have $d\Phi_{\hat{R}}/p(z_l) = z_l^{p-1} dz_l$ for all $l \in S(G)$, by induction on $q \in \mathbb{N}$ we get that $\nabla_{\hat{R}}(t_\alpha) = \gamma_{\hat{R}}(t_\alpha) \in \mathcal{T}(M) \otimes_{W(k)} (z_1, \ldots, z_d)^q \Omega^\wedge_{\hat{R}/W(k)}[\frac{1}{p}]$. As $\hat{R}$ is complete with respect to the $(z_1, \ldots, z_d)$-topology, we get $\nabla_{\hat{R}}(t_\alpha) = \gamma_{\hat{R}}(t_\alpha) = 0$. But $\mathrm{Lie}(G_{B(k)}) \cap \mathrm{End}_{W(k)}(M)$ is the Lie subalgebra of $\mathrm{End}_{W(k)}(M)$ that centralizes t_α for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}$. From the last two sentences we get that (9) holds. This implies that $\nabla_{\hat{R}}$ respects the G-action.

2) General connections. Let $\{e_i | i \in S(M)\}$ be a $W(k)$-basis for M formed by elements of $F^1 \cup F^0$. For $i \in S(M)$ let $\varepsilon_i \in \{0, 1\}$ be such that $e_i \in F^{\varepsilon_i}$. Let $\{e_{ij} | i, j \in S(M)\}$ be the $W(k)$-basis for $\mathrm{End}_{W(k)}(M)$ such that $e_{ij}(e_s) = \delta_{js} e_i$. The R-module $\Omega_{R/W(k)}$ is free: $\{dz_l | l \in S(G)\}$ is an R-basis for it, cf. property 3.1 (i). Let $c : \mathrm{Spec}(\tilde{Q}^\wedge) \to Y^\wedge$ be as in Lemma 3.1.3. Any connection ∇ on $M \otimes_{W(k)} \tilde{Q}^\wedge/p\tilde{Q}$ is of the form

$$\nabla = \delta_0 + \sum_{(i,j,l) \in S(M) \times S(M) \times S(G)} x_{ijl} e_{ij} \otimes dz_l, \tag{10}$$

with $x_{ijl} \in \tilde{Q}^\wedge/p\tilde{Q}$ for all $(i, j, l) \in S(M) \times S(M) \times S(G)$.

3) The equations. We start proving Lemma 3.1.3, Theorem 3.2 (a), and the part of Theorem 3.2 (b) that pertains to ℓ_1. The condition that ∇ of (10) is a connection on $M_{\tilde{Q}}/pM_{\tilde{Q}}$ (i.e., that (7) and (8) hold for $n = 1$) gets translated into a system of equations in the variables x_{ijl}'s as follows. Let $j \in S(M)$. As $\phi(F^0 \oplus \frac{1}{p}F^1) = M$, $M \otimes_{W(k)} R^\wedge$ is $R^\wedge$-generated by the $\Phi(R)^{\varepsilon_i}(e_i)$'s with $i \in S(M)$. Thus we can write

$$e_j = \sum_{i \in S(M)} a_{j,i} \Phi(R)^{\varepsilon_i}(e_i),$$

where $a_{j,i} \in R^{\wedge}$. Let $\bar{\phi}$, $\bar{e}_j$, and $\bar{a}_{j,i}$ be the reductions modulo p of ϕ, e_j, and $a_{j,i}$ (respectively). We have $\nabla(\bar{a}_{j,i}\Phi(R)^{\varepsilon_i}(\bar{e}_i)) = \bar{a}_{j,i}\nabla(\Phi(R)^{\varepsilon_i}(\bar{e}_i)) + \Phi(R)^{\varepsilon_i}(\bar{e}_i)d\bar{a}_{j,i}$. Thus by plugging $\bar{e}_i$'s in the formula $(7+\varepsilon_i)$, by multiplying the result with $\bar{a}_{j,i}$, and by summing up with $i \in S(M)$ we get that if ∇ is a connection on $M_{\tilde{Q}}/pM_{\tilde{Q}}$, then $\nabla(\bar{e}_j) = \sum_{(i,l)\in S(M)\times S(G)} x_{ijl}\bar{e}_i \otimes dz_l$ is equal to

$$(11) \qquad \sum_{i\in S(M),\, \varepsilon_i=1} \bar{a}_{j,i}(\Phi(R)^0 \otimes d\Phi_R/p)\nabla(\bar{e}_i) + \sum_{i\in S(M)} \Phi(R)^{\varepsilon_i}(\bar{e}_i)d\bar{a}_{j,i}$$

$$= \sum_{(i,i',l)\in S(M)\times S(M)\times S(G),\, (\varepsilon_{i'},\varepsilon_i)=(0,1)} \bar{a}_{j,i}x^p_{i'il}\bar{\phi}(\bar{e}_{i'})z_l^{p-1} \otimes dz_l + \sum_{i\in S(M)} \Phi(R)^{\varepsilon_i}(\bar{e}_i)d\bar{a}_{j,i}.$$

By identifying the coefficients of the two expressions of $\nabla(\bar{e}_j)$ with respect to the R/pR-basis $\{\bar{e}_i \otimes dz_l | (i,l) \in S(M)\times S(G)\}$ for $M \otimes_{W(k)} \Omega_{R/pR/k} = M/pM \otimes_k \Omega_{R/pR/k}$, we come across an Artin–Schreier system of equations in $d_m^2 d$ variables of the form

$$(12) \qquad x_{ijl} = L_{ijl}(x^p_{11l}, x^p_{12l}, \ldots, x^p_{d_M d_M l}) + a_{ijl}(0), \;\; (i,j,l) \in S(M)\times S(M)\times S(G),$$

where the form L_{ijl} is homogeneous and linear and has coefficients in R/pR and where $a_{ijl}(0) \in R/pR$. The form L_{ijl} involves only the variables $x_{i'il}$'s, with $(\varepsilon_{i'},\varepsilon_i) = (0,1)$. By varying $j \in S(M)$, we obtain all possible equations in the x_{ijl}'s i.e., any other equation in the x_{ijl}'s produced by (7) and (8) is a linear combination of the equations of (12). We consider the affine morphism

$$\ell(1) : \mathcal{S}_1 \to \mathcal{S}_0 := Y_k = \mathrm{Spec}(R/pR)$$

defined by the system of equations (12) (with the x_{ijl}'s viewed as variables over R/pR). Thus ∇ is a connection on $M_{\tilde{Q}}/pM_{\tilde{Q}}$ if and only if (12) holds i.e., if and only if c modulo p factors naturally through the morphism $\ell(1)$.

4) The uniqueness part. Let I_0 be the ideal of R/pR generated by z_l's. It suffices to prove Lemma 3.1.3 for $n=1$ under the hypotheses that ∇ is a connection on $M_{\tilde{Q}}/pM_{\tilde{Q}}$ and that $\tilde{Q}/p\tilde{Q}$ is a complete, local ring whose residue field is $\bar{k}$ and whose maximal ideal is generated by I_0. The existence of another (i.e., different from ∇) connection on $M_{\tilde{Q}}/pM_{\tilde{Q}}$ corresponds to a non-trivial solution in $\tilde{Q}/p\tilde{Q}$ of the system of equations

$$(13) \qquad x_{ijl} = L_{ijl}(x^p_{11l}, x^p_{12l}, \ldots, x^p_{d_M d_M l}), \;\; (i,j,l) \in S(M)\times S(M)\times S(G).$$

The coefficients of the linear forms L_{ijl} belong to I_0^{p-1}, cf. (11). By induction on $q \in \mathbb{N}$, we get that for each solution of (13) in $\tilde{Q}/p\tilde{Q}$ we have $x_{ijl} \in I_0^{p-1+pq}(\tilde{Q}/p\tilde{Q})$ for all $(i,j,l) \in S(M)\times S(M)\times S(G)$. Thus the only solution of (13) in $\tilde{Q}/p\tilde{Q}$ is given by $x_{ijl} = 0$ for all $(i,j,l) \in S(M)\times S(M)\times S(G)$. Thus Lemma 3.1.3 holds for $n=1$.

5) Construction of Q_1. We know that the morphism $\ell(1)$ is étale, cf. Theorem 2.4.1 (a). Let $\mathcal{S}_1^0$ be the maximal open closed subscheme of $\mathcal{S}_1$ with the property that each connected component of it has a non-empty intersection with the closed subscheme

$\ell(1)^{-1}(\mathcal{Z}_k)$ of $\mathcal{S}_1$. From 4) we get that $\ell(1)^{-1}(\mathcal{Z}_k)$ is either empty or $\mathrm{Spec}(k)$. Thus $\mathcal{S}_1^0$ is a connected, affine $\mathrm{Spec}(k)$-scheme. From Theorem 2.4.1 (b) we get that $\ell(1)^{-1}(\mathcal{Z}_k)$ is non-empty. Thus the scheme $\mathcal{S}_1^0$ is non-empty and we have $\ell(1)^{-1}(\mathcal{Z}_k) = \mathrm{Spec}(k)$.

Standard arguments that involve a lift of (12) to a system over R, the Jacobi criterion for étaleness, and localizations, show the existence of an étale R-algebra Q_1 such that the special fibre of the morphism $\ell_1 : \mathrm{Spec}(Q_1^\wedge) \to Y^\wedge$ is the morphism $\mathcal{S}_1^0 \to \mathcal{S}_0$ defined naturally by $\ell(1)$. Let ∇_1 be the unique connection on M_{Q_1}/pM_{Q_1}, cf. end of 3) and 4).

6) Proofs of 3.2 (a) for $n = 1$ and of 3.2 (b) for ℓ_1 modulo p. As $\ell_1^{-1}(\mathcal{Z}_k) = \ell(1)^{-1}(\mathcal{Z}_k) = \mathrm{Spec}(k)$ and as the scheme $\mathcal{S}_1^0 = \mathrm{Spec}(Q_1/pQ_1)$ is connected, property (i) of Theorem 3.2 (a) holds for $n = 1$. If ∇ is a connection on $M_{\tilde{Q}}/pM_{\tilde{Q}}$ and if each connected component of $\mathrm{Spec}(\tilde{Q}/p\tilde{Q})$ has a non-empty intersection with $c^{-1}(\mathcal{Z}_k)$, then from the construction of ℓ_1 and the end of 3) we get that c modulo p factors uniquely through ℓ_1 modulo p. Thus c factors uniquely as a morphism $c_1 : \mathrm{Spec}(\tilde{Q}^\wedge) \to \mathrm{Spec}(Q_1^\wedge)$ of $\mathrm{Spec}(W(k))$-schemes in such a way that $c = \ell_1 \circ c_1$ and ∇ is the extension of ∇_1 via c_1 modulo p. Thus property (iii) of Theorem 3.2 (a) holds for $n = 1$. Applying this with c as the natural formally quasi-étale, affine morphism $\mathrm{Spec}(\hat{R}) \to Y^\wedge$, we get that the extension of ∇_1 to a connection on $M \otimes_{W(k)} \hat{R}/p\hat{R}$ (via the natural morphism $\mathrm{Spec}(\hat{R}) \to \mathrm{Spec}(Q_1^\wedge)$ of Y-schemes), is the reduction modulo p of $\nabla_{\hat{R}}$ and thus it is a connection that is integrable, nilpotent modulo p, and respects the G-action. This implies that the connection ∇_1 itself is integrable, nilpotent modulo p, and respects the G-action. Thus property (ii) of Theorem 3.2 (a) also holds for $n = 1$. Therefore Theorem 3.2 (a) holds for $n = 1$.

Related to the part of Theorem 3.2 (b) that refers to ℓ_1 modulo p, we are left to check that the fibres of ℓ_1 modulo p over geometric points have at most p^{d^2} points. We consider a k-linear map $l_G : \mathrm{End}_{W(k)}(M)/(p\mathrm{End}_{W(k)}(M) + \mathrm{Lie}(G_{B(k)}) \cap \mathrm{End}_{W(k)}(M)) \to k$. As ∇_1 respects the G-action, for each map l_G the variables x_{ijl}'s satisfy the following relation

$$\sum_{(i,j)\in S(M)\times S(M)} x_{ijl} l_G(\bar{e}_{ij}) = 0, \quad \text{where } \ l \in S(G). \tag{14}$$

As $\mathrm{Lie}(G_{B(k)}) \cap \mathrm{End}_{W(k)}(M)$ is a direct summand of $\mathrm{End}_{W(k)}(M)$ of rank d, the k-linear span of the l_G's maps has dimension $d_M^2 - d$. Thus for a fixed l our variables x_{ijl}'s also satisfy a system of $d_M^2 - d$-linear equations with coefficients in k whose rank is precisely $d_M^2 - d$ and which is naturally attached to (14). By varying $l \in S(G)$, as in the proof of Theorem 2.4.1 (b) we can use the equations (14) to eliminate $d_M^2 d - d^2$ of our present x_{ijl}'s variables at once; in other words $\mathcal{S}_1^0$ is a connected component of the $\mathcal{S}_0$-scheme $\mathcal{S}_1$ that is also definable using an Artin–Schreier system of equations in $d^2 = d_M^2 - (d_M^2 - d^2)$ variables and with coefficients in R/pR. Thus from Theorem 2.4.1 (b) and (c) we get that ℓ_1 modulo p (i.e., the morphism $\mathcal{S}_1^0 \to \mathcal{S}_0$) is generically an étale cover of degree at most p^{d^2}. This proves Theorem 3.2 (b) for ℓ_1 modulo p.

7) The inductive statement. By induction on $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we prove Lemma 3.1.3 and Theorem 3.2 (a). We assume that Lemma 3.1.3 folds for n and that we managed to construct Q_m for $m \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$. We will show first that Lemma 3.1.3 holds for $n + 1$ and then we will construct Q_{n+1}. We work with a formally quasi-étale morphism

$c : \mathrm{Spec}(\tilde{Q}^\wedge) \to Y^\wedge$ that factors through a formally quasi-étale morphism $c_n : \mathrm{Spec}(\tilde{Q}^\wedge) \to \mathrm{Spec}(Q_n^\wedge)$. We fix a connection ∇_n^{lift} on $M \otimes_{W(k)} \tilde{Q}/p^{n+1}\tilde{Q}$ which modulo p^n is the extension of ∇_n via c_n modulo p^n and which respects the G-action. The general form of a connection on $M \otimes_{W(k)} \tilde{Q}/p^{n+1}\tilde{Q}$ that lifts the extension of ∇_n via c_n modulo p^n, is of the form

$$\nabla = \nabla_n^{\mathrm{lift}} + \sum_{(i,j,l) \in S(M) \times S(M) \times S(G)} p^n x_{ijl} e_{ij} \otimes dz_l, \tag{15}$$

with all $x_{ijl} \in \tilde{Q}/p\tilde{Q}$ and with $p^n x_{ijl}$ identified naturally with an element of $p^n\tilde{Q}/p^{n+1}\tilde{Q}$.

8) The key point. The condition that ∇ of (15) is a connection on $M_{\tilde{Q}}/p^{n+1}M_{\tilde{Q}}$ is expressed by an Artin–Schreier system of equations in the variables x_{ijl}'s of (15) over Q_n/pQ_n. The key point is that the same computations as in (11) show that this system has the form

$$x_{ijl} = L_{ijl}(x_{11l}^p, x_{12l}^p, \dots, x_{d_M d_M l}^p) + a_{ijl}(n), \quad (i,j,l) \in S(M) \times S(M) \times S(G), \tag{16}$$

where the homogeneous linear forms L_{ijl} are as in (12) and where $a_{ijl}(n) \in Q_n/pQ_n$. As the coefficients $a_{ijl}(0)$ played no role in steps 4) to 6), we can repeat the arguments of these three steps. First we get that the system (16) defines an étale, affine scheme $\mathcal{S}_{n+1}$ over $\mathcal{S}_n^0 := \mathrm{Spec}(Q_n/pQ_n)$. Second we get that the maximal open closed subscheme $\mathcal{S}_{n+1}^0$ of $\mathcal{S}_{n+1}$ such that each connected component of it intersects the pull back of $\mathcal{Z}_k$ through the natural morphism $\ell(n) : \mathcal{S}_{n+1} \to \mathcal{S}_0$, is a connected scheme. Third we get that $\ell(n)^{-1}(\mathcal{Z}_k) = \mathrm{Spec}(k)$ and that Lemma 3.1.3 holds for $n+1$. Fourth we get the existence of an étale Q_n-algebra Q_{n+1} such that the special fibre of $\ell^{(n)} : \mathrm{Spec}(Q_{n+1}^\wedge) \to \mathrm{Spec}(Q_n^\wedge)$ is the étale, affine morphism $\mathcal{S}_{n+1}^0 \to \mathcal{S}_n^0$. Fifth, as in 6) we use $\nabla_{\hat{R}}$ to get that the unique connection ∇_{n+1} on $M_{Q_{n+1}}/p^{n+1}M_{Q_{n+1}}$ is integrable, nilpotent modulo p, and respects the G-action. This repetition takes care of Lemma 3.1.3 and Theorem 3.2 (a) for $n+1$ and thus ends our induction. This ends the proofs of Lemma 3.1.3 and Theorem 3.2 (a).

9) Proofs of 3.2 (b) to (d). We know that $\ell^{(n)}$ modulo p is étale. As $\mathcal{S}_{n+1}^0$ is a closed subscheme of a scheme of finite type over $\mathcal{S}_n^0$, $\ell^{(n)}$ modulo p is of finite type. The fact that the geometric fibres of $\ell^{(n)}$ modulo p have at most p^{d^2} geometric points is argued as in 6) (as the connection ∇_{n+1} respects the G-action). Thus Theorem 3.2 (b) holds.

Based on 8), Theorem 3.2 (c) is implied by Theorem 2.4.1 (d) and (e) applied to the sequence of étale morphisms $\cdots \to \mathcal{S}_n^0 \to \mathcal{S}_{n-1}^0 \to \cdots \to \mathcal{S}_1^0 \to \mathcal{S}_0$ that are obtained by taking certain connected components of Artin–Schreier systems of equations that involve the same linear forms L_{ijl}'s. From Theorem 2.4.1 (c) (see also the proof of Theorem 2.4.1 (d)), we get that there exists an open, Zariski dense subscheme W_k of Y_k over which all special fibres of ℓ_n's are étale covers and which depends only on the coefficients of L_{ijl}'s and thus only on $d\Phi_R/p$ modulo p. Obviously, W_k is contained in the image Y_k^0 of $\mathrm{Spec}(Q/pQ)$ in Y_k. The choice of another morphism $h : \mathrm{Spec}(W(k)) \to Y$ corresponds to a replacement of Φ_R by another Frobenius lift Φ_R' of R that takes $u_l^{-1}z_l$ to $(u_l^{-1}z_l)^p$, where $u_l \in \mathbb{G}(W(k))$. Thus $\Phi_R'(z_l) = z_l^p + pv_l z_l^p$, where $v_l := \frac{\sigma(u_l)u_l^{-p}-1}{p} \in W(k)$. Thus $d\Phi_R/p$ and $d\Phi_R'/p$ coincide modulo p. Therefore $\mathcal{B}(\phi) := G_k' \setminus W_k$ does not depend on the choice of h, has dimension at most $d-1 = \dim(Y)-1$, and its complement in Y_k is contained in W_k and thus also in Y_k^0. Thus $\mathcal{B}(\phi)$ has all the desired properties i.e., Theorem 3.2 (d) holds. This ends the proofs of Lemma 3.1.3 and Theorem 3.2.

3.4. Geometric translation of 3.2. We translate Theorem 3.2 in terms of p-divisible groups. The $\mathrm{Spec}(k)$-scheme $\mathrm{Spec}(Q/pQ)$ is geometrically connected and there exists a unique section $a^0 : \mathrm{Spec}(W(k)) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(Q)$ such that the composite of $\ell \circ a^0$ with the natural morphism $Y^\wedge \to G'$ is the identity section $a : \mathrm{Spec}(W(k)) \to G'$, cf. the étaleness and the (i) parts of Theorem 3.2 (a). Let ∇_∞ be the connection on $M \otimes_{W(k)} Q$ which modulo p^n is the natural extension of ∇_n; this makes sense due to (iii) of Theorem 3.2 (a). The reduction modulo p^n of ∇_∞ respects the G-action. This implies that ∇_∞ annihilates $t_\alpha \in \mathcal{T}(M \otimes_{W(k)} Q[\frac{1}{p}])$ for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}$.

As Q/pQ is an ind-étale $k[z_1, \ldots, z_d]$-algebra, the set of the reductions modulo p of z_l's with $l \in S(G)$, is a finite p-basis for Q/pQ in the sense of [BM, Def. 1.1.1].

3.4.1. Theorem. *If $p = 2$, we assume that the property (C) of Definition 1.1.1 holds.*

(a) *There exists a unique p-divisible group $\mathcal{D}_{Q/pQ}$ over Spec(Q/pQ) such that the evaluation of $\mathbb{D}(\mathcal{D}_{Q/pQ})$ at the thickening $\mathfrak{Q} := (\mathrm{Spec}(Q/pQ) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(Q), \delta(p))$ is the triple $(M \otimes_{W(k)} Q, \Phi(Q)^0, \nabla_\infty)$.*

(b) *There exists a unique p-divisible group $\mathcal{D}$ over Spec(Q) such that the evaluation of the filtered Dieudonné crystal of $\mathcal{D}$ at the thickening $\mathfrak{Q}$ is the quadruple $(M \otimes_{W(k)} Q, F^1 \otimes_{W(k)} Q, \Phi(Q)^0, \nabla_\infty)$.*

Proof: We first prove (a) and (b) for $p > 2$. For $p > 2$ there exists a unique finite, flat, commutative group scheme $\mathcal{D}_n$ over $\mathrm{Spec}(Q_n^\wedge)$ of order a power of p and such that the object $\mathbb{D}(\mathcal{D}_n)$ of $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[0,1]}(Q_n)$ is defined naturally by the pair $(M_{Q_n}/p^n M_{Q_n}, \nabla_n)$, cf. Theorem 2.3.4. Due to the uniqueness of ∇_n (cf. (ii) of Theorem 3.2 (a)), we can identify $\mathbb{D}((\ell^{(n)})^*(\mathcal{D}_n)) = \mathbb{D}(\mathcal{D}_{n+1}[p^n])$. Thus we can also identify $(\ell^{(n)})^*(\mathcal{D}_n) = \mathcal{D}_{n+1}[p^n]$, cf. Theorem 2.3.4. Therefore there exists a unique p-divisible group $\mathcal{D}$ over $\mathrm{Spec}(Q)$ such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $\mathcal{D}[p^n] = \mathcal{D}_{nQ} = \mathcal{D}_{n+1}[p^n]_Q$.

The evaluation at the thickening $\mathfrak{Q}$ of the filtered Dieudonné crystal of $\mathcal{D}$ is $(M \otimes_{W(k)} Q, F^1 \otimes_{W(k)} Q, \Phi(Q)^0, \nabla_\infty)$ (we recall from Section 2 that we disregard the Verschiebung maps of such evaluations). As Q/pQ has a finite p-basis, from [BM, Prop. 1.3.3] we get that each (filtered) F-crystal on $CRIS(\mathrm{Spec}(Q/pQ)/\mathrm{Spec}(W(k)))$ is uniquely determined by its evaluation at the thickening $\mathfrak{Q}$. Thus $\mathcal{D}_{Q/pQ}$ is uniquely determined by $(M \otimes_{W(k)} Q, \Phi(Q)^0, \nabla_\infty)$, cf. [BM, Thm. 4.1.1]. Thus (a) holds for $p > 2$ and moreover from Grothendieck–Messing deformation theory we also get that (b) holds for $p > 2$.

Next we include two extra ways of proving (a) that work for all primes $p \geq 2$. The first way does not assume that the property (C) holds for $p = 2$ and it goes as follows. We work with an arbitrary prime $p \geq 2$. The existence and the uniqueness of $\mathcal{D}_{Q/pQ}$ can be deduced from [dJ, Main Thm. 1]. Strictly speaking, loc. cit. is stated in a way that applies only to smooth k-algebras. But as the field $K_{Q/pQ}$ has a finite p-basis, loc. cit. applies to show that $\mathcal{D}_{K_{Q/pQ}}$ exists and is unique. Descent and extension arguments as in [dJ, Subsect. 4.4] show that $\mathcal{D}_{Q/pQ}$ itself exists and it is unique.

We describe with full details the second way (as it is the simplest). Again we work with an arbitrary prime $p \geq 2$ but if $p = 2$ we assume that the property (C) holds.

Let $\mathfrak{A}$ be the set of points of $\mathrm{Spec}(Q/pQ)$ whose residue fields are algebraic extensions of k. Let Q_0 be the localization of Q with respect to a point $\mathfrak{p}_0 \in \mathfrak{A}$. The residue field k_0 of $\mathfrak{p}_0$ is an algebraic extension of k and thus it is a perfect field. The ring $S_0 := Q_0/pQ_0$ has a finite p-basis as Q/pQ does. Let Q_0^{h} and $\hat{Q}_0$ be the henselization and the completion of Q_0 (respectively). Thus $S_0^{\mathrm{h}} := Q_0^{\mathrm{h}}/pQ_0^{\mathrm{h}}$ is the henselization of S_0 (this follows easily from [BLR, Ch. 2, Sect. 2.3, Prop. 4]). Let $\hat{S}_0 := \hat{Q}_0/p\hat{Q}_0$. Let $x_1, \ldots, x_d \in \hat{Q}_0$ be such that we can identify $\hat{Q}_0 = W(k_0)[[x_1, \ldots, x_d]]$. Let $\tilde{\Phi}_{\hat{Q}_0}$ be the Frobenius lift of $\hat{Q}_0$ that is compatible with σ_{k_0} and that takes x_l to x_l^p for all $l \in S(G)$.

Let $(M \otimes_{W(k)} \hat{Q}_0, F^1 \otimes_{W(k)} \hat{Q}_0, \Phi_0, \nabla_0)$ be the extension of $(M \otimes_{W(k)} Q, F^1 \otimes_{W(k)} Q, \Phi(Q)^0, \nabla_\infty)$ via $Q \hookrightarrow \hat{Q}_0$ but with Φ_0 as a $\tilde{\Phi}_{\hat{Q}_0}$-linear map. As in Subsection 3.3 1) we argue that the connection ∇_0 on $M \otimes_{W(k)} \hat{Q}_0$ is uniquely determined by the equality $\nabla_0 \circ \Phi_0 = (\Phi_0 \otimes d\Phi_0) \circ \nabla_0$. We recall what Φ_0 is.

The $\hat{Q}_0$-linear isomorphism $(M + \frac{1}{p}F^1) \otimes_{W(k)}\, {}_\sigma\hat{Q}_0 \xrightarrow{\sim} M \otimes_{W(k)} \hat{Q}_0$ defined naturally by Φ_0 is the composite of a correction $\hat{Q}_0$-linear automorphism

$$\mathfrak{K} : (M + \frac{1}{p}F^1) \otimes_{W(k)}\, {}_\sigma\hat{Q}_0 \xrightarrow{\sim} (M + \frac{1}{p}F^1) \otimes_{W(k)}\, {}_\sigma\hat{Q}_0$$

and of the $\hat{Q}_0$-linear isomorphism $(M + \frac{1}{p}F^1) \otimes_{W(k)}\, {}_\sigma\hat{Q}_0 \xrightarrow{\sim} M \otimes_{W(k)} \hat{Q}_0$ defined by $\Phi(\hat{Q}_0)^0$ (see Subsubsection 3.1.2 (a) for $\Phi(\hat{Q}_0)^0$). For $l \in S(G)$ let $s_l := \tilde{\Phi}_{\hat{Q}_0}(z_l) - z_l^p \in p\hat{Q}_0$. For $x \in M + \frac{1}{p}F^1$ we have (cf. [De1, Formula (1.1.3.4)])

$$\mathfrak{K}(x \otimes 1) := \sum_{i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_d \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}} (\prod_{l=1}^{d} \nabla_\infty(\frac{d}{dz_l})^{i_l})(x \otimes 1) \prod_{l=1}^{d} \frac{s_l^{i_l}}{i_l!}.$$

As ∇_∞ respects the G-action, $\mathfrak{K}$ fixes each t_α viewed as a tensor of $\mathcal{T}(M + \frac{1}{p}F^1) \otimes_{W(k)}\, {}_\sigma\hat{Q}^0[\frac{1}{p}]$. Thus the reduction of $(M \otimes_{W(k)} \hat{Q}_0, F^1 \otimes_{W(k)} \hat{Q}_0, \Phi_0, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$ modulo the ideal $(x_1, \ldots, x_d)$ of $\hat{Q}_0$, is a quadruple of the form

$$(M \otimes_{W(k)} W(k_0), F^1 \otimes_{W(k)} W(k_0), \tilde{h}(\phi \otimes \sigma_{k_0}), (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$$

for some element $\tilde{h} \in \boldsymbol{GL}_M(W(k_0))$ that fixes t_α for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}$. We have $\tilde{h} \in G(W(k_0))$. Thus (even for $p = 2$) the triple $(M \otimes_{W(k)} W(k_0), F^1 \otimes_{W(k)} W(k_0), \tilde{h}(\phi \otimes \sigma_{k_0}))$ is the filtered Dieudonné module of a uniquely determined p-divisible group $D(\tilde{h})$ over $\mathrm{Spec}(W(k_0))$ (for $p = 2$, cf. Proposition 2.2.4 and the fact that the property (C) holds).

There exists a unique p-divisible group $\mathcal{D}_{\hat{Q}_0}$ over $\mathrm{Spec}(\hat{Q}_0)$ that lifts $D(\tilde{h})$ and such that the evaluation of its filtered Dieudonné crystal at the thickening $(\mathrm{Spec}(\hat{Q}_0/p\hat{Q}_0) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(\hat{Q}_0), \delta(p))$ is $(M \otimes_{W(k)} \hat{Q}_0, F^1 \otimes_{W(k)} \hat{Q}_0, \Phi_0, \nabla_0)$. The argument for this goes as follows. The existence of $\mathcal{D}_{\hat{Q}_0}$ is implied by [Fa2, Thm. 10]. The uniqueness of $\mathcal{D}_{\hat{Q}_0} \times_{\mathrm{Spec}(\hat{Q}_0)}$

Spec($\hat{Q}_0/p\hat{Q}_0$) is implied by [BM, Prop. 1.3.3 and Thm. 4.1.1]. Thus the uniqueness of $\mathcal{D}_{\hat{Q}_0}$ itself follows from Grothendieck–Messing deformation theory and the fact that the natural divided power structure of the ideal $p(x_1,\ldots,x_d)$ of $\hat{Q}_0$ is nilpotent modulo $p(x_1,\ldots,x_d)^q$ for all $q\in\mathbb{N}$. We recall (cf. beginning of Section 1) that $\mathcal{D}_{\hat{S}_0}:=\mathcal{D}_{\hat{Q}_0}\times_{\mathrm{Spec}(\hat{Q}_0)}\mathrm{Spec}(\hat{S}_0)$. In the next two paragraphs we will use descent in order to show that $\mathcal{D}_{\hat{S}_0}$ is defined over Spec(S_0^{h}).

The $\hat{S}_0\otimes_{S_0^{\mathrm{h}}}\hat{S}_0$-module $\hat{S}_0^{(p)}\otimes_{S_0^{\mathrm{h}(p)}}\hat{S}_0^{(p)}$ is free and has $\prod_{l\in S(G)} z_l^{n_l}\otimes\prod_{l\in S(G)} z_l^{m_l}$ as a $\hat{S}_0\otimes_{S_0^{\mathrm{h}}}\hat{S}_0$-basis, where n_l, $m_l\in\{0,\ldots,p-1\}$ for all $l\in S(G)$. Thus $\hat{S}_0\otimes_{S_0^{\mathrm{h}}}\hat{S}_0$ has a finite p-basis with d^2 elements. The normalization of S_0^{h} in $\hat{S}_0$ is S_0^{h} itself and thus $\hat{S}_0\otimes_{S_0^{\mathrm{h}}}\hat{S}_0$ is an integral domain. We check that $\hat{S}_0\otimes_{S_0^{\mathrm{h}}}\hat{S}_0$ is normal. We write $\hat{S}_0$ as an inductive limit $\hat{S}_0=\mathrm{lim.ind.}_{\delta\in\mathbb{I}}S_{0\delta}$ of normal $\hat{S}_0^{\mathrm{h}}$-algebras of finite type indexed by the set of objects $\mathbb{I}$ of a filtered category. Thus $\hat{S}_0\otimes_{S_0^{\mathrm{h}}}\hat{S}_0=\mathrm{lim.ind.}_{\delta\in\mathbb{I}}S_{0\delta}\otimes_{S_0^{\mathrm{h}}}\hat{S}_0$. As R/pR is an excellent ring (see [Ma, Ch. 13, Sect. 34]), the homomorphism $S_{0\delta}\otimes_{S_0^{\mathrm{h}}}\hat{S}_0\to S_{0\delta}$ is regular. Thus the scheme $S_{0\delta}\otimes_{S_0^{\mathrm{h}}}\hat{S}_0$ is normal, cf. [Ma, Ch. 13, Sect. 33, Lemmas 2 and 4]. Therefore the scheme $\hat{S}_0\otimes_{S_0^{\mathrm{h}}}\hat{S}_0$ is normal. Let s_1, $s_2:\mathrm{Spec}(\hat{S}_0\otimes_{S_0^{\mathrm{h}}}\hat{S}_0)\to\mathrm{Spec}(\hat{S}_0)$ be the two natural projection morphisms.

Both $\mathbb{D}(s_1^*(\mathcal{D}_{\hat{S}_0}))$ and $\mathbb{D}(s_2^*(\mathcal{D}_{\hat{S}_0}))$ are defined naturally by the triple $(M\otimes_{W(k)}Q,\Phi(Q)^0,\nabla_\infty)$ and therefore we have a canonical identification $\mathbb{D}(s_1^*(\mathcal{D}_{\hat{S}_0}))=\mathbb{D}(s_2^*(\mathcal{D}_{\hat{S}_0}))$. Let $\theta: s_1^*(\mathcal{D}_{\hat{S}_0})\xrightarrow{\sim}s_2^*(\mathcal{D}_{\hat{S}_0})$ be the unique isomorphism such that $\mathbb{D}(\theta)$ is this identification $\mathbb{D}(s_1^*(\mathcal{D}_{\hat{S}_0}))=\mathbb{D}(s_2^*(\mathcal{D}_{\hat{S}_0}))$, cf. [BM, Thm. 4.1.1]. The local rings of $\hat{S}_0\otimes_{S_0^{\mathrm{h}}}\hat{S}_0\otimes_{S_0^{\mathrm{h}}}\hat{S}_0$ are normal and have finite p-bases (this is argued as for $\hat{S}_0\otimes_{S_0^{\mathrm{h}}}\hat{S}_0$). Thus based on loc. cit. we get naturally a descent datum on $\mathcal{D}_{\hat{S}_0}$ with respect to the faithfully flat morphism $\mathrm{Spec}(\hat{S}_0)\to\mathrm{Spec}(S_0^{\mathrm{h}})$ (i.e., θ satisfies the cocycle condition $s_{23}^*(\theta)\circ s_{12}^*(\theta)=s_{13}^*(\theta)$). Thus standard descent of coherent sheaves of modules (see [BLR, Ch. 6, Sect. 6.1, Thm. 4]) applied to the finite Spec($\hat{S}_0$)-scheme $\mathcal{D}_{\hat{S}_0}[p^n]$ and to the evaluation of $\mathbb{D}(\mathcal{D}_{\hat{S}_0}[p^n])$ at the thickening $(\mathrm{Spec}(\hat{S}_0)\hookrightarrow\mathrm{Spec}(\hat{Q}_{0W_n(k)}),\delta(p))$, shows that $\mathcal{D}_{\hat{S}_0}$ is the pull back of a p-divisible group $\mathcal{D}_{S_0^{\mathrm{h}}}$ over Spec(S_0^{h}) whose Dieudonné crystal is uniquely determined by its evaluation $(M\otimes_{W(k)}Q_0^{\mathrm{h}\wedge},\Phi(Q_0^{\mathrm{h}})^0,\nabla_\infty)$ at the thickening $(\mathrm{Spec}(S_0^{\mathrm{h}})\hookrightarrow\mathrm{Spec}(Q_0^{\mathrm{h}\wedge}),\delta(p))$; here and below we denote also by ∇_∞ its natural extensions.

Repeating the arguments but this time using descent in the context of the pro-étale morphism $\mathrm{Spec}(S_0^{\mathrm{h}})\to\mathrm{Spec}(S_0)$, we get that $\mathcal{D}_{S_0^{\mathrm{h}}}$ is also the pull back of a p-divisible group $\mathcal{D}_{S_0}$ over Spec(S_0) whose Dieudonné crystal is uniquely determined by its evaluation $(M\otimes_{W(k)}Q_0^{\wedge},\Phi(Q_0)^0,\nabla_\infty)$ at the thickening $(\mathrm{Spec}(S_0)\hookrightarrow\mathrm{Spec}(Q_0^{\wedge}),\delta(p))$.

Each point of Spec(Q/pQ) specializes to such a point $\mathfrak{p}_0\in\mathfrak{A}$, cf. Theorem 3.2 (c). Based on [BM, Thm. 4.1.1] we get that the $\mathcal{D}_{Q_0/pQ_0}$'s glue together to define a p-divisible group $\mathcal{D}_{Q/pQ}$ over Spec(Q/pQ) whose Dieudonné crystal is uniquely determined by its evaluation $(M\otimes_{W(k)}Q,\Phi(Q)^0,\nabla_\infty)$ at the thickening $\mathfrak{Q}$. Thus (a) holds.

We are left to prove (b) in the case when $p=2$ and the property (C) holds. It suffices to prove the existence and the uniqueness of the lift $\mathcal{D}_{Q/p^2Q}$ of $\mathcal{D}_{Q/pQ}$ to Spec(Q/p^2Q). We fix a lift $\mathcal{D}'_{Q/p^2Q}$ of $\mathcal{D}_{Q/pQ}$ to Spec(Q/p^2Q), cf. [Il, Thm. 4.4 a) and f)]. Let $\delta(p)^{\mathrm{tr}}$

be the trivial divided power structure of the ideal (p) of Q/p^2Q defined by the identities $(p)^{[s]} = 0$, $s \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$. We recall $\tilde{F}^{-1}(\mathrm{End}_{W(k)}(M))$ is the maximal direct summand of $\mathrm{End}_{W(k)}(M)$ on which $\mathbb{G}_m$ acts via μ as the identity character of $\mathbb{G}_m$, cf. Subsection 2.7. Let $\mathcal{L}_{\text{crys-lift}}$ (resp. $\mathcal{L}_{\text{lift}}$) be the free Q/pQ-module of lifts of $F^1 \otimes_{W(k)} Q/pQ$ to direct summands of $M \otimes_{W(k)} Q/p^2Q$, the zero element corresponding to the Hodge filtration defined by $\mathcal{D}'_{Q/p^2Q}$ and by the divided power structure $\delta(p)$ (resp. $\delta(p)^{\text{tr}}$) of the ideal (p) of Q/p^2Q. The Q/pQ-module structure of $\mathcal{L}_{\text{crys-lift}}$ (resp. of $\mathcal{L}_{\text{lift}}$) is defined naturally by identifying $\mathcal{L}_{\text{crys-lift}}$ (resp. $\mathcal{L}_{\text{lift}}$) with the set of images of the lift of $M \otimes_{W(k)} Q/p^2Q$ that defines the zero element of $\mathcal{L}_{\text{crys-lift}}$ (resp. of $\mathcal{L}_{\text{lift}}$) through elements of the form $1_{M\otimes_{W(k)}Q/p^2Q} + pu \in \boldsymbol{GL}_M(Q/p^2Q)$, where $u \in \tilde{F}^{-1}(\mathrm{End}_{W(k)}(M)) \otimes_{W(k)} Q/p^2Q$. Let $L \in \mathcal{L}_{\text{crys-lift}}$ be such that it corresponds to $F^1 \otimes_{W(k)} Q/p^2Q$.

We define a natural map of sets

$$\mathcal{M}_{Q/pQ} : \mathcal{L}_{\text{lift}} \to \mathcal{L}_{\text{crys-lift}}$$

as follows. Let $x \in \mathcal{L}_{\text{lift}}$ and let $\mathcal{D}^x_{Q/p^2Q}$ be the lift of $\mathcal{D}_{Q/pQ}$ defined by x and Grothendieck–Messing deformation theory (the divided power structure of the ideal (p) of Q/p^2Q being $\delta(p)^{\text{tr}}$). We define $\mathcal{M}_{Q/pQ}(x)$ to be the Hodge filtration of $M \otimes_{W(k)} Q/p^2Q$ defined by $\mathcal{D}^x_{Q/p^2Q}$ using the divided power structure $\delta(p)$ of the ideal (p) of Q/p^2Q.

The map $\mathcal{M}_{Q/pQ}$ has a functorial aspect with respect to pulls back of $\mathcal{D}_{Q/pQ}$. Let

$$\mathfrak{T} : \mathrm{Spec}(W(\tilde{k})) \to \mathrm{Spec}(\hat{Q}_0)$$

be a Teichmüller lift whose special fibre is dominant. Here $\tilde{k}$ is a big enough perfect field that contains the field of fractions $K_{\hat{S}_0}$. The map $\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{k}}$ which is the analogue of $\mathcal{M}_{Q/pQ}$ but obtained using lifts of $\mathcal{D}_{\tilde{k}}$ to $W_2(\tilde{k})$, is injective (see proof of Proposition 2.2.4). Thus $\mathcal{M}_{Q/pQ}$ is injective. Thus, if $\mathcal{D}_{Q/p^2Q}$ exists, then it is unique. To $\mathcal{D}_{\hat{Q}_0} \times_{\mathrm{Spec}(\hat{Q}_0)} \mathrm{Spec}(\hat{Q}_0/p^2\hat{Q}_0)$ corresponds an element $L_0 \in \mathcal{L}_{\text{lift}} \otimes_{Q/pQ} \hat{S}_0$. The images of L_0 in $\mathcal{L}_{\text{lift}} \otimes_{Q/pQ} S_0 \otimes_{S_0} \hat{S}_0 \otimes_{S_0^{\text{h}}} \hat{S}_0$ via s_1 and s_2 are equal. Thus we have $L_0 \in \mathcal{L}_{\text{lift}} \otimes_{Q/pQ} S_0^{\text{h}}$, cf. [BLR, Ch. 6, Sect. 6.1, Lemma 2]. Repeating the arguments in the context of the pro-étale morphism $\mathrm{Spec}(S_0^{\text{h}}) \to \mathrm{Spec}(S_0)$, we get that $L_0 \in \mathcal{L}_{\text{lift}} \otimes_{Q/pQ} S_0$. From the injectivity of $\mathcal{M}_{Q/pQ}$ and of its analogue "localization" $\mathcal{M}_{S_0}$ (thought to be $\mathcal{M}_{Q/pQ} \otimes 1_{S_0}$) and from the fact that $\mathcal{M}_{S_0}(L_0) = L$, we get that $L_0 \in \mathcal{L}_{\text{lift}} \otimes_{Q/pQ} \cap_{\mathfrak{p}_0 \in \mathfrak{A}} S_0$; here we use quotations for "localization" as we will not stop to check that the map $\mathcal{M}_{Q/pQ}$ is indeed Q/pQ-linear. But Theorem 3.2 (d) implies that $Q/pQ = \cap_{\mathfrak{p}_0 \in \mathfrak{A}} S_0$. Thus $L_0 \in \mathcal{L}_{\text{lift}}$. The element $\mathcal{M}_{Q/pQ}(L_0) - L \in \mathcal{L}_{\text{crys-lift}}$ is 0 as this is so after tensorization with $\hat{S}_0$ over Q/pQ. Thus $\mathcal{M}_{Q/pQ}(L_0) = L$ i.e., $\mathcal{D}_{Q/p^2Q}$ exists. This ends the proof of (b). □

3.4.2. Étale Tate-cycles. If $p = 2$ we continue to assume that the property (C) holds. Let the p-divisible group $\mathcal{D}$ be as in Theorem 3.4.1 (b). We consider the $B^+(Q)$-linear monomorphism

$$i_{\mathcal{D}} : M \otimes_{W(k)} B^+(Q) = M \otimes_{W(k)} Q \otimes_{Q\ i_Q} B^+(Q) \to H^1(\mathcal{D}_{K_Q}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} B^+(Q)$$

constructed as (3), the Frobenius endomorphism of $M \otimes_{W(k)} B^+(Q) = M \otimes_{W(k)} Q \otimes_{Q}\, {}_{i_Q}B^+(Q)$ being $\Phi(Q)^0 \otimes \Phi_{Q/pQ}$ (here $i_Q : Q \hookrightarrow B^+(Q)$ and $\Phi_{Q/pQ}$ are as in Subsection 2.3 and Subsubsection 2.3.5 but for Q; thus the $W(k)$-monomorphism i_Q is compatible with the Frobenius lifts Φ_Q and $\Phi_{Q/pQ}$). Let $\mathcal{V}_\alpha \in \mathcal{T}(H^1(\mathcal{D}_{K_Q})[\frac{1}{p}]) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} B^+(Q)[\frac{1}{\beta_0}]$ correspond to t_α via the $B^+(Q)[\frac{1}{\beta_0}]$-linear isomorphism $i_{\mathcal{D}}[\frac{1}{\beta_0}]$. We check that $\mathcal{V}_\alpha \in \mathcal{T}(H^1(\mathcal{D}_{K_Q})[\frac{1}{p}])$.

Let $\mathfrak{T} : \mathrm{Spec}(W(\tilde{k})) \to \mathrm{Spec}(\hat{Q}_0)$ be as in the last paragraph of the proof of Theorem 3.4.1. We denote by $\mathfrak{T}_1$ the $W(k)$-homomorphism $B^+(Q) \to B^+(\overline{W(\tilde{k})})$ defined naturally by $\mathfrak{T}$ and the choice of a $W(k)$-homomorphism $\mathfrak{T}_0 : \bar{Q} \otimes_Q W(\tilde{k}) \to \overline{W(\tilde{k})}$ (see Subsection 2.3 and the end of Subsection 2.1 for $\bar{Q}$ and $\overline{W(\tilde{k})}$). As $\mathfrak{T}$ is dominant, the following restriction $\mathfrak{T}_{00} : \bar{Q} \to \overline{W(\tilde{k})}$ of $\mathfrak{T}_0$ is injective. Based on Lemma 2.3.1 (a) and (b) we easily get that the $W(k)$-homomorphism $W(A_{Q/pQ}) \to W(A_{\tilde{k}})$ defined naturally by $\mathfrak{T}_{00}$ is also injective. This implies that the $W(k)$-homomorphism $\mathfrak{T}_1[\frac{1}{\beta_0}] : B^+(Q)[\frac{1}{\beta_0}] \to B^+(W(\tilde{k}))[\frac{1}{\beta_0}]$ is also injective. The image of $\mathcal{V}_\alpha$ via $\mathfrak{T}_1[\frac{1}{\beta_0}]$ is a tensor of $\mathcal{T}(H^1(\mathcal{D}_{B(\tilde{k})})[\frac{1}{p}])$, cf. end of Subsubsection 2.2.2. As we have a canonical identification $H^1(\mathcal{D}_{K_Q})[\frac{1}{p}] = H^1(\mathcal{D}_{B(\tilde{k})})[\frac{1}{p}]$ of $\mathbb{Z}_p$-modules, the relation $\mathcal{V}_\alpha \in \mathcal{T}(H^1(\mathcal{D}_{K_Q})[\frac{1}{p}])$ is implied by the injectivity of $\mathfrak{T}_1[\frac{1}{\beta_0}]$.

The tensor $\mathcal{V}_\alpha \in \mathcal{T}(H^1(\mathcal{D}_{K_Q})[\frac{1}{p}])$ is fixed by $\mathrm{Gal}(K_{\bar{Q}}/K_Q)$ (as t_α is so) and thus it is an étale Tate-cycle of $\mathcal{D}$ (more precisely, of $\mathcal{D}_{Q[\frac{1}{p}]}$). The pull back of $(\mathcal{D}, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$ (resp. of $(\mathcal{D}, (\mathcal{V}_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$) via a^0 is $(D, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$ (resp. is $(D, (v_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$); this is so as $a^0 : \mathrm{Spec}(W(k)) \to \mathrm{Spec}(Q)$ is a Teichmüller lift with respect to Φ_Q.

3.4.3. Lemma. *If $p = 2$ we assume that the property (C) holds. To prove the Main Theorem for $(D, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$ it is enough to prove the Main Theorem for an arbitrary pull back of $(\mathcal{D}, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$ via a $W(k)$-valued point of Spec(Q).*

Proof: All pulls back of $(\mathcal{D}, (\mathcal{V}_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$ via $\overline{B(k)}$-valued points of $\mathrm{Spec}(Q)$ are isomorphic to $(H^1(D), (v_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$. Moreover each pull back of $(\mathcal{D}, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$ via a $W(k)$-valued point of $\mathrm{Spec}(Q)$ is of the form $(D(\tilde{h}), (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$, where the p-divisible group $D(\tilde{h})$ over $\mathrm{Spec}(W(k))$ has a filtered Dieudonné module of the form $(M, F^1, \tilde{h}\phi)$ for some element $\tilde{h} \in G(W(k))$ (see the paragraph of the proof of Theorem 3.4.1 that pertains to $D(\tilde{h})$, applied with $k_0 = k$). The Lemma follows from the last two sentences. □

3.4.4. Remarks. **(a)** Suppose that $p = 2$ and G is smooth. One can use Artin approximation theory to show that $\mathcal{D}$ always exists, provided modulo p we work in the étale topology of $\mathrm{Spec}(Q/pQ)$ and we allow changes in the filtration $F^1 \otimes_{W(k)} Q$ of $M \otimes_{W(k)} Q$ (i.e., we replace the cocharacter $\mu_Q : \mathbb{G}_m \to G_Q$ by a $\mathrm{Ker}(G(Q) \to G(Q/pQ))$-conjugate of it). In general, $\mathcal{D}$ is not unique and (unfortunately) there exists nothing to guarantee that we can work with a single connected étale cover of $\mathrm{Spec}(Q/pQ)$.

(b) Suppose that $p = 2$ and that there exists no element $h \in G(W(\bar{k}))^0$ such that $(M \otimes_{W(k)} W(\bar{k}), h(\phi \otimes \sigma_{\bar{k}}))$ has both Newton polygon slopes 0 and 1 with positive multiplicities. We refer to the element $\tilde{h} \in G(W(k_0))$ of the proof of Theorem 3.4.1. Considering a Teichmüller lift $\mathrm{Spec}(W(k_0)) \to \mathrm{Spec}(Q)$ that factors through $\mathrm{Spec}(\hat{Q}_0)$, we get that $(M \otimes_{W(k)} W(k_0), \tilde{h}(\phi \otimes \sigma_{k_0}))$ is isomorphic to $(M \otimes_{W(k)} W(k_0), h(\phi \otimes \sigma_{k_0}))$, for some $h \in G(W(\bar{k}))^0$. Thus Subsubsections 3.4.1 to 3.4.3 continue to hold in this case (the same proof applies).

(c) If p is arbitrary and the property (C) holds, then one can check that $Q = R^{\wedge}$.

3.5. Application. Until Section 4 we assume that $k = \bar{k}$. We recall that G'^{0}_{k} is the identity component of G'_k (see Subsection 2.6). Let $g \in G(W(k))^0$; thus g modulo p belongs to $G'^{0}_{k}(k)$. Let $b_g : Y \to O$, $\Phi_{gR} : Y^{\wedge} \to Y^{\wedge}$, Q_g, M_{Q_g}, $\mathcal{D}_g$, and $\mathcal{B}(g\phi) \subseteq Y_k$ be the analogues of $b : Y \to O$, $\Phi_R : Y^{\wedge} \to Y^{\wedge}$, Q, M_Q, $\mathcal{D}$, and $\mathcal{B}(\phi) \subseteq Y_k$ but obtained working with $g\phi$ instead of with ϕ. We take $h \in Y(W(k)) \cap Y(W(k))g$ such that modulo p does not define a k-valued point of $\mathcal{B}(g\phi) \cup \mathcal{B}(\phi)$ and the composites of $h \circ b$ and $hg^{-1} \circ b_g$ are defined at the level of rings by homomorphisms $W(k)[z_1, \ldots, z_d] \to W(k)$ and $W(k)[z_1, \ldots, z_d] \to W(k)$ that map each z_l to $\mathbb{G}_m(W(k))$; here $l \in S(G)$. This makes sense as g modulo p belongs to $G'^{0}_{k}(k)$ and as $\mathcal{B}(g\phi) \cup \mathcal{B}(\phi)$ does not depend on h (cf. Theorem 3.2 (d)). As in Subsection 3.1 we can assume that in fact both these two homomorphisms $W(k)[z_1, \ldots, z_d] \to W(k)$ are such that they map each z_l to 1 (cf. also Theorem 3.2 (d)).

Let $a_h : \mathrm{Spec}(W(k)) \to \mathrm{Spec}(Q)$ (resp. $a_{hg^{-1}} : \mathrm{Spec}(W(k)) \to \mathrm{Spec}(Q_g)$) be a morphism that lifts the $W(k)$-valued point h (resp. hg^{-1}) of Y; it is a Teichmüller lift with respect to the Frobenius lift Φ_Q (resp. Φ_{Q_g}) due to the fact that Φ_Q (resp. Φ_{Q_g}) preserves the ideal of Q (resp. of Q_g) generated by $(z_1 - 1, \ldots, z_d - 1)$. Thus the pull back of $(M_Q, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$ (resp. $(M_{Q_g}, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$) via a_h (resp. $a_{hg^{-1}}$) is $(M, F^1, h\phi, h\phi_1, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$, where the σ-linear map $\phi_1 : F^1 \to M$ takes $x \in F^1$ to $\frac{\phi(x)}{p}$. This achieves the connection of each $(M, F^1, \phi, G, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$ and $(M, F^1, g\phi, G, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$ with the same quintuple $(M, F^1, h\phi, G, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$.

Let T_k be a maximal torus of G'^{0}_{k} through which $\mu_k : \mathbb{G}_m \to G'^{0}_{k}$ factors (see Subsection 2.6). Let T be a maximal torus of G' that lifts T_k, cf. [DG, Exp. IX, Thms. 3.6 and 7.1]. Up to $G'(W(k))$-conjugation, we can assume that $\mu : \mathbb{G}_m \to G'$ factors through T (cf. loc. cit). As the T-module M is a direct sum of T-modules of rank 1, the group scheme $\mathrm{Ker}(T \to \boldsymbol{GL}_M)$ is of multiplicative type and thus flat over $\mathrm{Spec}(W(k))$. The generic fibre of $\mathrm{Ker}(T \to \boldsymbol{GL}_M)$ is trivial. From the last two sentences we get that $\mathrm{Ker}(T \to \boldsymbol{GL}_M)$ is a trivial group scheme over $\mathrm{Spec}(W(k))$. Thus we can also identify T with a torus of $\boldsymbol{GL}_M$ that is a maximal torus of G.

Let $\sigma_\phi := \phi\mu(p)$; it is a σ-linear automorphism of M. The Lie algebra $\phi(\mathrm{Lie}(T)) = \sigma_\phi(\mathrm{Lie}(T))$ is the Lie algebra of a maximal torus $\sigma_\phi(T)$ of G and thus also of G', cf. property 2.6 (iii). Let $\bar{g}_0 \in G'^{0}_{k}(k)$ be such that $\bar{g}_0 \sigma_\phi(T)_k \bar{g}_0^{-1} = T_k$, cf. [Bo, Ch. V, Thm. 15.14]. Let $g_0 \in G'(W(k))$ be such that it lifts $\bar{g}_0$ and we have $g_0 \sigma_\phi(T) g_0^{-1} = T$, cf. [DG, Exp. IX, Thm. 3.6]. Until the end of this Section, we will take $g := g_0$.

The triple $(M, g\phi, T)$ is such that μ factors through T and $g\phi(\mathrm{Lie}(T)) = \mathrm{Lie}(T)$. We consider a set $\mathcal{J}_T$ that contains $\mathcal{J}$ and a family of tensors $(t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}_T}$ of $F^0(\mathcal{T}(M))[\frac{1}{p}]$ that extends $(t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}$ and that has the following two properties (cf. Lemma 2.5.3): (i) $g\phi$ fixes each t_α with $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}_T$, and (ii) $T_{B(k)}$ is the subgroup of $\boldsymbol{GL}_{M[\frac{1}{p}]}$ that fixes t_α for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}_T$.

3.5.1. Theorem. *It suffices to prove the Main Theorem under the extra hypothesis that G is a torus.*

Proof: We first prove this Theorem in the case when either $p > 2$ or $p = 2$ and the property (C) holds. For $\tilde{h} \in G'(W(k))$ let $D(\tilde{h})$ be the p-divisible group over $\mathrm{Spec}(W(k))$ whose filtered Dieudonné module is $(M, F^1, \tilde{h}\phi)$, cf. Proposition 2.2.4. If $p = 2$, then the property

(C) also holds for either $(M, g\phi, T)$ or $(M, h\phi, G)$. The pull back of $(\mathcal{D}, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$ (resp. of its analogue $(\mathcal{D}_g, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$ over $\mathrm{Spec}(Q_g)$) through a_h (resp. $a_{hg^{-1}}$) is $(D(h), (t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$. Thus to prove the Main Theorem for $(D, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$ (resp. $(D(g), (t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$) is equivalent to proving the Main Theorem for $(D(h), (t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$, cf. Lemma 3.4.3. Thus the Main Theorem holds for $(D, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$ if and only if the Main Theorem holds for $(D(g), (t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$. If the Main Theorem holds for $(D(g), (t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}_T})$, then the Main Theorem also holds for $(D(g), (t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$. As $T_{B(k)}$ is the subgroup of $\boldsymbol{GL}_{M[\frac{1}{p}]}$ that fixes t_α for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}_T$, to prove the Main Theorem in this case we can assume that $G = T$.

We are left to prove the Theorem in the case when $p = 2$ and $G^{\acute{e}t}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ is a torus. It is enough to show that G is a torus. Let $\mathcal{E}^{\acute{e}t}$ be the semisimple $\mathbb{Z}_p$-subalgebra of $\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(H^1(D))$ formed by all endomorphisms fixed by $G^{\acute{e}t}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$. Thus $\mathcal{E}^{\acute{e}t}$ is a semisimple $\mathbb{Z}_p$-subalgebra of $\mathrm{End}(D^{\mathrm{t}})$ and by functoriality we can identify $\mathcal{E}^{\acute{e}t}$ with a semisimple $\mathbb{Z}_p$-algebra $\mathcal{E}$ of endomorphisms of (M, F^1, ϕ). The centralizer of $\mathcal{E}^{\acute{e}t}$ in $\boldsymbol{GL}_{H^1(D)}$ is a torus $G^{\acute{e}t}_{1\mathbb{Z}_p}$ that contains $G^{\acute{e}t}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$. As $i_D[\frac{1}{\beta_0}]$ is an isomorphism, the group schemes G and $G^{\acute{e}t}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ are isomorphic over $\mathrm{Spec}(B^+(W(k))[\frac{1}{\beta_0}])$; thus $G_{B(k)}$ is a torus. The centralizer C of the semisimple $W(k)$-algebra $\mathcal{E}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(k)$ in $\boldsymbol{GL}_M$ is a product of general linear group schemes whose extension to $\mathrm{Spec}(B^+(W(k))[\frac{1}{\beta_0}])$ is isomorphic to $G^{\acute{e}t}_{1B^+(W(k))[\frac{1}{\beta_0}]}$. Thus C is a split torus. As $G_{B(k)}$ is a subtorus of $C_{B(k)}$, G is a subtorus of C. Thus G is a torus. □

4. Proof of the Main Theorem

In Subsections 4.1 and 4.2 we prove the Main Theorem. See Subsection 4.3 for an example that illustrates the computations of Subsections 4.1 to 4.2. See Subsection 4.4 for the proof of Corollary 1.4. Subsection 4.5 contains two remarks. We will use the notations of Subsubsections 2.1, 2.2.1, and 2.2.2. Until Section 5 we assume that $k = \bar{k}$.

4.1. Notations and simple properties. We start the proof of the Main Theorem. To prove the Main Theorem we can assume that G is a torus T, cf. Theorem 3.5.1. Let $\mu : \mathbb{G}_m \to T$ be as in Subsection 2.1 (it is easy to see that $\mu = \mu_{\mathrm{can}}^{-1}$). Let σ_ϕ, $M_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$, and $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ be as before Lemma 2.5.2. Let $T^{\acute{e}t}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} := G^{\acute{e}t}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ and let $T_{\mathbb{Z}_p} := G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$. The hypothesis of the Main Theorem for $p = 2$ says: if $p = 2$, then either the group scheme $T^{\acute{e}t}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ over $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ is a torus or at least one of the two p-divisible groups D_k and D_k^{t} is connected. Let $T_{0\mathbb{Z}_p}$ be the smallest subtorus of $T_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ such that $\mu : \mathbb{G}_m \to T$ factors through $T_0 := T_{0W(k)}$. Thus T_0 is the subtorus of T generated by the images of the conjugates of μ under integral powers of ϕ. Let $\mathcal{E}$ (resp. $\mathcal{E}^{\acute{e}t}$) be the $\mathbb{Z}_p$-subalgebra of endomorphisms of $M_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ (resp. of $H^1(D)$ and thus also of D^{t}) fixed by $T_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ (resp. by $T^{\acute{e}t}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$). As $T_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ is a torus, $\mathcal{E}$ is a semisimple $\mathbb{Z}_p$-algebra. Let $T_{1\mathbb{Z}_p}$ be the double centralizer of $T_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ in $\boldsymbol{GL}_{M_{\mathbb{Z}_p}}$ (i.e., the centralizer of $\mathcal{E}$ in $\boldsymbol{GL}_{M_{\mathbb{Z}_p}}$). Thus $T_1 := T_{1W(k)}$ is the double centralizer of T in $\boldsymbol{GL}_M$. Both $T_{1\mathbb{Z}_p}$ and T_1 are tori. Let $T_{2\mathbb{Z}_p}$ be a maximal torus of $\boldsymbol{GL}_{M_{\mathbb{Z}_p}}$ that contains $T_{1\mathbb{Z}_p}$. Thus $T_2 := T_{2W(k)}$ is a maximal torus of $\boldsymbol{GL}_M$ that contains T_1 and such that we have $\phi(\mathrm{Lie}(T_2)) = \mathrm{Lie}(T_2)$. Let n and m be the ranks of F^0 and F^1 (respectively).

Let $\mathcal{B} := \{a_1, \ldots, a_{n+m}\}$ be a $W(k)$-basis for $M = F^1 \oplus F^0$ such that we have inclusions $\{a_1, \ldots, a_n\} \subseteq F^0$ and $\{a_{n+1}, \ldots, a_{n+m}\} \subseteq F^1$ and moreover T_2 normalizes $W(k)x$ for all $x \in \mathcal{B}$. For $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ let $\varepsilon_i := 0$. For $i \in \{n+1, \ldots, n+m\}$ let $\varepsilon_i := 1$. As $\phi(\text{Lie}(T_2)) = \text{Lie}(T_2)$, there exists a permutation π of the set $\{1, \ldots, n+m\}$ such that we have $\phi(a_i) \in \mathbb{G}_m(W(k))p^{\varepsilon_i}a_{\pi(i)}$ for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, n+m\}$. By replacing each a_i with a suitable $\mathbb{G}_m(W(k))$-multiple of it, we can assume that we have

$$\phi(a_i) = p^{\varepsilon_i}a_{\pi(i)} \quad \forall i \in \{1, \ldots, n+m\}.$$

Let $\phi_1 : F^1 \to M$ be the σ-linear map such that for all $i \in \{n+1, \ldots, n+m\}$ we have $\phi_1(a_i) := a_{\pi(i)}$. The reduction of (M, F^1, ϕ, ϕ_1) modulo p is $\mathbb{D}(D[p])$ of Subsubsection 2.3.3.

4.1.1. Lemma. *We have $\mathcal{E}^{\acute{e}t} = \mathcal{E}$ and $T^{\acute{e}t}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ is a torus.*

Proof: Each element of $\mathcal{E}$ (resp. of $\mathcal{E}^{\acute{e}t}$) when viewed as a tensor of $\mathcal{T}(M[\frac{1}{p}])$ (resp. of $\mathcal{T}(H^1(D))$) is fixed by both ϕ and μ (resp. by $\text{Gal}(B(k))$). The functorial aspect of Fontaine comparison theory allows us to identify $\mathcal{E}^{\acute{e}t}[\frac{1}{p}] = \mathcal{E}[\frac{1}{p}]$ in such a way that $\mathcal{E}^{\acute{e}t}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_p$-subalgebra of $\mathcal{E}$. If $p > 2$ or if $p = 2$ and either D_k or D_k^{t} is connected, then we have $\mathcal{E}^{\acute{e}t} = \mathcal{E}^{\acute{e}t}[\frac{1}{p}] \cap \text{End}(H^1(D)) = \mathcal{E}[\frac{1}{p}] \cap \text{End}((M, F^1, \phi)) = \mathcal{E}$ (cf. Lemma 2.2.3). If $T^{\acute{e}t}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ is a torus, then $\mathcal{E}^{\acute{e}t}$ is a semisimple $\mathbb{Z}_p$-algebra and therefore a maximal order of $\mathcal{E}^{\acute{e}t}[\frac{1}{p}] = \mathcal{E}[\frac{1}{p}]$; thus $\mathcal{E}^{\acute{e}t} = \mathcal{E}$. Therefore we always have $\mathcal{E}^{\acute{e}t} = \mathcal{E}$ and thus $\mathcal{E}^{\acute{e}t}$ is a semisimple $\mathbb{Z}_p$-algebra. An argument similar to the one of the proof of Theorem 3.5.1 shows that $T^{\acute{e}t}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \times_{\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}_p)} \text{Spec}(W(k))$ is a subtorus of the split torus that is the centralizer of $\mathcal{E}^{\acute{e}t} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(k)$ in $\boldsymbol{GL}_{H^1(D)\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(k)}$. Thus $T^{\acute{e}t}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ is a torus. $\square$

4.1.2. Decomposing D. Let $\pi = \prod_{l\in\mathcal{C}(\pi)} \pi_l$ be the decomposition of π into cycles. If $l \in \mathcal{C}(\pi)$ and if $\pi_l = (i_1, \ldots, i_q)$ with $q \in \mathbb{N}$, let $M_l := \oplus_{s=1}^{q} W(k)a_{i_s}$. We get a direct sum decomposition $(M, F^1, \phi) = \oplus_{l\in\mathcal{C}(\pi)}(M_l, M_l \cap F^1, \phi)$. The projection of M on M_l along $\oplus_{l'\in\mathcal{C}(\pi)\setminus\{l\}} M_{l'}$ is an element of $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}^{\acute{e}t}$. Thus the direct sum decomposition $(M, F^1, \phi) = \oplus_{l\in\mathcal{C}(\pi)}(M_l, M_l \cap F^1, \phi)$ defines a product decomposition $D = \prod_{l\in\mathcal{C}(\pi)} D_l$ into p-divisible groups over $\text{Spec}(W(k))$ whose special fibres have a unique Newton polygon slope. Below we will often use this fact in order to reduce our computations to the simpler case when π is a cycle.

4.1.3. Lubin–Tate quadruples. We say that $(M, F^1, \phi, T, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$ is a Lubin–Tate quadruple if $m = 1$ and π is a cycle (equivalently, if the $W(k)$-module F^1 has rank 1 and the F-isocrystal (M, ϕ) over k is simple of Newton polygon slope $\frac{1}{n+1}$).

We check that if $(M, F^1, \phi, T, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$ is a Lubin–Tate quadruple, then we have $T_0 = T_1 = T = G = T_2$. The cocharacter $\mu : \mathbb{G}_m \to \boldsymbol{GL}_M$ of (M, F^1, ϕ) acts trivially on a_i for $i \in \{2, \ldots, n+m\}$ and non-trivially on a_1. Thus T_0 contains the rank 1 subtorus of T_2 that fixes $a_2, a_3, \ldots,$ and a_{n+m}. But ϕ normalizes $\text{Lie}(T_{0,B(k)})$ (cf. the very definition of T_0) and thus T_0 contains the subgroup scheme of T_2 generated by the images of the conjugates of μ under powers of ϕ. Therefore by induction on $i \in \{1, \ldots, n+m\}$ we get that T_0 contains the rank 1 subtorus of T_2 that fixes $a_{1+i},\dots,a_{n+m}$, $a_1,\dots,$ and a_{i-1}. Thus $T_2 \leqslant T_0$. Therefore we have $T_0 = T_1 = T = G = T_2$.

4.1.4. Theorem. *There exists* $t \in T(B^+(W(k))[\frac{1}{\beta_0}])$ *that takes the* $B^+(W(k))$*-submodule* $(i_D[\frac{1}{\beta_0}])^{-1}(H^1(D)\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} B^+(W(k)))$ *of* $M\otimes_{W(k)} B^+(W(k))[\frac{1}{\beta_0}]$ *onto* $M\otimes_{W(k)} B^+(W(k))$.

4.2. Proofs of 1.2 and 4.1.4. To prove the Main Theorem is equivalent to proving Theorem 4.1.4, cf. Lemma 2.5.2 (b) and Fact 2.5.1 (b). We will prove the Main Theorem and Theorem 4.1.4 in the next eleven Subsubsections.

4.2.1. Lemma. *Suppose that* $T = T_1$ *(for instance, this holds if* $(M, F^1, \phi, T, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$ *is a Lubin–Tate quadruple). Then the Main Theorem and Theorem 4.1.4 hold.*

Proof: As $T = T_1$, T is the centralizer of $\mathcal{E}$ in $\boldsymbol{GL}_M$. Thus to prove the Main Theorem and Theorem 4.1.4 we can assume that $\mathcal{E} = \{t_\alpha|\alpha\in\mathcal{J}\}$ and $\mathcal{E}^{\acute{e}t} = \{v_\alpha|\alpha\in\mathcal{J}\}$, cf. Fact 2.5.1 (a) and (b). Let $\mathcal{A} := \mathcal{E}^{\acute{e}t}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(k) = \mathcal{E}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(k)$, cf. Lemma 4.1.1. The $W(k)$-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is a finite product $\prod_{i\in\mathcal{I}} M_{r_i}(W(k))$ of matrix $W(k)$-algebras (here each $r_i\in\mathbb{N}$). Each representation of $\prod_{i\in\mathcal{I}} M_{r_i}(W(k))$ on a free $W(k)$-module of finite rank is a direct sum indexed by $i\in\mathcal{I}$ of a finite number f_i of copies of the standard representation of $M_{r_i}(W(k))$ of rank r_i. The representations of $\mathcal{A}$ on M and $H^1(D)\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(k)$ involve the same numbers f_i, as the tensorizations of these representations with $B^+(W(k))[\frac{1}{\beta_0}]$ are isomorphic (cf. Subsubsection 2.2.2). Thus the representations of $\mathcal{A}$ on M and $H^1(D)\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(k)$ are isomorphic i.e., there exists an isomorphism $\rho : (M, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} (H^1(D)\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(k), (v_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$. Thus the Main Theorem and Theorem 4.1.4 hold. $\square$

4.2.2. The w_i elements. Let $t \in T_1(B^+(W(k))[\frac{1}{\beta_0}])$ be an element that takes the $B^+(W(k))$-module $(i_D[\frac{1}{\beta_0}])^{-1}(H^1(D)\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} B^+(W(k)))$ onto $M\otimes_{W(k)} B^+(W(k))$, cf. Lemma 4.2.1 . As i_D is a $B^+(W(k))$-monomorphism and as β_0 annihilates $\mathrm{Coker}(i_D)$, there exist elements $w_i \in B^+(W(k)) \cap \mathbb{G}_m(B^+(W(k))[\frac{1}{\beta_0}])$ such that we have

$$t(a_i) = w_i a_i, \quad \forall i \in \{1,\dots,n+m\}.$$

Let $q_k : B^+(W(k)) \twoheadrightarrow V(k)/pV(k)$ be as in Subsubsection 2.2.2. To compute the $q_k(w_i)$'s (see Proposition 4.2.5 below) we need few extra preliminaries. In all that follows we assume that the different roots of p which will show up are powers of a fixed high order root (like the $(p^{m+n})!$-th root) of p and that $q_k(\xi_0) \in V(k)/pV(k)$ is $p^{\frac{1}{p}}$ modulo p. We consider the system $\mathcal{S}$ of equations

$$X_i^p = (-p)^{\frac{\varepsilon_{\pi(i)}}{p}} X_{\pi(i)}, \quad i \in \{1,\dots,n+m\}$$

in $n+m$-variables $X_1,\dots,X_{n+m}$ over $V(k)$. We fix a non-zero solution $(Z_1,\dots,Z_{n+m})$ of $\mathcal{S}$. Let $v : K(k)\setminus\{0\} \to \mathbb{Q}$ be the valuation of $K(k)$ normalized by $v(p) = 1$.

4.2.3. Lemma. (a) *If* π *is a cycle, then any other non-zero solution of* $\mathcal{S}$ *is of the form*

$$(\gamma Z_1, \gamma^{p^{\eta_2}} Z_2, \dots, \gamma^{p^{\eta_{n+m}}} Z_{n+m}), \tag{17}$$

where $\gamma \in \mu_{p^{n+m}-1}(W(k)) \subseteq V(k)$ *and where for* $i \in \{2,\dots,n+m\}$ *the number* $\eta_i \in \{1,\dots,n+m-1\}$ *is such that* $\pi^{\eta_i}(1) = i$.

(b) *For* $i \in \{1,\dots,n+m\}$ *there exists a rational function* $Q_i(x) \in \mathbb{Q}(x)$ *that depends on* π *and* n *but not on* p *and such that we have* $v(Z_i) = Q_i(p) \in [0, \frac{1}{p(p-1)}] \cap \mathbb{Q}$.

(c) *If* $(i_1,\dots,i_q)$ *is a cycle of* π, *then we have* $Q_{i_1}(p) = \frac{1}{p(p-1)}$ *if and only if* $\varepsilon_{i_1} = \cdots = \varepsilon_{i_q} = 1$.

Proof: Part (a) is trivial. To prove (b) and (c), let $(i_1,\dots,i_q)$ be a cycle of π of length q and let $i_{q+1} := i_1$. Let

$$Q_{i_1}(x) := \frac{\sum_{j\in\{1,\dots,q\},\,\varepsilon_{i_{j+1}}=1} x^{q-j-1}}{x^q - 1}. \tag{18}$$

From the shape of $\mathcal{S}$ we get $Z_{i_1}^{p^q} = (-p)^{\sum_{j\in\{1,\dots,q\},\,\varepsilon_{i_{j+1}}=1} p^{q-j-1}} Z_{i_1}$. As $Z_{i_1} \in V(k) \setminus \{0\}$, we get that $v(Z_{i_1}) = Q_{i_1}(p) \le \sum_{j=1}^{q} \frac{p^{q-j-1}}{p^q-1} = \frac{1}{p(p-1)}$. Thus $Q_{i_1}(p) \in [0, \frac{1}{p(p-1)}] \cap \mathbb{Q}$ and therefore (b) holds. We have $Q_{i_1}(p) = \frac{1}{p(p-1)}$ if and only if $\sum_{j\in\{1,\dots,q\},\,\varepsilon_{i_{j+1}}=1} x^{q-j} = \sum_{j=1}^{q} x^{q-j}$ i.e., if and only if $\varepsilon_{i_1} = \cdots = \varepsilon_{i_q} = 1$. Thus (c) also holds. $\square$

4.2.4. Proposition. *We recall that* $i_D^*\colon T_p(D_{B(k)}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} B^+(W(k)) \hookrightarrow M^* \otimes_{W(k)} B^+(W(k))$ *is the dual of the* $B^+(W(k))$*-linear monomorphism* $i_D : M \otimes_{W(k)} B^+(W(k)) \hookrightarrow H^1(D) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} B^+(W(k))$ *of (1). Let* $r_D : M \hookrightarrow H^1(D) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} B^+(W(k))$ *and* $r_D^* : T_p(D_{B(k)}) \hookrightarrow M^* \otimes_{W(k)} B^+(W(k))$ *be the natural restrictions of* i_D *and* i_D^* *(respectively). Then the* $\mathbb{F}_p$*-linear maps* r_D *modulo* p *and* r_D^* *modulo* p *are injective.*

Proof: We recall that β_0 annihilates $\mathrm{Coker}(i_D)$ and $\mathrm{Coker}(i_D^*)$ and that $q_k(\beta_0)$ is $p^{\frac{1}{p-1}}$ modulo p times a unit of $V(k)/pV(k)$ (see Subsubsections 2.2.1 and 2.2.2). Thus if $p > 2$, then the $\mathbb{F}_p$-linear maps r_D modulo p and r_D^* modulo p are injective. We check that r_D modulo p is injective even for $p = 2$. To check this, we can assume π is a cycle (cf. Subsection 4.1.2). We show that the assumption that r_D modulo p is not injective, leads to a contradiction. This assumption implies that there exists $i \in \{1,\dots,n+m\}$ such that $w_i \in pB^+(W(k))$.

By induction on $j \in \{0,\dots,n+m-1\}$ we check that $w_{i+j} \in pB^+(W(k))$ (here $w_{n+m+s} := w_s$ for $s \in \{1,\dots,i-1\}$). The case $j = 0$ is obvious and the passage from j to $j+1$ goes as follows. If $i+j \le n$, then $i_D(a_{i+j+1} \otimes 1) = (1_{H^1(D)} \otimes \Phi_k)(i_D(a_{i+j} \otimes 1)) \in (1_{H^1(D)} \otimes \Phi_k)(H^1(D) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} pB^+(W(k))) \subseteq H^1(D) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} pB^+(W(k))$. If $i+j > n$, then due to the fact that i_D respects filtrations we have $i_D(a_{i+j+1} \otimes 1) = (1_{H^1(D)} \otimes \Phi_{1k})(i_D(a_{i+j} \otimes 1)) \in (1_{H^1(D)} \otimes \Phi_{1k})(H^1(D) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} pF^1(B^+(W(k)))) \subseteq H^1(D) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} pB^+(W(k))$. Thus regardless of what $i+j$ is, we have $w_{i+j+1} \in pB^+(W(k))$. This ends the induction.

Thus r_D modulo p is the 0 map. Referring to (2), we get that $\mathrm{Im}(j_D) \subseteq pH^1(D) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathrm{gr}^1$. As we also have $pH^1(D) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathrm{gr}^1 \subseteq \mathrm{Im}(j_D)$ (see proof of Lemma 2.2.3) we get that $\mathrm{Im}(j_D) = pH^1(D) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathrm{gr}^1$. Thus for each $j \in \{1,\dots,n\}$, the element $b_j \in H^1(D) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} B^+(W(k))$ defined by the equality $i_D(a_j \otimes 1) = pb_j$ is such that its image in $H^1(D) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} k$ is non-zero. Thus $(1_{H^1(D)} \otimes \Phi_k)^s(b_j)$ modulo p is non-zero for all $s \in \mathbb{N}$. Taking $s >> 0$ we get that the Newton polygon slope $\frac{m}{m+n}$ of (M, ϕ) is 0. Therefore D is étale. Thus i_D

is an isomorphism and therefore r_D modulo p is injective. Contradiction. Thus r_D modulo p is injective even if $p=2$. This implies that r_D^* modulo p is injective even if $p=2$. $\square$

4.2.5. Proposition. *Let $(x_1,\ldots,x_{n+m})$ be the reduction modulo p of the solution $(Z_1,\ldots,Z_{n+m})$ we fixed in Subsubsection 4.2.2. Then for each $i\in\{1,\ldots,n+m\}$ there exists $v_i\in\mathbb{G}_m(V(k)/pV(k))$ such that we have*

$$q_k(w_i)=q_k(\xi_0)^{\varepsilon_i}x_iv_i. \tag{19}$$

Proof: To check Formula (19) we can assume that π is a cycle $(i_1,\ldots,i_{m+n})$, cf. Subsection 4.1.2. Thus (M,ϕ) has only one Newton polygon slope $\frac{m}{m+n}$. We first check (19) in the case when $n=0$. As $n=0$, we have $D=\mu_{p^\infty}^m$ and thus each $Q_i(p)$ is $\frac{1}{p(p-1)}$ (cf. Lemma 4.2.3 (c)). We also have $\mathrm{Im}(i_D)=H^1(D)\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\beta_0B^+(W(k))$ (see Subsubsection 2.2.2) and thus each $w_1,\ldots,w_m$ is a $\mathbb{G}_m(B^+(W(k)))$-multiple of β_0. But up to $\mathbb{G}_m(V(k)/pV(k))$-multiples, x_i is $p^{\frac{1}{p(p-1)}}$ modulo p (cf. Lemma 4.2.3 (c)) and $q_k(\beta_0)$ is $p^{\frac{1}{p-1}}$ modulo p (see Subsubsection 2.2.1). As $p^{\frac{1}{p-1}}=p^{\frac{1}{p}}p^{\frac{1}{p(p-1)}}$, we easily get that Formula (19) holds if $n=0$.

We prove Formula (19) in the case when $n>0$. As $n>0$ and as π is a cycle, we have $Q_i(p)\in[0,\frac{1}{p(p-1)})$ for all $i\in\{1,\ldots,n+m\}$ (cf. Lemma 4.2.3 (b) and (c)).

The r_D modulo p and the extension $<,>$ to $B^+(W(k))/pB^+(W(k))$ of the perfect pairing $<,>\colon T_p(D_{B(k)})/pT_p(D_{B(k)})\times H^1(D)/pH^1(D)\to\mathbb{F}_p$ define an $\mathbb{F}_p$-linear map

$$j_1: T_p(D_{B(k)})/pT_p(D_{B(k)})\to \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{D}(D[p]),\mathbb{D}(B^+(W(k))/pB^+(W(k))))$$

via the formula $(j_1(x))(y)=<x,z>\in B^+(W(k))/pB^+(W(k))$, where $y\in M/pM$ and $x\in T_p(D_{B(k)})/pT_p(D_{B(k)})$ and where $z\in H^1(D)/pH^1(D)\otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p}B^+(W(k))/pB^+(W(k))$ is the image of y through r_D modulo p. The epimorphism $\mathbb{D}(B^+(W(k))/pB^+(W(k)))\twoheadrightarrow\mathbb{D}(V(k)/pV(k))$ defined by q_k, defines an $\mathbb{F}_p$-linear map

$$j_2:\mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{D}(D[p]),\mathbb{D}(B^+(W(k))/pB^+(W(k))))\to\mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{D}(D[p]),\mathbb{D}(V(k)/pV(k))).$$

As r_D modulo p is injective, j_1 is an $\mathbb{F}_p$-linear monomorphism. We check that j_2 is also an $\mathbb{F}_p$-linear monomorphism.

Let $\tilde{x}: M/pM\to B^+(W(k))/pB^+(W(k))$ be a k-linear map that defines an element of $\mathrm{Ker}(j_2)$. The kernel of the k-epimorphism $B^+(W(k))/pB^+(W(k))\twoheadrightarrow V(k)/pV(k)$ defined by q_k is annihilated by the Frobenius endomorphism of $B^+(W(k))/pB^+(W(k))$. Thus $\tilde{x}$ annihilates $\mathrm{Im}(\phi)$ modulo p. As $n>0$, we get that there exists $i\in\{1,\ldots,n+m\}$ such that $\tilde{x}$ annihilates a_i modulo p. As $\mathrm{Im}(\phi)$ modulo p is contained in $\mathrm{Ker}(\tilde{x})$ and as ϕ_1 modulo p maps $\mathrm{Ker}(\tilde{x})\cap F^1/pF^1$ to $\mathrm{Ker}(\tilde{x})$, by induction on $s\in\{0,\ldots,n+m-1\}$ we get that $\tilde{x}$ annihilates $a_{\pi^s(i)}$ modulo p. Thus, as π is a cycle and as $\mathrm{Ker}(\tilde{x})$ is a k-vector space, we have $\tilde{x}=0$. Therefore j_2 is an $\mathbb{F}_p$-linear monomorphism.

Let $x: M/pM\to V(k)/pV(k)$ be a k-linear map that defines an element of $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{D}(D[p]),\mathbb{D}(V(k)/pV(k)))$. As $x(F^1/pF^1)$ belongs to $q_k(\xi_0)V(k)/pV(k)$, the image through x of a_i modulo p is of the form $q_k(\xi_0)^{\varepsilon_i}y_i$, where $y_i\in V(k)/pV(k)$. But x takes $\phi_{\varepsilon_i}(a_i)$ modulo p to $q_k(\xi_0)^{\varepsilon_{\pi(i)}}y_{\pi(i)}$ as well as to $(-y_i)^p$ (cf. the definition of $\bar{\Phi}_k$ and $\bar{\Phi}_{1k}$ in Subsubsection 2.2.1). Thus $(y_1,\ldots,y_{n+m})$ is a solution of the reduction of $\mathcal{S}$ modulo

p. Conversely, if $(y_1, \ldots, y_{n+m})$ is a solution of the reduction of $\mathcal{S}$ modulo p, then the map $x : M/pM \to V(k)/pV(k)$ that takes a_i modulo p to $q_k(\xi_0)^{\varepsilon_i} y_i$ does define an element of $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{D}(D[p]), \mathbb{D}(V(k)/pV(k)))$. Thus, as a set, $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{D}(D[p]), \mathbb{D}(V(k)/pV(k)))$ is in bijection with the set of solutions of the reduction of $\mathcal{S}$ modulo p. Thus, as $V(k)$ is strictly henselian and $\mathcal{S}$ defines a finite, flat $V(k)$-algebra of degree p^{n+m}, the number n_0 of elements of $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{D}(D[p]), \mathbb{D}(V(k)/pV(k)))$ is at most p^{n+m} and therefore at most equal to the number of elements of $T_p(D_{B(k)})/pT_p(D_{B(k)})$. As j_1 and j_2 are $\mathbb{F}_p$-linear monomorphisms and as $T_p(D_{B(k)})/pT_p(D_{B(k)})$ has p^{n+m} elements, by reasons of orders of finite abelian groups we get that $n_0 = p^{n+m}$ and that both j_1 and j_2 are isomorphisms.

Let $\{a_1^*, \ldots, a_{n+m}^*\}$ be the $W(k)$-basis for M^* which is the dual of the $W(k)$-basis $\mathcal{B} = \{a_1, \ldots, a_m\}$ of M. Let

$$s_D : T_p(D_{B(k)})/pT_p(D_{B(k)}) \to M^* \otimes_{W(k)} V(k)/pV(k)$$

be the $\mathbb{F}_p$-linear map defined naturally by $i_D^* \otimes 1_{V(k)/p(V(k)}$ (the tensorization being with respect to $q_k : B^+(W(k)) \twoheadrightarrow V(k)/pV(k)$). As $j_2 \circ j_1$ is an isomorphism, from the description of $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{D}(D[p]), \mathbb{D}(V(k)/pV(k)))$ we get that $[V(k)/pV(k)]\mathrm{Im}(s_D)$ is the $V(k)/pV(k)$-submodule of $M^* \otimes_{W(k)} V(k)/pV(k)$ generated by elements of the form $\sum_{i=1}^{n+m} a_i^* \otimes q_k(\xi_0)^{\varepsilon_i} y_i$, where $(y_1, \ldots, y_{n+m})$ runs through all reductions modulo p of solutions of $\mathcal{S}$ (for $p \geq 3$ this result is a particular case of [Fa2, Sect. 4, p. 128]). The *Moore determinant* of the square matrix of $M_{n+m}(W(k))$ whose rows are $(\gamma, \gamma^{p^{\eta_2}}, \ldots, \gamma^{p^{\eta_{n+m}}})$, with γ running through $\mu_{p^{n+m}-1}(W(k))$, is invertible (cf. [Go, Def. 1.3.2 and Lem. 1.3.3]). From this and (17) we get that $V(k)/pV(k)\mathrm{Im}(s_D)$ is generated by all $a_i^* \otimes q_k(\xi_0)^{\varepsilon_i} x_i$'s with $i \in \{1, \ldots, m+n\}$. As $\frac{1}{p} + Q_i(p) < \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p(p-1)} = \frac{1}{p-1} \leq 1$, each element $q_k(\xi_0)^{\varepsilon_i} x_i \in V(k)/pV(k)$ is non-zero; therefore s_D is injective (even if $p = 2$). On the other hand, as i_D^* is the dual of i_D and as we have $t(a_i i) = w_i a_i$ (see Subsubsection 4.2.2), we easily get that $V(k)/pV(k)\mathrm{Im}(s_D)$ is generated by all $a_i^* \otimes q_k(w_i)$'s with $i \in \{1, \ldots, m+n\}$. Thus for $i \in \{1, \ldots, n+m\}$, we get that $q_k(w_i)$ is a $\mathbb{G}_m(V(k)/pV(k))$-multiple of $q_k(\xi_0)^{\varepsilon_i} x_i$. Therefore (19) holds even if $n > 0$. $\square$

4.2.6. A Lubin–Tate quadruple. To the quintuple $(M, F^1, \phi, T, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$ we will associate a Lubin–Tate quintuple $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{F}^1, \tilde{\phi}, \tilde{T}, (\tilde{t}_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \tilde{\mathcal{J}}})$ to which we can apply Subsubsections 4.2.1 to 4.2.5. Let $o(\pi)$ be the order of π. Let $n(\pi) \in \mathbb{N}$ be the smallest number with the property that for each cycle $(i_1, \ldots, i_q)$ of π, there exist at most $n(\pi)$ distinct cycles of π of the form $(i_1', \ldots, i_q')$ and such that up to a cyclic rearrangement we have $\varepsilon_{i_j'} = \varepsilon_{i_j}$ for all $j \in \{1, \ldots, q\}$. Let $r \in o(\pi)n(\pi)\mathbb{N}$. Let $\{\tilde{a}_1, \ldots, \tilde{a}_r\}$ be a $W(k)$-basis for $\tilde{M} := W(k)^r$.

For $s \in \mathbb{N}$ and $i \in \{1, \ldots, r\}$ let $\tilde{a}_{sr+i} := \tilde{a}_i$. Let $\tilde{D}$ be the p-divisible group over $\mathrm{Spec}(W(k))$ whose filtered Dieudonné module is $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{F}^1, \tilde{\phi})$, where $\tilde{F}^1 := W(k)\tilde{a}_1$, $\tilde{\phi}(\tilde{a}_1) = p\tilde{a}_2$, and $\tilde{\phi}(\tilde{a}_i) = \tilde{a}_{i+1}$ for $i \in \{2, \ldots, r\}$ (cf. Lemma 2.2.3 and Proposition 2.2.4).

Let $(F^i(\mathcal{T}(\tilde{M})))_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be the filtration of $\mathcal{T}(\tilde{M})$ defined by $\tilde{F}^1$. Let

$$(\tilde{M}, \tilde{F}^1, \tilde{\phi})^{\otimes s} := (\tilde{M}^{\otimes s}, (F^i(\mathcal{T}(\tilde{M})) \cap \tilde{M}^{\otimes s})_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}, \tilde{\phi}).$$

Let $(\tilde{t}_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \tilde{\mathcal{J}}}$ be the set of all tensors of $F^0(\mathcal{T}(\tilde{M}))[\frac{1}{p}]$ fixed by $\tilde{\phi}$. Let $\tilde{v}_\alpha \in \mathcal{T}(H^1(\tilde{D})[\frac{1}{p}])$ be the element that corresponds to $\tilde{t}_\alpha$ via the following $B^+(W(k))[\frac{1}{\beta_0}]$-linear isomorphism $i_{\tilde{D}}[\frac{1}{\beta_0}] : \tilde{M} \otimes_{W(k)} B^+(W(k))[\frac{1}{\beta_0}] \xrightarrow{\sim} H^1(\tilde{D}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} B^+(W(k))[\frac{1}{\beta_0}]$ obtained as i_D of (1) was.

Let $\tilde{G}$ be the schematic closure in $\boldsymbol{GL}_{\tilde{M}}$ of the subgroup $\tilde{G}_{B(k)}$ of $\boldsymbol{GL}_{\tilde{M}[\frac{1}{p}]}$ that fixes $\tilde{t}_\alpha$ for all $\alpha \in \tilde{\mathfrak{J}}$. Let $\tilde{T}$ be the maximal torus of $\boldsymbol{GL}_{\tilde{M}}$ that normalizes $W(k)\tilde{a}_i$ for all $i \in \{1,\ldots,r\}$. Let $\tilde{\mu} : \mathbb{G}_m \to \tilde{G}$ be the inverse of the canonical split cocharacter of $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{F}^1, \tilde{\phi})$, cf. end of Subsection 2.1. As $\tilde{G}$ contains the conjugates of $\tilde{\mu}$ under $\tilde{\phi}$, it also contains $\tilde{T}$. As $\mathrm{Lie}(\tilde{T})$ is generated by elements of $F^0(\mathcal{T}(\tilde{M}))$ fixed by $\tilde{\phi}$, $\tilde{G}$ fixes $\mathrm{Lie}(\tilde{T})$ and thus $\tilde{G}$ is contained in $\tilde{T}$. Therefore $\tilde{G} = \tilde{T}$ (this also follows from Subsection 4.1.3).

4.2.7. The $\tilde{w}_i$ elements. The double centralizer of $\tilde{T}$ in $\boldsymbol{GL}_{\tilde{M}}$ is $\tilde{T}$ itself. Thus there exists $\tilde{t} \in \tilde{T}(B^+(W(k))[\frac{1}{\beta_0}])$ such that it takes $(i_{\tilde{D}}[\frac{1}{\beta_0}])^{-1}(H^1(\tilde{D}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} B^+(W(k)))$ onto $\tilde{M} \otimes_{W(k)} B^+(W(k))$, cf. Lemma 4.2.1 applied to $(\tilde{D}, (\tilde{t}_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \tilde{\mathfrak{J}}})$. As in Subsubsection 4.2.2, for $i \in \{1,\ldots,r\}$ let $\tilde{w}_i \in B^+(W(k)) \cap \mathbb{G}_m(B^+(W(k))[\frac{1}{\beta_0}])$ be such that $\tilde{t}(\tilde{a}_i) = \tilde{w}_i \tilde{a}_i$. Let $\tilde{w}_{i+r} := \tilde{w}_i$. Thus $q_k(\tilde{w}_i) \in V(k)/pV(k)$ is well defined for $i \in \{1,\ldots,2r\}$. We apply Lemma 4.2.3 and Proposition 4.2.5 to $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{F}^1, \tilde{\phi})$ (thus (n,m) and π get replaced by the pair $(r-1,1)$ and by the cycle $(1\,2\,\ldots\,r)$). As $q_k(\xi_0)$ is $p^{\frac{1}{p}}$ modulo p, from (18) and (19) we get that $q_k(\tilde{w}_1)$ is a $\mathbb{G}_m(V(k)/pV(k))$-multiple of $p^{\frac{1}{p}+\frac{p^{-1}}{p^r-1}}$ modulo p and that for $i \in \{2,\ldots,r\}$ the element $q_k(\tilde{w}_i)$ is a $\mathbb{G}_m(V(k)/pV(k))$-multiple of $p^{\frac{p^{-2+i}}{p^r-1}}$ modulo p.

4.2.8. Proposition. *The triple (M, F^1, ϕ) is a direct summand of $\oplus_{s=1}^r (\tilde{M}, \tilde{F}^1, \tilde{\phi})^{\otimes s}$.*

Proof: We fix a cycle $\pi_1 = (i_1,\ldots,i_q) = (i_{1,1},\ldots,i_{q,1})$ of π. Let $\varepsilon(\pi_1) := \sum_{s=1}^q \varepsilon_{i_s}$. Let $\pi_1, \pi_2, \ldots, \pi_u$ be all distinct cycles of π of length q that are of the form $\pi_j = (i_{1,j},\ldots,i_{q,j})$ with $(\varepsilon_{i_{1,j}},\ldots,\varepsilon_{i_{q,j}}) = (\varepsilon_{i_1},\ldots,\varepsilon_{i_q})$ (here $j \in \{1,\ldots,u\}$ and $u \in \mathbb{N}$). We have $\frac{r}{q} \in \mathbb{N}$ and $u \le \frac{r}{q}$, cf. the definitions of $o(\pi)$ and $n(\pi)$ in Subsubsection 4.2.6. For $j \in \{1,\ldots,\frac{r}{q}\}$ let $\zeta_j \in \mathbb{G}_m(W(k))$ be such that $\sigma^r(\zeta_j) = \zeta_j$ and the reductions modulo p of $\zeta_1,\ldots,\zeta_{\frac{r}{q}}$ are linearly independent over $\mathbb{F}_{p^q}$.

For $d \in \{1,\ldots,\varepsilon(\pi_1)\}$ let $s_d \in \{1,\ldots,q\}$ be such that we have $s_1 < s_2 < \cdots < s_{\varepsilon(\pi_1)}$ and $\{s_d | d \in \{1,\ldots,\varepsilon(\pi_1)\}\} = \{s \in \{1,\ldots,q\} | \varepsilon_{i_s} = 1\}$. For $(d,i) \in \{1,\ldots,\varepsilon(\pi_1)\} \times \{0,\ldots,\frac{r-q}{q}\}$ let

$$l_{d+i\varepsilon(\pi_1)} := r + 2 - s_d + (r-q)i.$$

We note that the numbers l_1 modulo $r, \ldots, l_{\frac{r}{q}\varepsilon(\pi_1)}$ modulo r are all distinct.

For $j \in \{1,\ldots,u\}$ let $c_j : (\oplus_{s=1}^q W(k)a_{i_s,j}, F^1 \cap \oplus_{s=1}^q W(k)a_{i_s,j}, \phi) \to (\tilde{M}, \tilde{F}^1, \tilde{\phi})^{\otimes \frac{r}{q}\varepsilon(\pi_1)}$ be the unique morphism that maps $a_{i_1,j}$ to the sum

$$\sum_{v=0}^{\frac{r-q}{q}} \sigma^{vq}(\zeta_j)\tilde{a}_{vq+l_1} \otimes \tilde{a}_{vq+l_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes \tilde{a}_{vq+l_{\frac{r}{q}\varepsilon(\pi_1)}}.$$

For $s \in \{1,\ldots,q\}$, we have $\tilde{\phi}(\tilde{a}_{s-1+vq+l_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \tilde{a}_{s-1+vq+l_{\frac{r}{q}\varepsilon(\pi_1)}}) = p^{u(s)}\tilde{a}_{s+vq+l_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \tilde{a}_{s+vq+l_{\frac{r}{q}\varepsilon(\pi_1)}}$, where $u(s) \in \{0,1\}$. We have $u(s) = 1$ if and only if there exists $(d,i) \in \{1,\ldots,\varepsilon(\pi_1)\} \times \{0,\ldots,\frac{r-q}{q}\}$ such that $s-1+vq+l_{d+i\varepsilon(\pi_1)} = s-1+vq+r+2-s_d+(r-q)i$ is congruent modulo r to 1 i.e., if and only if $s \in \{s_1,\ldots,s_{\varepsilon(\pi_1)}\}$. This implies that c_j exists; the uniqueness of c_j follows from the fact that π_j is a cycle.

For $j \in \{u+1,\dots,\frac{r}{q}\}$, let $\mathrm{Im}(c_j)$ be the natural analogue of $\mathrm{Im}(c_1),\dots,\mathrm{Im}(c_u)$. As the reductions modulo p of ζ_j's are linearly independent, the Moore determinant of the square matrix of $M_{\frac{r}{q}}(k)$ whose j-th row is the reduction modulo p of $(\zeta_j,\sigma^q(\zeta_j),\dots,\sigma^{r-q}(\zeta_j))$ is invertible (cf. [Go, Def. 1.3.2 and Lem. 1.3.3]). Thus the morphism $\sum_{j=1}^{u} c_j$ is injective and its image has $\oplus_{s=u+1}^{\frac{r}{q}}\mathrm{Im}(c_j)\oplus(S(\pi_1),(F^i(\mathcal{T}(\tilde{M}))\cap S(\pi_1))_{i\in\mathbb{Z}},\tilde{\phi})$ as a direct supplement in $(\tilde{M},\tilde{F}^1,\tilde{\phi})^{\otimes\frac{r}{q}\varepsilon(\pi_1)}$. Here $S(\pi_1)$ is the $W(k)$-span of those elements $\tilde{a}_{j_1}\otimes\cdots\otimes\tilde{a}_{j_{\frac{r}{q}\varepsilon(\pi_1)}}$ of $\tilde{M}^{\otimes\frac{r}{q}\varepsilon(\pi_1)}$ that are not of the form $\tilde{a}_{w+l_1}\otimes\cdots\otimes\tilde{a}_{w+l_{\frac{r}{q}\varepsilon(\pi_1)}}$ for some $w\in\{1,\dots,r\}$.

We note that $\frac{r}{q}\varepsilon(\pi_1')\le r$. We consider a different sequence $\pi_1',\dots,\pi_{u'}'$ of cycles of π that is analogue to the sequence $\pi_1,\dots,\pi_u$. The numbers $\varepsilon(\pi_1), l_1,\dots,l_{\frac{r}{q}\varepsilon(\pi_1)}$ are canonically associated to π_1. Thus the morphism $\sum_{j=1}^{u'} c_j'$ analogue to $\sum_{j=1}^{u} c_j$ but obtained using the cycles $\pi_1',\dots,\pi_{u'}'$, is such that its image is contained either in $(S(\pi_1),(F^i(\mathcal{T}(\tilde{M}))\cap S(\pi_1))_{i\in\mathbb{Z}},\tilde{\phi})$ or in some $(\tilde{M},\tilde{F}^1,\tilde{\phi})^{\otimes\frac{r}{q}\varepsilon(\pi_1')}$ with $\varepsilon(\pi_1')\neq\varepsilon(\pi_1)$. Thus by adding such morphisms $\sum_{j=1}^{u} c_j$ together we get that (M,F^1,ϕ) is a direct summand of $\oplus_{s=1}^{r}(\tilde{M},\tilde{F}^1,\tilde{\phi})^{\otimes s}$.$\square$

4.2.9. The projector $\tilde{t}_{\alpha_0}$. We fix a direct sum decomposition

$$\oplus_{s\in\mathbb{N}}(\tilde{M},\tilde{F}^1,\tilde{\phi})^{\otimes s}=(M,F^1,\phi)\oplus(M^{\perp},(F^i(\mathcal{T}(\tilde{M}))\cap M^{\perp})_{i\in\mathbb{Z}},\tilde{\phi})$$

such that M is a direct summand of $\oplus_{s=1}^{r}\tilde{M}^{\otimes s}$ (cf. Proposition 4.2.8). Thus M is also naturally a direct summand of $\mathcal{T}(\tilde{M})$. Therefore there exists $\alpha_0\in\tilde{\mathcal{J}}$ such that $\tilde{t}_{\alpha_0}$ is a projector of $\mathcal{T}(\tilde{M})$ on M. As M is a direct summand of $\oplus_{s=1}^{r}\tilde{M}^{\otimes s}$, we get that M^* is a direct summand of $\oplus_{s=1}^{r}\tilde{M}^{*\otimes s}$. Thus we can identify $\mathcal{T}(M)$ with a direct summand of $\mathcal{T}(\tilde{M})$ and under this identification each t_α is identified with a $\tilde{t}_{\tilde{\alpha}}$ for some $\tilde{\alpha}\in\tilde{\mathcal{J}}$. Let $L^1(D)$ be the direct summand of $\oplus_{s=1}^{r}H^1(\tilde{D})^{\otimes s}$ that corresponds to (M,F^1,ϕ) via $i_{\tilde{D}}[\frac{1}{\beta_0}]$. The element $\tilde{t}$ (of Subsubsection 4.2.7) takes $i_{\tilde{D}}^{-1}(L^1(D)\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p}B^+(W(k)))$ onto $M\otimes_{W(k)}B^+(W(k))$. We have $L^1(D)=\tilde{v}_{\alpha_0}(\mathcal{T}(H^1(\tilde{D})))$ and therefore $\mathrm{Gal}(B(k))$ normalizes $L^1(D)$. As we have $\tilde{w}_i\in B^+(W(k))$ for all $i\in\{1,\dots,n+m\}$ and as $M\subseteq\oplus_{s=1}^{r}\tilde{M}^{\otimes s}$, the $B^+(W(k))[\frac{1}{\beta_0}]$-linear isomorphism

$$\tilde{i}_D[\frac{1}{\beta_0}]:M\otimes_{W(k)}B^+(W(k))[\frac{1}{\beta_0}]\xrightarrow{\sim}L^1(D)\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p}B^+(W(k))[\frac{1}{\beta_0}]$$

induced naturally by $i_{\tilde{D}}[\frac{1}{\beta_0}]$, induces via restriction a $B^+(W(k))$-linear monomorphism

$$\tilde{i}_D:M\otimes_{W(k)}B^+(W(k))\hookrightarrow L^1(D)\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p}B^+(W(k)).$$

4.2.10. Proposition. *The $B^+(W(k))$-linear monomorphism $\tilde{i}_D:M\otimes_{W(k)}B^+(W(k))\hookrightarrow L^1(D)\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p}B^+(W(k))$ defined by $i_{\tilde{D}}$ is i_D. In particular, we have $L^1(D)=H^1(D)$.*

Proof: As the crystalline representations of $\mathrm{Gal}(B(k))$ over $\mathbb{Q}_p$ are stable under subobjects that are direct summands (see [Fo3, Subsect. 5.5]), we can identify naturally the triples $(i_D[\frac{1}{\beta_0}],H^1(D)[\frac{1}{p}],(v_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})=(\tilde{i}_D[\frac{1}{\beta_0}],L^1(D)[\frac{1}{p}],(\tilde{v}_{\tilde{\alpha}})_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$ and therefore we only need to check that $H^1(D)=L^1(D)$. To check this it suffices to consider the case when π is a cycle $\pi_1 = (i_1, \ldots, i_q)$ (cf. Subsection 4.1.2); thus $q = n + m$ and $\varepsilon(\pi_1) = m$. If $w \in \{1, \ldots, r\}$, then the element $\tilde{t}$ (of Subsubsection 4.2.7) takes $\tilde{a}_{w+l_1} \otimes \tilde{a}_{w+l_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes \tilde{a}_{w+l_{\frac{r}{q}\varepsilon(\pi_1)}} \in B^+(W(k))$ to itself times

$$\tilde{w}(w) := \prod_{j=1}^{\frac{r}{q}\varepsilon(\pi_1)} \tilde{w}_{w+l_j} \in B^+(W(k)).$$

We have $q_k(\tilde{w}(w)) = \prod_{j=1}^{\frac{r}{q}\varepsilon(\pi_1)} q_k(\tilde{w}_{w+l_j})$. But $q_k(\tilde{w}_{w+l_j})$ up to a $\mathbb{G}_m(V(k)/pV(k))$-multiple is of the form $p^{\frac{\varepsilon_{l_{w,j}}}{p}+\frac{p^{\eta_{l_{w,j}}}}{p^r-1}}$ modulo p, where $\varepsilon_{l_{w,j}} \in \{0, 1\}$ and $\eta_{l_{w,j}} \in \{-1, \ldots, r-2\}$ (see the end of Subsubsection 4.2.7). At most one of $\varepsilon_{l_{w,j}}$'s is 1. The $\eta_{l_{w,j}}$'s are distinct (as l_j modulo r is uniquely determined by $j \in \{1, \ldots, \frac{r}{q}\varepsilon(\pi_1)\}$) and their number is exactly $\frac{r}{q}\varepsilon(\pi_1)$ and thus it is at most r. Thus $q_k(\tilde{w}(w))$ is the image in $V(k)/pV(k)$ of an element of $V(k)$ whose p-adic valuation is at most $\frac{1}{p} + \sum_{\eta=-1}^{r-2} \frac{p^\eta}{p^r-1} = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p(p-1)} = \frac{1}{p-1}$. This last p-adic valuation is $\frac{1}{p-1}$ if and only if $\frac{r}{q}\varepsilon(\pi_1) = r$ i.e., if and only if we have $n + m = q = \varepsilon(\pi_1) = m$. These last equalities are equivalent to $n = 0$. In particular we get that if either $n > 0$ or $p > 2$, then $q_k(\tilde{w}(w)) \neq 0$.

We check that $H^1(D) = L^1(D)$ in the case when $(n, p) = (0, 2)$. In this case we can assume $(n, m) = (0, 1)$. As $H^1(D)$ has rank 1, there exists $s \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $H^1(D) = p^s L^1(D)$. As $i_{\tilde{D}}$ is strict with filtrations, we have $\tilde{w}_1 \in F^1(B^+(W(k)))$. Therefore $\tilde{w}(w) = \prod_{i=1}^{r} \tilde{w}_i \in F^1(B^+(W(k))$ is congruent modulo $F^p(B^+(W(k)))$ to ξ_0 times an element of $B^+(W(k))$ whose image through q_k is $p^{\frac{1}{p(p-1)}}$ modulo p, cf. the last part of the previous paragraph. Thus $\tilde{w}(w)$ is congruent modulo $F^p(B^+(W(k)))$ to a $\mathbb{G}_m(B^+(W(k))/F^p(B^+(W(k))))$-multiple of β_0 modulo $F^p(B^+(W(k)))$ (cf. end of Subsubsection 2.2.1). On the other hand we have $\mathrm{Im}(i_D) = p^s L^1(D) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \beta_0 B^+(B(k))$ and therefore $\tilde{w}(w)$ is a $\mathbb{G}_m(B^+(W(k)))$-multiple of $p^s\beta_0$. As the $V(k)$-module $\mathrm{gr}^1 = F^1(B^+(W(k)))/F^p(B^+(W(k)))$ is torsion free, we have $s = 0$. Therefore we have $H^1(D) = L^1(D)$ if $n = 0$ and $p = 2$.

We check that $H^1(D) = L^1(D)$ in the case when either $n > 0$ or $p > 2$. We first show that we have an inclusion $H^1(D) \subseteq L^1(D)$. If $p = 2$ and $n > 0$, then $L^1(D_1) := H^1(D) \cap L^1(D)$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_p$-submodule of $H^1(D)$ with the property that i_D factors through the inclusion $L^1(D_1) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} B^+(W(k)) \hookrightarrow H^1(D) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} B^+(W(k))$ (cf. the fact that $\tilde{i}_D$ is a $B^+(W(k))$-linear monomorphism); as in the proof of Lemma 2.2.3 (applied with $L^1(D_1)$ instead of $H^1(D_1)$) we argue first that p annihilates $H^1(D)/L^1(D_1)$ and second that $H^1(D) = L^1(D_1) \subseteq L^1(D)$. If $p > 2$, then the equality $H^1(D) \cap L^1(D) = H^1(D)$ is implied by the fact that $\mathrm{Coker}(j_D)$ is annihilated by $\lambda_1 \in V(k) \setminus pV(k)$ (see the end of Subsubsection 2.2.2).

Thus we always have $H^1(D) \subseteq L^1(D)$ and therefore in the dual context we have an inclusion $j_{D,\tilde{D}} : L^1(D)^* \hookrightarrow T_p(D) = H^1(D)^*$. As either $n > 0$ or $p > 2$, we have $q_k(\tilde{w}(w)) \neq 0$ for all $w \in \{1, \ldots, r\}$. This implies that the $\mathbb{F}_p$-linear map $s_D^1 : L^1(D)^*/pL^1(D)^* \to M^* \otimes_{W(k)} V(k)/pV(k)$ that is the natural analogue of the map s_D (of the proof of Proposition 4.2.5) is injective. But s_D^1 is the composite of $j_{D,\tilde{D}}$ modulo p with s_D. Thus $j_{D,\tilde{D}}$ modulo p is injective and therefore $j_{D,\tilde{D}}$ is an isomorphism. Thus we have $H^1(D) = L^1(D)$ if either $n > 0$ or $p > 2$. If we have $\frac{r}{q}\varepsilon(\pi_l) \leq p - 2$ for all cycles π_l of π (with $l \in \mathcal{C}(\pi)$), then the identity $H^1(D) = L^1(D)$ is also implied by [Fa2, Thm. 5]. $\square$

4.2.11. End of the proof of 1.2 and 4.1.4. As $\tilde{T} = \tilde{G}$ is generated by conjugates of $\tilde{\mu}$ via powers of $\tilde{\phi}$ (see Subsection 4.2.6), the image of $\tilde{T}$ in $\boldsymbol{GL}_M$ is the subtorus T_0 of T. Thus the automorphism of $M \otimes_{W(k)} B^+(W(k))[\frac{1}{\beta_0}]$ defined by the element $\tilde{t}$ of Subsubsection 4.2.7 is an element $t \in T_0(B^+(W(k))[\frac{1}{\beta_0}])$ that takes the $B^+(W(k))$-submodule $(i_D[\frac{1}{\beta_0}])^{-1}(H^1(D) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} B^+(W(k)))$ of $M \otimes_{W(k)} B^+(W(k))[\frac{1}{\beta_0}]$ onto $M \otimes_{W(k)} B^+(W(k))$; here we used the fact (see Proposition 4.2.10) that we have $H^1(D) = L^1(D)$ regardless of what π is. As T_0 is a subtorus of T, we have $t \in T(B^+(W(k))[\frac{1}{\beta_0}])$ and thus Theorem 4.1.4 holds. This ends the proofs of Theorem 4.1.4 and the Main Theorem. $\square$

4.3. Example. We consider the case when $T = T_0$ has rank 2. There exist two subcases:

(a) all Newton polygon slopes of (M, ϕ) are $\frac{1}{2}$, or

(b) the Newton polygon slopes of (M, ϕ) are elements of the set $\{0, \frac{1}{2}, 1\}$, the multiplicity of the Newton polygon slope $\frac{1}{2}$ is positive, and either the multiplicity of the Newton polygon slope 0 or of the Newton polygon slope 1 is positive.

In the subcase (a) we have $n = m$ and T_1 has rank 2. This is so as we can choose $\mathcal{B}$ such that we have $\pi(i) = i + n$ for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$. As $T_0 = T_1$, Lemma 4.2.1 applies.

We consider the subcase (b). Let $M = M_0 \oplus M_{\frac{1}{2}} \oplus M_1$ be the Newton polygon slope decomposition of (M, ϕ); thus for $v \in \{0, \frac{1}{2}, 1\}$ all Newton polygon slopes of (M_v, ϕ) are v. For $s \in \{0, 1\}$ let $M_{\frac{1}{2}}^s := M_{\frac{1}{2}} \cap F^s$. We have a direct sum decomposition $M_{\frac{1}{2}} = M_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 \oplus M_{\frac{1}{2}}^0$. We have no a priori relation between n and m. The torus T_1 is the center of $\boldsymbol{GL}_{M_0} \times_{\mathrm{Spec}(W(k))} \boldsymbol{GL}_{M_{\frac{1}{2}}^1} \times_{\mathrm{Spec}(W(k))} \boldsymbol{GL}_{M_{\frac{1}{2}}^0} \times_{\mathrm{Spec}(W(k))} \boldsymbol{GL}_{M_1}$. Thus T_1 has rank 4 if M_1 and M_0 are non-zero and has rank 3 otherwise. To fix the ideas we will assume that there exist numbers $q_0 \in \{0, \ldots, n\}$ and $q_1 \in \{0, \ldots, m\}$ such that $\{1, \ldots, q_0, n+m+1-q_1, \ldots, n+m\}$ is the set of elements fixed by π and we have $\pi(q_0 + s) = n + m + 1 - q_1 - s$ for all $s \in \{1, \ldots, n - q_0\}$. We have $q_0 + q_1 > 0$ and $n - q_0 = m - q_1 > 0$. We can choose $q_k(w_i) \in V(k)/pV(k)$ to be a $\mathbb{G}_m(V(k)/pV(k))$-multiple of the reduction modulo p of the following elements of $V(k)$ (cf. Proposition 4.2.5):

(i) 1 for $i \in \{1, \ldots, q_0\}$;

(ii) $(-p)^{\frac{1}{p(p-1)}}$ for $i \in \{n+m+1-q_1, \ldots, n+m\}$;

(iii) $(-p)^{\frac{1}{p(p^2-1)}}$ for $i \in \{q_0+1, \ldots, n\}$;

(iv) $(-p)^{\frac{1}{p^2-1}} p^{\frac{1}{p}}$ for $i \in \{n+1, \ldots, n+m-q_1\}$.

The value of (ii) is the product of the values of (iii) and (iv). We have $o(\pi) = 2$ and $n(\pi) = \max\{q_0, n - q_0, q_1\}$. If $q_1 > 0$, then T_0 is the closed subgroup scheme of $\boldsymbol{GL}_M$ that fixes the following three types of tensors fixed by ϕ:

– all endomorphisms of (M, ϕ),

– the elements $a_i \in M$ for $i \in \{1, \ldots, q_0\}$, and

– the elements $a_{q_0+s} \otimes a_{n+m+1-q_1-s} \otimes a_i^* + a_{n+m+1-q_1-s} \otimes a_{q_0+s} \otimes a_i^* \in M^{\otimes 2} \otimes_{W(k)} M^*$, with $s \in \{1, \ldots, n - q_0\}$ and with $i \in \{n+m+1-q_1, \ldots, n+m\}$.

4.4. Proof of Corollary 1.4. We continue to assume that $k = \bar{k}$ but we come back to the general situation of Subsection 1.1. Thus G is not any more assumed to be a torus. We take $\mu := \mu_{\mathrm{can}}^{-1}$, cf. Subsection 2.1. Let σ_ϕ, $M_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$, and $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ be as in Subsection 2.5.1.

Let $G_{\mathrm{min},B(k)}$ be the smallest subgroup of $\boldsymbol{GL}_{M[\frac{1}{p}]}$ that contains the images of conjugates of $\mu_{B(k)}$ under powers of ϕ; it is connected and we have $\phi(\mathrm{Lie}(G_{\mathrm{min},B(k)})) = \mathrm{Lie}(G_{\mathrm{min},B(k)})$. Let G_{min} be the schematic closure of $G_{\mathrm{min},B(k)}$ in $\boldsymbol{GL}_M$. As G is normalized by σ_ϕ and as μ factors through G, $G_{B(k)}$ contains the images of conjugates of $\mu_{B(k)}$ under powers of ϕ. Thus G_{min} is a closed subgroup scheme of G. From Lemma 2.5.3 we get that G_{min} is the pull back to $\mathrm{Spec}(W(k))$ of a flat, closed subgroup scheme $G_{\mathrm{min},\mathbb{Z}_p}$ of $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$. Let $G_{\mathrm{min},\mathbb{Q}_p}$ be the generic fibre of $G_{\mathrm{min},\mathbb{Z}_p}$. Groups like $G_{\mathrm{min},\mathbb{Q}_p}$ were first considered in [Wi, Prop. 4.2.3].

Let $u \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ be the largest number such that $\mathbb{G}_m^u$ is a quotient of $G_{\mathrm{min},\mathbb{Q}_p}$. The image of $\mu_{B(k)}$ in $\mathbb{G}_m^u$ (extended to $B(k)$) is defined over $\mathbb{Q}_p$ and it is normalized by both σ_ϕ and ϕ. From the very definition of $G_{\mathrm{min},B(k)}$ we get that this image is $\mathbb{G}_m^u$ (extended to $B(k)$) and therefore we have $u \in \{0,1\}$. The relation $u \in \{0,1\}$ also follows from the fact that each crystalline representation of $\mathrm{Gal}(B(k))$ of rank 1 is isomorphic to a tensor power of the cyclotomic character of $\mathrm{Gal}(B(k))$.

In this paragraph we assume that $u = 1$. Let $G_{\mathrm{min},\mathbb{Q}_p}^0$ be the normal, connected subgroup of $G_{\mathrm{min},\mathbb{Q}_p}$ such that $G_{\mathrm{min},\mathbb{Q}_p}/G_{\mathrm{min},\mathbb{Q}_p}^0$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{G}_m$. The image of μ is not contained in $G_{\mathrm{min},\mathbb{Q}_p}^0$ and thus μ is non-trivial. This implies that $G_{\mathrm{min},\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is not contained in $\boldsymbol{SL}_{M_{\mathbb{Z}_p}[\frac{1}{p}]} := \boldsymbol{GL}_{M_{\mathbb{Z}_p}[\frac{1}{p}]}^{\mathrm{der}}$. Therefore $G_{\mathrm{min},\mathbb{Q}_p}/[G_{\mathrm{min},\mathbb{Q}_p} \cap \boldsymbol{SL}_{M_{\mathbb{Z}_p}[\frac{1}{p}]}]$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{G}_m$. This implies that $G_{\mathrm{min},\mathbb{Q}_p}^0$ is the identity component of $G_{\mathrm{min},\mathbb{Q}_p} \cap \boldsymbol{SL}_{M_{\mathbb{Z}_p}[\frac{1}{p}]}$. Therefore the restriction homomorphism $\mathrm{Hom}(\boldsymbol{GL}_{M_{\mathbb{Z}_p}[\frac{1}{p}]}, \mathbb{G}_m) \to \mathrm{Hom}(G_{\mathrm{min},\mathbb{Q}_p}, \mathbb{G}_m)$ has finite cokernel.

If $u = 0$, the homomorphism $\mathrm{Hom}(\boldsymbol{GL}_{M_{\mathbb{Z}_p}[\frac{1}{p}]}, \mathbb{G}_m) \to \mathrm{Hom}(G_{\mathrm{min},\mathbb{Q}_p}, \mathbb{G}_m)$ has trivial codomain and therefore it is onto.

Thus regardless of what u is, $\mathrm{Hom}(\boldsymbol{GL}_{M_{\mathbb{Z}_p}[\frac{1}{p}]}, \mathbb{G}_m) \to \mathrm{Hom}(G_{\mathrm{min},\mathbb{Q}_p}, \mathbb{G}_m)$ has finite cokernel. Therefore $G_{\mathrm{min},\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is the subgroup of $\boldsymbol{GL}_{M_{\mathbb{Z}_p}[\frac{1}{p}]}$ that fixes a family of tensors $(t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}_{\mathrm{min}}}$ of $\mathcal{T}(M_{\mathbb{Z}_p}[\frac{1}{p}])$, cf. [De2, Prop. 3.1 c)]. As for each $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}_{\mathrm{min}}$ the tensor t_α is fixed by both $\mu_{B(k)}$ and σ_ϕ, we have $t_\alpha \in \{x \in F^0(\mathcal{T}(M))[\frac{1}{p}] | \phi(x) = x\}$. Let $(v_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}_{\mathrm{min}}}$ be the family of tensors of $\mathcal{T}(H^1(D))[\frac{1}{p}]$ that corresponds to $(t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}_{\mathrm{min}}}$ via Fontaine comparison theory for D. Let $G_{\mathrm{min}}^{\acute{e}t}$ be the schematic closure in $\boldsymbol{GL}_{H^1(D)}$ of the subgroup of $\boldsymbol{GL}_{H^1(D)[\frac{1}{p}]}$ that fixes each v_α with $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}_{\mathrm{min}}$. The group $G_{\mathrm{min},\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\acute{e}t}$ is a subgroup of $G^{\acute{e}t}$; thus if $G^{\acute{e}t}$ is a torus, then $G_{\mathrm{min}}^{\acute{e}t}$ is a subtorus of $G^{\acute{e}t}$. If $p = 2$ and the condition (C) holds for (M, ϕ, G), then as $G_{\mathrm{min}} \subseteq G$ we get that the condition (C) holds as well for $(M, \phi, G_{\mathrm{min}})$.

Based on the last paragraph and the fact that we have an inclusion $G_{\mathrm{min}} \subseteq G$, we get that to prove the Corollary 1.4 we can assume that $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{J}_{\mathrm{min}}$ is a subset of $\mathcal{J}_{\mathrm{twist}}$, that $G = G_{\mathrm{min}}$, and that $(t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}$ is the family of all tensors of $\{x \in F^0(\mathcal{T}(M))[\frac{1}{p}] | \phi(x) = x\}$.

Let $W \in \mathcal{W}$, cf. the notations of Corollary. From the functorial aspects of the canonical split cocharacters we get that W is normalized by the image of μ_{can}. From this and the fact that $\phi(W[\frac{1}{p}]) = W$, we get that $W[\frac{1}{p}]$ is normalized by all conjugates of $\mu_{B(k)}$ under powers of ϕ. This implies that each W is normalized by $G = G_{\mathrm{min}}$.

Let $\rho : (M, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}}) \overset{\sim}{\to} (H^1(D)\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(k), (v_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$ be an isomorphism as in the Main Theorem. Let $\rho : \mathcal{T}(M) \overset{\sim}{\to} \mathcal{T}(H^1(D)\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(k))$ be the isomorphism induced by ρ.

We check that for each $W \in \mathcal{W}$ we have $\rho(W) = W^{\acute{e}t}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(k)$. As $i_D[\frac{1}{\beta_0}] : M\otimes_{W(k)} B^+(W(k))[\frac{1}{\beta_0}] \overset{\sim}{\to} H^1(D)\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} B^+(W(k))[\frac{1}{\beta_0}]$ maps $W\otimes_{W(k)} B^+(W(k))[\frac{1}{\beta_0}]$ to $W^{\acute{e}t}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} B^+(W(k))[\frac{1}{\beta_0}]$, to check that we have $\rho(W) = W^{\acute{e}t}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(k)$ it suffices to show that the $B^+(W(k))[\frac{1}{\beta_0}]$-linear automorphism $n_D := i_D[\frac{1}{\beta_0}]^{-1}\circ\rho_{B^+(W(k))[\frac{1}{\beta_0}]}$ of $M\otimes_{W(k)} B^+(W(k))[\frac{1}{\beta_0}]$ normalizes $W\otimes_{W(k)} B^+(W(k))[\frac{1}{\beta_0}]$. As n_D fixes each element t_α with $\alpha\in\mathcal{J}$, we have $n_D \in G(B^+(W(k))[\frac{1}{\beta_0}])$. As W is normalized by G, we get that n_D normalizes $W\otimes_{W(k)} B^+(W(k))[\frac{1}{\beta_0}]$. Thus we have $\rho(W) = W^{\acute{e}t}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(k)$ for all $W\in\mathcal{W}$.

To end the proof of Corollary 1.4 we are left to show that we can choose ρ such that it maps t_α to v_α for all $\alpha\in\mathcal{J}_{\text{twist}}$. To check this let $\tilde{D} := D\oplus\mu_{p^\infty}$. The Dieudonné module of $\tilde{D}$ is $(\tilde{M},\tilde{\phi}) := (M,\phi)\oplus(W(k),p\sigma)$. The Hodge filtration of $\tilde{M}$ defined by $\tilde{D}$ is $\tilde{F}^1 := F^1\oplus W(k)$. Let $(F^i(\mathcal{T}(\tilde{M}))$ be the filtration of $\mathcal{T}(\tilde{M})$ defined by $\tilde{F}^1$. Let $\tilde{\mu} : \mathbb{G}_m \to \boldsymbol{GL}_{\tilde{M}}$ be the inverse of the canonical split cocharacter of $(\tilde{M},\tilde{F}^1,\tilde{\phi})$; it acts on M as μ does (cf. the functorial properties of canonical split cocharacters). Let $(\tilde{t}_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\tilde{\mathcal{J}}}$ be the family of all tensors of $F^0(\mathcal{T}(\tilde{M}))[\frac{1}{p}]$ fixed by ϕ. Let $(\tilde{v}_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\tilde{\mathcal{J}}}$ be the family of tensors of $\mathcal{T}(H^1(\tilde{D}))[\frac{1}{p}]$ that corresponds to $(\tilde{t}_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\tilde{\mathcal{J}}}$ via Fontaine comparison theory for $\tilde{D}$. Let $\tilde{\rho} : (\tilde{M},(\tilde{t}_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\tilde{\mathcal{J}}}) \overset{\sim}{\to} (H^1(\tilde{D}),(\tilde{v}_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\tilde{\mathcal{J}}})$, cf. Main Theorem applied to $\tilde{D}$. By composing $\tilde{\rho}$ with an automorphism of $(\tilde{M},(\tilde{t}_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\tilde{\mathcal{J}}})$ defined by an element of the image of $\tilde{\mu}(W(k)) : \mathbb{G}_m(W(k)) \to \boldsymbol{GL}_{\tilde{M}}(W(k))$ we can assume that $\tilde{\rho}$ takes each element t of the set $\cup_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}\{x\in F^i(\mathcal{T}(W(k))|(p\sigma)(x) = p^ix\}$ to the element v of $\mathcal{T}(H^1(\mu_{p^\infty}))$ that corresponds to t via Fontaine comparison theory. Let $\rho : (M,(t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}}) \overset{\sim}{\to} (H^1(D)\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(k),(v_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$ be defined by the restriction of $\tilde{\rho}$ to M. For $\alpha\in\mathcal{J}_{\text{twist}}$, let $i\in\mathbb{Z}$ be such that $t_\alpha\in\{x\in F^i(\mathcal{T}(M))[\frac{1}{p}]|\phi(x) = p^ix\}$. Let t_{-i} be a generator of the $\mathbb{Z}_p$-module $\{x\in F^{-i}(\mathcal{T}(W(k))|(p\sigma)(x) = p^{-i}x\}$.

We have $t_\alpha\otimes t_{-i}\in\{x\in F^0(\mathcal{T}(\tilde{M}))[\frac{1}{p}]|\tilde{\phi}(x) = x\}$. Thus $\tilde{\rho}$ maps $t_\alpha\otimes t_{-i}$ to $v_\alpha\otimes v_{-i}$, where v_{-i} corresponds to t_{-i} via Fontaine comparison theory for μ_{p^∞}. As $\tilde{\rho}$ also maps t_{-i} to v_{-i}, we conclude that $\tilde{\rho}$ and thus also ρ maps t_α to v_α. Therefore we can take $\rho_{\text{twist}} : (M,(t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}_{\text{twist}}}) \overset{\sim}{\to} (H^1(D)\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(k),(v_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}_{\text{twist}}})$ to be defined by ρ. $\square$

4.4.1. Example. Suppose that the hypotheses of the Main Theorem hold and we have an isogeny $\lambda_D : D\to D^{\mathrm{t}}$. Let $\lambda_M : M\times M\to W(k)$ and $\lambda_{H^1(D)} : H^1(D)\times H^1(D)\to\mathbb{Z}_p$ be bilinear forms on M and $H^1(D)$ defined naturally by λ_D. We can naturally view λ_M as a tensor of $\{x\in F^{-1}(M^{*\otimes 2})|\phi(x) = p^{-1}x\}$. From Corollary 1.4 we get that there exists an isomorphism $\rho : (M,(t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}}) \overset{\sim}{\to} (H^1(D),(v_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$ such that for all x, $y\in M$ we have $\lambda_M(x,y) = \lambda_{H^1(D)}(\rho(x),\rho(y))$.

4.5. Remarks. (a) Suppose that the hypotheses of the Main Theorem hold. If G is not smooth or if G is smooth but G_k is not connected, then we do not know when there exists an isomorphism $\rho_{\mathbb{Z}_p} : (M_{\mathbb{Z}_p},(t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}}) \overset{\sim}{\to} (H^1(D),(v_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$ (cf. the limitations of Lemma 2.5.2 (a)). But the proofs of Lemma 3.4.3 and Theorem 3.5.1 can be adapted to show that we can always *choose* the cocharacter $\mu : \mathbb{G}_m\to G$ so that the isomorphism $\rho_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ does exist.

(b) Let $G(W(k))^0$ be as in Subsection 2.6. Suppose that $p = 2$, that $k = \bar{k}$, and that there exists no $\tilde{h} \in G(W(k))^0$ such that $(M, \tilde{h}\phi)$ has both Newton polygon slopes 0 and 1 with positive multiplicities. Then the Main Theorem continues to hold. This is so as due to Remark 3.4.4 (b), Subsections 3.5 and 4.2 apply entirely.

5. The ramified context

Let k be again an arbitrary perfect field of characteristic $p > 0$. Let V, K, e, X, f_e, R_e, $\widetilde{R}_e$, Φ_k, and i_e be as in Subsection 2.2. Thus $V = W(k)[[X]]/(f_e)$. The p-adic completion of $\Omega_{R_e/W(k)}$ is $R_e dX$. For $q \in \mathbb{N}$ we consider the ideals $\widetilde{I}_e(q) := \{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n X^n \in \widetilde{R}_e | a_0 = \cdots = a_{q-1} = 0\}$ and $I_e(q) := \{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n X^n \in R_e | a_0 = \cdots = a_{q-1=0}\}$ of $\widetilde{R}_e$ and R_e (respectively). We have $I_e(q) = R_e \cap \tilde{I}_e(q)$. In this Section we study ramified analogues of the Main Theorem over R_e and $\tilde{R}_e$. Some preliminaries are gathered in Subsection 5.1. Theorem 5.2 refines the deformation theory of [Fa2, Ch. 7]. See Subsections 5.3 and 5.4 for the main results of this Section. The counterexample of Subsection 5.5 emphasizes that for $p > 2$ the hypotheses of Theorem 5.3 are needed in general.

5.1. The setting. Let H be a p-divisible group over $\mathrm{Spec}(V)$. Let (N, ϕ_N, ∇_N) be the evaluation of $\mathbb{D}(H_{V/pV})$ at the thickening associated naturally to the closed embedding $\mathrm{Spec}(V/pV) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(R_e)$. Thus N is a free R_e-module of rank equal to the height of H, $\phi_N : N \to N$ is a Φ_k-linear endomorphism, and $\nabla_N : N \to N \otimes_{R_e} R_e dX$ is a connection on N with respect to which ϕ_N is horizontal i.e., we have $\nabla_N \circ \phi_N = (\phi_N \otimes d\Phi_k) \circ \nabla_N$. The connection ∇_N is integrable and nilpotent modulo p.

5.1.1. Uniqueness of connections. If $\tilde{\nabla}_N$ is a connection on N such that we have $\tilde{\nabla}_N \circ \phi_N = (\phi_N \otimes d\Phi_k) \circ \tilde{\nabla}_N$, then as $\Phi_k(X) = X^p$ by induction on $q \in \mathbb{N}$ we get $\nabla_N - \tilde{\nabla}_N \in X^q \mathrm{End}_{R_e}(N) \otimes_{R_e} dX R_e[\frac{1}{p}]$. Thus $\nabla_N = \tilde{\nabla}_N$. A similar argument shows that ∇_N modulo $I_e(q)$ is uniquely determined by Φ_N modulo $I_e(q)$ and that the extension of ∇_N to a connection on $N \otimes_{R_e} \widetilde{R}_e$ (to be denoted also by ∇_N) is the unique connection such that the Frobenius endomorphism $\Phi_N \otimes \Phi_k$ of $N \otimes_{R_e} \widetilde{R}_e$ is horizontal.

5.1.2. Tensors. Let $(F^i(\mathcal{T}(N/F^1(R_e)N)))_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be the filtration of $\mathcal{T}(N/F^1(R_e)N)$ defined by the direct summand F_V^1 of $N/F^1(R_e)N$ that is the Hodge filtration of H. We consider a family $(t_{H\alpha})_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}$ of tensors of $\mathcal{T}(N[\frac{1}{p}])$ fixed by ϕ_N and whose images in $\mathcal{T}(N/F^1(R_e)N)[\frac{1}{p}]$ belong to $F^0(\mathcal{T}(N/F^1(R_e)N))[\frac{1}{p}]$. Let $H^1(H) := T_p(H_K^{\mathrm{t}})(-1)$. Let $v_{H\alpha} \in \mathcal{T}(H^1(H)[\frac{1}{p}])$ correspond to $t_{H\alpha}$ via the $B^+(W(k))$-linear monomorphism $i_H : N \otimes_{R_e\, i_e} B^+(W(k)) \hookrightarrow H^1(H) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} B^+(W(k))$ obtained as i_D of (1) was (this time i_H is $\mathrm{Gal}(K)$-invariant; see [Fa2, Sect. 4, p. 127] for the canonical action of $\mathrm{Gal}(K)$ on $N \otimes_{R_e\, i_e} B^+(W(k))$).

Let $(M, \phi, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}) := (N, \phi_N, (t_{H\alpha})_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}) \otimes_{R_e} R_e/I_e(1)$. Let $G_{B(k)}$ be the subgroup of $\boldsymbol{GL}_{M[\frac{1}{p}]}$ that fixes $t - \alpha$ for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}$. Let G be the schematic closure of $G_{B(k)}$ in $\boldsymbol{GL}_M$.

It is well known that there exist isomorphisms

$$\mathcal{K} : (M \otimes_{W(k)} R_e[\frac{1}{p}], \phi \otimes \Phi_k) \xrightarrow{\sim} (N \otimes_{R_e} R_e[\frac{1}{p}], \phi_N \otimes \Phi_k)$$

(to be compared with [Fa2, Sect. 6, p. 132] which works with $\widetilde{R}_e$ instead of with R_e). We choose such an isomorphism $\mathcal{K}$ that lifts $1_{M[\frac{1}{p}]}$. There exists no element of $\mathcal{T}(M)[\frac{1}{p}] \otimes I_e(1)[\frac{1}{p}]$ fixed by $\phi \otimes \Phi_k$. This implies (i) that $\mathcal{K}$ is the unique such isomorphism that lifts $1_{M[\frac{1}{p}]}$ and (ii) that each $t_{H\alpha}$ is the extension of t_α via the $R_e[\frac{1}{p}]$-linear isomorphism $\mathcal{T}(M)[\frac{1}{p}] \otimes_{B(k)} R_e[\frac{1}{p}] \tilde{\to} \mathcal{T}(N)[\frac{1}{p}]$ induced by $\mathcal{K}$.

Thus we can speak about the smooth subgroup scheme $G_{R_e[\frac{1}{p}]}$ of $\boldsymbol{GL}_{N[\frac{1}{p}]}$ that fixes $t_{H\alpha}$ for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}$; it is isomorphic to $G \times_{\mathrm{Spec}(W(k))} \mathrm{Spec}(R_e[\frac{1}{p}])$.

5.1.3. Three possible ramified analogues. If $k = \bar{k}$, then one would like to know if any one of the following three conditions holds:

(i) there exists an isomorphism $(N, (t_{H\alpha})_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}) \tilde{\to} (H^1(H) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} R_e, (v_{H\alpha})_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$;

(ii) there exists an isomorphism $(M, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}) \tilde{\to} (H^1(H) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(k), (v_{H\alpha})_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$;

(iii) there exists an isomorphism $(N/F^1(R_e)N, (t_{H\alpha})_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}) \tilde{\to} (H^1(H) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} V, (v_{H\alpha})_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$.

Obviously condition (i) implies condition (ii).

In this paragraph we assume that $e \le p-1$. It is known that there exists an isomorphism $(M, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}) \tilde{\to} (N/F^1(R_e)N, (t_{H\alpha})_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$, cf. [La, Thm. 2.1]. Thus condition (ii) implies condition (iii). If we know that G is smooth, then as in the proof of Lemma 2.5.2 (b) we argue that conditions (iii) and (iii) are equivalent.

5.1.4. Condition (L). Until Subsection 5.5 we will assume that the following (liftable type of) condition holds:

(L) *there exists a direct summand $F^1_{R_e}$ of N that lifts F^1_V and such that we have $t_{H\alpha} \in F^0(\mathcal{T}(N))[\frac{1}{p}]$ for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}$, where $(F^i(\mathcal{T}(N)))_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is the filtration of $\mathcal{T}(N)$ defined by $F^1_{R_e}$.*

The condition (L) is a necessary condition to be able to lift the pair $(H, (t_{H\alpha})_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$ to an analogue pair $(H_{R_e}, (t_{H\alpha})_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$ over $\mathrm{Spec}(R_e)$. The goals of this Section are to show that for $p > 2$ condition (L) is also sufficient for the existence of the lift $(H_{R_e}, (t_{H\alpha})_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$ and to obtain a ramified analogue of the Main Theorem (see Subsections 5.3 and 5.4 below).

5.1.5. Extra notations. Let $F^1 := F^1_{R_e} \otimes_{R_e} R_e/I_e(1)$. Let $M = F^1 \oplus F^0$ and $\mu : \mathbb{G}_m \to G$ be as in Subsection 2.1; these notations make sense even if $p = 2$ and (M, F^1, ϕ) is not the filtered Dieudonné module of a p-divisible group over $\mathrm{Spec}(W(k))$.

Let G' be as in Subsection 2.6. Let Q be as in Theorem 3.2 (c). Let Φ_Q and $M_Q = (M \otimes_{W(k)} Q, F^1 \otimes_{W(k)} Q, \Phi(Q)^0, \Phi(Q)^1)$ be obtained as in Subsubsection 3.1.2 (a) using the morphism $\ell : \mathrm{Spec}(Q) \to Y^\wedge$ of Theorem 3.2 (c). Let $a^0 : \mathrm{Spec}(W(k)) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(Q)$ and ∇_∞ be as in the beginning of Subsection 3.4. Let I^0 be the ideal of Q that defines the section a^0. Let $M^0_Q := (M \otimes_{W(k)} Q, F^1 \otimes_{W(k)} Q, \Phi(Q)^0)$.

5.1.6. Extensions. Let $m_H : Q \to \widetilde{R}_e$ be a $W(k)$-homomorphism that maps I^0 to $\widetilde{I}_e(1)$. We denote also by m_H the resulting morphism $\mathrm{Spec}(\widetilde{R}_e) \to \mathrm{Spec}(Q)$. By the extension of $(M^0_Q, \nabla_\infty, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$ through m_H we mean the quintuple

$$(M \otimes_{W(k)} \widetilde{R}_e, F^1 \otimes_{W(k)} \widetilde{R}_e, \Phi_{m_H}, \nabla_{m_H}, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}),$$

where $(M\otimes_{W(k)}\widetilde{R}_e,\Phi_{m_H},\nabla_{m_H})$ is the evaluation at the thickening $(\mathrm{Spec}(\tilde{R}_e/p\tilde{R}_e)\hookrightarrow\mathrm{Spec}(\widetilde{R}_e),\delta(p))$ of the pull back to $CRIS(\mathrm{Spec}(\widetilde{R}_e/p\widetilde{R}_e)/\mathrm{Spec}(W(k)))$ of the F-crystal on $CRIS(\mathrm{Spec}(Q/pQ)/\mathrm{Spec}(W(k)))$ whose evaluation at the thickening $(\mathrm{Spec}(Q/pQ)\hookrightarrow\mathrm{Spec}(Q),\delta(p))$ is $(M\otimes_{W(k)}Q,\Phi(Q)^0,\nabla_\infty)$. Thus Φ_{m_H} is defined using a correction automorphism $\mathfrak{K}$ as in the proof of Theorem 3.4.1 and ∇_{m_H} is the unique connection on $M\otimes_{W(k)}\widetilde{R}_e$ with respect to which Φ_{m_H} is horizontal. Similarly for $q\in\mathbb{N}$ we define the extension $(M\otimes_{W(k)}\widetilde{R}_e/\widetilde{I}_e(q),F^1\otimes_{W(k)}\widetilde{R}_e/\widetilde{I}_e(q),\Phi_{m_H(q)},\nabla_{m_H(q)},(t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$ of $(M^0_Q,\nabla_\infty,(t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$ through a $W(k)$-homomorphism $m_H(q):Q\to\widetilde{R}_e/\widetilde{I}_e(q)=R_e/I_e(q)$ that maps I^0 to $\widetilde{I}_e(1)/\widetilde{I}_e(q)=I_e(1)/I_e(q)$.

5.2. Theorem. *If $p=2$ we assume that (M,F^1,ϕ) is the filtered Dieudonné module of a p-divisible group D over Spec$(W(k))$. We recall that the condition 5.1.4 (L) holds. Then there exists a $W(k)$-homomorphism $m_H:Q\to\widetilde{R}_e$ such that $m_H(I^0)\subseteq\widetilde{I}_e(1)$ and the quintuple $(N\otimes_{R_e}\widetilde{R}_e,F^1_{R_e}\otimes_{R_e}\widetilde{R}_e,\phi_N\otimes\Phi_k,\nabla_N,(t_{H\alpha})_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$ is isomorphic to the extension of $(M^0_Q,\nabla_\infty,(t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$ through m_H, under an isomorphism which modulo $\widetilde{I}_e(1)$ is 1_M.*

Proof: This proof is a group theoretical refinement of [Fa2, Sect. 7, pp. 135–136]. We can replace $(M^0_Q,\nabla_\infty,(t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$ by its extension $(M^0_{\hat{R}},\nabla_{\hat{R}},(t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$ to the completion $\hat{R}$ of Q with respect to the ideal I^0 of Q (see Subsection 3.3 1); here $M^0_{\hat{R}}:=(M\otimes_{W(k)}\hat{R},F^1\otimes_{W(k)}\hat{R},\Phi(\hat{R})^0)$. We denote also by m_H and $m_H(q)$ their factorizations through $W(k)$-homomorphisms $\hat{R}\to\widetilde{R}_e$ and $\hat{R}\to\widetilde{R}_e/\widetilde{I}_e(q)$ (respectively). If $p\geq 3$ let D be the p-divisible group over Spec$(W(k))$ whose filtered Dieudonné module is (M,F^1,ϕ).

Let $\mathcal{D}_{\hat{R}}$ be the unique p-divisible group over Spec$(\hat{R})$ that lifts D and such that the evaluation of its filtered Dieudonné crystal at the thickening $(\mathrm{Spec}(\hat{R}/p\hat{R})\hookrightarrow\mathrm{Spec}(\hat{R}),\delta(p))$ is (defined by) $(M^0_{\hat{R}},\nabla_{\hat{R}})$ (cf. proof of Theorem 3.4.1 applied with $\hat{Q}_0=\hat{R}$). The natural divided power structure of the ideal (pX) of $W(k)[[X]]/(X^e)$ is nilpotent. Thus there exists a unique p-divisible group $H_{W(k)[[X]]/(X^e)}$ over Spec$(W(k)[[X]]/(X^e))$ that lifts both $H_{V/pV}$ and D and such that the evaluation of the filtered Dieudonné crystal of $H_{W(k)[[X]]/(X^e)}$ at the following thickening $(\mathrm{Spec}(V/pV)\hookrightarrow\mathrm{Spec}(W(k)[[X]]/(X^e)),\delta(p))$ is $(N,F^1_{R_e},\phi_N,\nabla_N)$ modulo $I_e(e)$, cf. Grothendieck–Messing deformation theory.

By induction on $q\in\mathbb{N}$ we construct a $W(k)$-homomorphism $m_H(q):\hat{R}\to\widetilde{R}_e/\widetilde{I}_e(q)$ that maps I^0 to $\widetilde{I}_e(1)/\widetilde{I}_e(q)$ and such that the extension of $(M^0_{\hat{R}},\nabla_{\hat{R}},(t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$ through $m_H(q)$ is isomorphic to $(N\otimes_{R_e}\widetilde{R}_e,F^1_{R_e}\otimes_{R_e}\widetilde{R}_e,\phi_N\otimes\Phi_k,\nabla_N,(t_{H\alpha})_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$ modulo $\widetilde{I}_e(q)$, under an isomorphism $\mathcal{I}_q$ which modulo $\widetilde{I}_e(1)/\widetilde{I}_e(q)$ is defined by 1_M. Such an isomorphism $\mathcal{I}_q$ is unique as we have $\Phi_k^q(\widetilde{I}_e(1)/\widetilde{I}_e(q))=0$. The construction of $m_H(1)$ is obvious.

The passage from q to $q+1$ goes as follows. We lift $m_H(q):\hat{R}\to\widetilde{R}_e/\widetilde{I}_e(q)$ to an arbitrary $W(k)$-homomorphism $\tilde{m}_H(q+1):\hat{R}\to\widetilde{R}_e/\widetilde{I}_e(q+1)$. We endow the ideal $\widetilde{J}_e(q):=\widetilde{I}_e(q)/\widetilde{I}_e(q+1)$ of $\widetilde{R}_e/\widetilde{I}_e(q+1)$ with the trivial divided power structure (thus $\widetilde{J}_e(q)^{[l]}=0$ if $l\geq 2$). We consider an identification $\tilde{\mathcal{I}}_{q+1}$ between $(M\otimes_{W(k)}\widetilde{R}_e/\widetilde{I}_e(q+1),F^1_{q+1},\Phi'_{\tilde{m}_H(q+1)},\nabla'_{\tilde{m}_H(q+1)})$ and $(N\otimes_{R_e}\widetilde{R}_e,F^1_{R_e}\otimes_{R_e}\widetilde{R}_e,\phi_N\otimes\Phi_k,\nabla_N)$ modulo $\widetilde{I}_e(q+1)$ which modulo $\widetilde{J}_e(q)$ is $\mathcal{I}_q$. Here F^1_{q+1} is a direct summand of $M\otimes_{W(k)}\widetilde{R}_e/\widetilde{I}_e(q+1)$ that lifts $F^1 \otimes_{W(k)} \widetilde{R}_e/\widetilde{I}_e(q)$, $\Phi'_{\tilde{m}_H(q+1)}$ lifts $\Phi_{\tilde{m}_H(q)}$, and $\nabla'_{\tilde{m}_H(q+1)}$ lifts $\nabla_{\tilde{m}_H(q)}$. In the next two paragraphs we show that we can choose $\tilde{\mathcal{J}}_{q+1}$ such that we have

$$(\Phi'_{\tilde{m}_H(q+1)}, \nabla'_{\tilde{m}_H(q+1)}) = (\Phi_{\tilde{m}_H(q+1)}, \nabla_{\tilde{m}_H(q+1)}). \tag{20}$$

We first consider the case $q < e$. By a second induction on $s \in \{1, \ldots, q\}$ we show that the pulls back of $\mathcal{D}_{\hat{R}}$ and $H_{W(k)[[X]]/(X^e)}$ to $\mathrm{Spec}(W(k)[[X]]/(X^s))$ are isomorphic, under a unique isomorphism whose evaluation at the thickening $(\mathrm{Spec}(k[[X]]/(X^s)) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(W(k)[[X]]/(X^s)), \delta(p))$ is $\mathcal{J}_s$; here the $W(k)$-homomorphism $\hat{R} \to W(k)[[X]]/(X^s)$ used for the pull back of $\mathcal{D}_{\hat{R}}$ is $m_H(s)$. The case $s = 1$ holds by constructions. Due to the existence of $\mathcal{J}_{s+1}$ for $s < q-1$, the passage from s to $s+1$ follows from the fact that $\widetilde{J}_e(s)$ has a nilpotent divided power structure and from the Grothendieck–Messing deformation theory. This ends the second induction. Thus the Dieudonné crystals of the pulls back of $\mathcal{D}_{\hat{R}}$ and $H_{W(k)[[X]]/(X^e)}$ to $\mathrm{Spec}(W(k)[[X]]/(X^q))$ are canonically identified. Thus as $\widetilde{J}_e(q)$ has a nilpotent divided power structure, we can choose $\tilde{\mathcal{J}}_{q+1}$ such that (20) holds.

We next consider the case $q \geq e$. We have $\Phi_k(\widetilde{I}_e(q)) \subseteq p\widetilde{I}_e(q+1)$ and thus for $g_q \in \mathrm{Ker}(\boldsymbol{GL}_N(\widetilde{R}_e) \to \boldsymbol{GL}_N(\widetilde{R}_e/\widetilde{I}_e(q)))$ the Φ_k-linear map $g_q\Phi_N g_q^{-1}$ is of the form $h_q\Phi_N$, where $h_q \in \boldsymbol{GL}_N(\widetilde{R}_e)$ is congruent modulo $\widetilde{I}_e(q+1)$ to g_q. When g_q varies, $\Phi'_{\tilde{m}_H(q+1)}$ varies by a left multiple of it by an arbitrary element $g_q^M \in \mathrm{Ker}(\boldsymbol{GL}_M(\widetilde{R}_e/\widetilde{I}_e(q+1)) \to \boldsymbol{GL}_M(\widetilde{R}_e/\widetilde{I}_e(q)))$. We show that $\Phi'_{\tilde{m}_H(q+1)}$ is of the form

$$\tilde{g}_q\Phi_{\tilde{m}_H(q+1)}, \quad \text{where} \quad \tilde{g}_q \in \mathrm{Ker}(\boldsymbol{GL}_M(\widetilde{R}_e/\widetilde{I}_e(q+1)) \to \boldsymbol{GL}_M(\widetilde{R}_e/\widetilde{I}_e(q))).$$

As $\Phi_k(\widetilde{I}_e(q)) \subseteq \widetilde{I}_e(q+1)$ and as F^1_{q+1} and $F^1 \otimes_{W(k)} \widetilde{R}_e/\widetilde{I}_e(q+1)$ coincide modulo $\widetilde{J}_e(q)$, we have $\Phi'_{\tilde{m}_H(q+1)}(F^1 \otimes_{W(k)} \widetilde{R}_e/\widetilde{I}_e(q+1)) = \Phi'_{\tilde{m}_H(q+1)}(F^1_{q+1}) \subseteq pM \otimes_{W(k)} \widetilde{R}_e/\widetilde{I}_e(q+1)$. Thus both $\Phi'_{\tilde{m}_H(q+1)}$ and $\Phi_{\tilde{m}_H(q+1)}$ give birth to $\widetilde{R}_e/\widetilde{I}_e(q+1)$-linear isomorphisms $(M + \frac{1}{p}F^1) \otimes_{W(k)} {}_\sigma\widetilde{R}_e/\widetilde{I}_e(q+1) \xrightarrow{\sim} M \otimes_{W(k)} \widetilde{R}_e/\widetilde{I}_e(q+1)$. Thus indeed $\Phi'_{\tilde{m}_H(q+1)}$ is of the form $\tilde{g}_q\Phi_{\tilde{m}_H(q+1)}$, where $\tilde{g}_q \in \boldsymbol{GL}_M(\widetilde{R}_e/\widetilde{I}_e(q+1))$. As $\Phi'_{\tilde{m}_H(q+1)}$ and $\Phi_{\tilde{m}_H(q+1)}$ coincide modulo $\widetilde{J}_e(q)$, $\tilde{g}_q$ modulo $\widetilde{J}_e(q)$ is the identity element. We choose g_q such that $g_q^M = \tilde{g}_q^{-1}$. By replacing $(N \otimes_{R_e} \widetilde{R}_e, F^1_{R_e} \otimes_{R_e} \widetilde{R}_e, \phi_N \otimes \Phi_k, \nabla_N, (t_{H\alpha})_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$ with its conjugate under g_q, we can choose $\tilde{\mathcal{J}}_{q+1}$ such that $\Phi'_{\tilde{m}_H(q+1)} = \Phi_{\tilde{m}_H(q+1)}$. Thus we also have $\nabla'_{\tilde{m}_H(q+1)} = \nabla_{\tilde{m}_H(q+1)}$ (cf. Subsubsection 5.1.1) and therefore (20) holds.

From now on we take $\tilde{\mathcal{J}}_{q+1}$ such that (20) holds. As $\tilde{\mathcal{J}}_{q+1}$ lifts $\mathcal{J}_q$ and as no element of $\mathcal{T}(M)[\frac{1}{p}] \otimes_{B(k)} \widetilde{J}_e(q)[\frac{1}{p}]$ is fixed by $\Phi_{\tilde{m}_H(q+1)}$, under the identification $\tilde{\mathcal{J}}_{q+1}^{-1}$ the image of $t_{H\alpha}$ in $\mathcal{T}(N \otimes_{R_e} \widetilde{R}_e/\widetilde{I}_e(q+1)[\frac{1}{p}])$ gets identified with the tensor $t_\alpha \in \mathcal{T}(M \otimes_{W(k)} \widetilde{R}_e/\widetilde{I}_e(q+1)[\frac{1}{p}])$ (here $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}$). Thus we have

$$t_\alpha \in F^0_{q+1}(\mathcal{T}(M \otimes_{W(k)} \widetilde{R}_e/\widetilde{I}_e(q+1)))[\frac{1}{p}],$$

where $(F^i_{q+1}(\mathcal{T}(M \otimes_{W(k)} \widetilde{R}_e/\widetilde{I}_e(q+1))))_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is the filtration of $\mathcal{T}(M \otimes_{W(k)} \widetilde{R}_e/\widetilde{I}_e(q+1))$ defined by F^1_{q+1}. Let $\mathcal{T}(M) = \oplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \tilde{F}^i(\mathcal{T}(M))$ be as in Subsection 2.7. We have $t_\alpha \in$

$\tilde{F}^0(\mathcal{T}(M))[\frac{1}{p}]$ for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}$ and the filtration of $\mathcal{T}(M)$ defined by F^1 is $(F^i(\mathcal{T}(M)))_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$, where $F^i(\mathcal{T}(M)) := \oplus_{s=i}^{\infty}\tilde{F}^s(\mathcal{T}(M))$. Let $\tilde{F}^{-1}(\mathrm{End}_{W(k)}(M))$, U_{big}, and U be as in Subsection 2.7.

We identify 1_M with $1_{M\otimes_{W(k)}\widetilde{R}_e/\widetilde{I}_e(q+1)}$. Let $u_{q+1} \in \tilde{F}^{-1}(\mathrm{End}_{W(k)}(M)) \otimes_{W(k)} \widetilde{J}_e(q)$ be the unique element such that $(1_M + u_{q+1})(F^1 \otimes_{W(k)} \widetilde{R}_e/\widetilde{I}_e(q+1)) = F^1_{q+1}$. We have $(1_M - u_{q+1})(t_\alpha) \in F^0(\mathcal{T}(M))[\frac{1}{p}] \otimes_{B(k)} \widetilde{R}_e/\widetilde{I}_e(q+1)[\frac{1}{p}]$. We view $\mathcal{T}(M)$ as a module over $\mathrm{End}_{W(k)}(M)$ and thus also over $\mathrm{Lie}(U)$. As $u_{q+1} \in \tilde{F}^{-1}(\mathcal{T}(M))$ and $t_\alpha \in \tilde{F}^0(\mathcal{T}(M))[\frac{1}{p}]$, the component of $(1_M - u_{q+1})(t_\alpha)$ in $\tilde{F}^{-1}(\mathcal{T}(M))[\frac{1}{p}] \otimes_{B(k)} \widetilde{R}_e/\widetilde{I}_e(q+1)[\frac{1}{p}]$ is $-u_{q+1}(t_\alpha)$. But as $(1_M - u_{q+1})(t_\alpha) \in F^0(\mathcal{T}(M))[\frac{1}{p}] \otimes_{B(k)} \widetilde{R}_e/\widetilde{I}_e(q+1)[\frac{1}{p}]$, the mentioned component is 0. Thus u_{q+1} annihilates t_α for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}$. But $\mathrm{Lie}(G_{B(k)})$ is the Lie subalgebra of $\mathrm{End}_{B(k)}(M[\frac{1}{p}])$ that centralizes t_α for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}$. Thus, as $\widetilde{J}_e(q)$ is a free $W(k)$-module of rank 1, we have

$$u_{q+1} \in \mathrm{Lie}(G_{B(k)})\otimes_{B(k)}\widetilde{R}_e/\widetilde{I}_e(q+1)[\frac{1}{p}]\cap\tilde{F}^{-1}(\mathrm{End}_{W(k)}(M))\otimes_{W(k)}\widetilde{J}_e(q) = \mathrm{Lie}(U)\otimes_{W(k)}\widetilde{J}_e(q).$$

We identify $\mathrm{Hom}_{W(k)}(F^1, F^0)$ with $\mathrm{End}_{W(k)}(M)/\mathrm{End}_{W(k)}(M)\cap F^0(\mathcal{T}(M))$ and with $\mathrm{Lie}(U_{\mathrm{big}})$. As $\nabla_{\hat{R}}$ respects the G-action (see Subsection 3.3 1)), the image of the Kodaira–Spencer map $\mathcal{K}_{\hat{R}}$ of $\nabla_{\hat{R}}$ is contained in the image of $(\mathrm{Lie}(G_{B(k)})\cap\mathrm{End}_{W(k)}(M))\otimes_{W(k)}\hat{R}$ in $\mathrm{Hom}_{W(k)}(F^1, F^0)\otimes_{W(k)}\hat{R}$. Thus $\mathrm{Im}(\mathcal{K}_{\hat{R}})$ is contained in the direct summand $\mathrm{Lie}(U)\otimes_{W(k)} \hat{R}$ of $\mathrm{Hom}_{W(k)}(F^1, F^0) \otimes_{W(k)} \hat{R}$. We recall that we can identify $\hat{R} = W(k)[[z_1, \ldots , z_d]]$ in such a way that $\Phi_{\hat{R}}(z_l) = z_l^p$ (see Subsections 3.1 and 3.3 1)). Also the $\Phi_{\hat{R}}$-linear map $\Phi(\hat{R})^0$ of $M \otimes_{W(k)} \hat{R}$ (see Subsubsection 3.1.2 (a)) is $g_{\mathrm{univ}}(\phi\otimes\Phi_{\hat{R}})$, where g_{univ} is the universal element g_{univ} of $G'(\hat{R})$. Thus $\nabla_{\hat{R}}$ modulo $(p, (z_1, z_2, \ldots , z_d)^{p-1})$ is $\delta_0 - g_{\mathrm{univ}}^{-1} dg_{\mathrm{univ}}$ modulo $(p, (z_1, z_2, \ldots , z_d)^{p-1})$ (this can be read out from (11); see Subsubsection 3.1.2 (b) for δ_0). As U is a closed subgroup scheme of G', we get that the $\hat{R}$-submodule $\mathrm{Im}(\mathcal{K}_{\hat{R}})$ of $\mathrm{Lie}(U) \otimes_{W(k)} \hat{R}$ surjects onto $\mathrm{Lie}(U) \otimes_{W(k)} k$. Therefore $\mathrm{Im}(\mathcal{K}_{\hat{R}}) = \mathrm{Lie}(U) \otimes_{W(k)} \hat{R}$.

As $u_{q+1} \in \mathrm{Lie}(U)\otimes_{W(k)} \widetilde{J}_e(q)$ we can write $u_{q+1} = \sum_{l=1}^{d} x_l\mathcal{K}_{\hat{R}}(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_l})$, where $x_l \in \widetilde{J}_e(q)$ and where we denote also by $\mathcal{K}_{\hat{R}}(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_l})$ its reduction modulo $\widetilde{I}_e(q+1)$. Let $m_H(q+1) : \hat{R} \to \widetilde{R}_e/\widetilde{I}_e(q+1)$ be the $W(k)$-homomorphism that takes z_l to $\tilde{m}_H(q+1)(z_l)-x_l$. By replacing $\tilde{m}_H(q+1)$ with $m_H(q+1)$, F^1_{q+1} gets replaced by $(1_M - u_{q+1})(F^1_{q+1}) = F^1 \otimes_{W(k)} \widetilde{R}_e/\widetilde{I}_e(q+1)$. Thus $\mathcal{I}_{q+1}$ is defined by the identification of $(M \otimes_{W(k)} \widetilde{R}_e/\widetilde{I}_e(q+1), F^1 \otimes_{W(k)} \widetilde{R}_e/\widetilde{I}_e(q+1), \Phi_{m_H(q+1)}, \nabla_{m_H(q+1)}, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$ with $(N \otimes_{R_e} \widetilde{R}_e, F^1_{R_e} \otimes_{R_e} \widetilde{R}_e, \phi_N \otimes \Phi_k, \nabla_N, (t_{H\alpha})_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$ modulo $\widetilde{I}_e(q+1)$. This ends the induction.

Let $m_H : \hat{R} \to \tilde{R}_e$ be such that it lifts all $m_H(q)$'s. The extension of $(M^0_{\hat{R}}, \nabla_{\hat{R}}, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$ through m_H is isomorphic to $(N \otimes_{R_e} \widetilde{R}_e, F^1_{R_e} \otimes_{R_e} \widetilde{R}_e, \phi_N \otimes \Phi_k, \nabla_N, (t_{H\alpha})_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$, under an isomorphism which modulo $\widetilde{I}_e(q)$ is $\mathcal{I}_q$ for all $q \in \mathbb{N}$. □

5.3. Theorem. *Suppose that $k = \bar{k}$, that $p > 2$, and that the condition 5.1.4 (L) holds. Then there exists an isomorphism $\rho_{R_e} : (N, (t_{H\alpha})_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} (H^1(H) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} R_e, (v_{H\alpha})_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$. Thus the schematic closure of $G_{R_e[\frac{1}{p}]}$ in $\boldsymbol{GL}_N$ is a flat, closed subgroup scheme of $\boldsymbol{GL}_N$ that is isomorphic to $G \times_{\mathrm{Spec}(W(k))} \mathrm{Spec}(R_e)$.*

Proof: Let D be as in the proof of Theorem 5.2. As $p > 2$, let $\mathcal{D}$ be as in Theorem 3.4.1 (b). Let $(\mathcal{V}_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}}$ be as in Subsubsection 3.4.2. Let $(v_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}}$ be as in the end of Subsubsection 2.2.2. Let $m_H : Q \to \widetilde{R}_e$ be as in Theorem 5.2. Let H_1 be the p-divisible group over $\mathrm{Spec}(V)$ that is the pull back of $\mathcal{D}$ via the composite morphism $\mathrm{Spec}(V) = \mathrm{Spec}(\widetilde{R}_e/\widetilde{I}_e(1)) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(\widetilde{R}_e) \to \mathrm{Spec}(Q)$ defined naturally by m_H. Let the quadruple $(N_1, \Phi_{N_1}, \nabla_{N_1}, (t_{H_1\alpha})_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$ be the analogue of the quadruple $(N, \Phi_N, \nabla_N, (t_{H\alpha})_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$ but for H_1 and the extension of $(t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}}$ via the $W(k)$-homomorphism $Q \to V$ defined by m_H. We can identify $(N_1, (t_{H_1\alpha})_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}}) = (M \otimes_{W(k)} R_e, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$, cf. also Subsubsection 5.1.6.

Let $\tilde{f} : (N_1 \otimes_{R_e} \widetilde{R}_e, \Phi_{N_1} \otimes \Phi_k, \nabla_{N_1}, (t_{H_1\alpha})_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} (N \otimes_{R_e} \widetilde{R}_e, \Phi_N \otimes \Phi_k, \nabla_N, (t_{H\alpha})_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$ be an isomorphism that takes the Hodge filtration $F^1_{1\widetilde{R}_e}$ of $N_1 \otimes_{R_e} \widetilde{R}_e$ defined by $m_H^*(\mathcal{D})$ onto $F^1_{R_e} \otimes_{R_e} \widetilde{R}_e$, cf. Theorem 5.2. Let Φ_{N_1N} be the Φ_k-linear endomorphism of $\mathrm{Hom}_{R_e}(N_1, N)[\frac{1}{p}]$ such that for $x \in \mathrm{Hom}_{R_e}(N_1, N)$ and $y \in N_1$ we have $\Phi_{N_1N}(x)(\Phi_{N_1}(y)) = \Phi_N(x(y)) \in N$. As $\Phi_k(\widetilde{R}_e) \subseteq R_e$ (see Subsection 2.2) we get that

$$\tilde{f} = (\Phi_{N_1N} \otimes \Phi_k)(\tilde{f}) \in (\mathrm{Hom}_{R_e}(N_1, N) \otimes_{R_e} \widetilde{R}_e) \cap \mathrm{Hom}_{R_e}(N_1, N)[\frac{1}{p}] = \mathrm{Hom}_{R_e}(N_1, N).$$

Thus $\tilde{f}$ is the extension to $\widetilde{R}_e$ of an isomorphism f between $(N_1, \Phi_{N_1}, \nabla_{N_1}, (t_{H_1\alpha})_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$ and $(N, \Phi_N, \nabla_N, (t_{H\alpha})_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$. As f respects also the Verschiebung maps (of Φ_{N_1} and Φ_N), f defines naturally an isomorphism $f_{V/pV} : \mathbb{D}(H_{1V/pV}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{D}(H_{V/pV})$.

As $V/pV = k[X]/(X^e)$, $H_{V/pV}$ is uniquely determined by its Dieudonné crystal $\mathbb{D}(H_{V/pV})$ (cf. [BM, Rm. 4.3.2 (i)]). Thus there exists a unique isomorphism $h_{V/pV} : H_{1V/pV} \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{V/pV}$ such that $\mathbb{D}(h_{V/pV}) = f_{V/pV}$. As $p > 2$ and $\tilde{f}(F^1_{1\widetilde{R}_e}) = F^1_{R_e} \otimes_{R_e} \widetilde{R}_e$, the isomorphism $h_{V/pV}$ lifts naturally to an isomorphism $h_V : H_1 \xrightarrow{\sim} H$ (cf. Grothendieck–Messing deformation theory).

Thus we can identify $(N, (t_{H\alpha})_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$ with $(N_1, (t_{H_1\alpha})_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}}) = (M \otimes_{W(k)} R_e, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$ and $(H^1(H), (v_{H\alpha})_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$ with $(H^1(\mathcal{D}_{K_Q}), (\mathcal{V}_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}}) = (H^1(D), (v_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$. But we have $(M, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} (H^1(D) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(k), (v_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$, cf. the Main Theorem applied to $(D, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$. From the last two sentences we get the first part of the Theorem. The second part of the Theorem is a direct consequence of the first part. □

5.4. Remark. We refer to Theorem 5.2 with $p > 2$. Let $n_H : Q \to R_e$ be a $W(k)$-homomorphism such that following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{tikzcd}
Q \arrow[r, "n_H"] \arrow[d, "m_H"'] & R_e \arrow[d, "e_V"] \\
\widetilde{R}_e \arrow[r, "\tilde{e}_V"] & V;
\end{tikzcd}$$

here m_H is as in Theorem 5.2 and e_V and $\tilde{e}_V$ are as in Subsection 2.2. Let H_{R_e} be the pull back of $\mathcal{D}$ (of Theorem 3.4.1 (b)) via the morphism $\mathrm{Spec}(R_e) \to \mathrm{Spec}(Q)$ defined by n_H. Let $(F^i_0(\mathcal{T}(N)))_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$ be the filtration of $\mathcal{T}(N)$ defined by the direct summand of N that is the Hodge filtration of H_{R_e}. The p-divisible group H_{R_e} over $\mathrm{Spec}(R_e)$ lifts H, cf. proof of

Theorem 5.3 and the commutativity of the above diagram. For $\alpha \in \mathfrak{J}$, the tensor $t_{H\alpha}$ is the extension of $t_\alpha \in F^0(\mathcal{T}(M)) \otimes_{W(k)} Q[\frac{1}{p}]$ via n_H and therefore we have $t_{H\alpha} \in F^0_0(\mathcal{T}(N))[\frac{1}{p}]$. Thus indeed the condition 5.1.4 (L) is for $p > 2$ a sufficient condition to lift $(H, (t_{H\alpha})_{\alpha\in\mathfrak{J}})$ to an analogue pair $(H_{R_e}, (t_{H\alpha})_{\alpha\in\mathfrak{J}})$ over $\mathrm{Spec}(R_e)$.

5.5. Counterexample. Suppose that $e > 1$, that $k = \bar{k}$, and that there exists an embedding $V \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$. Let A_V be an abelian scheme over A_V which has complex multiplication and relative dimension d. Let A be the special fibre of A_V. We consider a semisimple, commutative $\mathbb{Q}$–subalgebra B of $\mathrm{End}(A_V) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ of dimension $2d$. There exist examples in which $d = 1$, A is a supersingular elliptic curve, $B := \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-p})$, and $e = 2$.

As B is a products of number fields, we can speak about the ring of integers O_B of B. Let $\bar{O}_B$ be the largest subring of O_B such that A_V has complex multiplication by $\bar{O}_B$. By replacing A_V with an abelian scheme isogenous to it, we can assume that $\bar{O}_B = \mathbb{Z} + pO_B$ and that the $\bar{O}_B \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_p$-module $H^1(D)$ is isomorphic to $\bar{O}_B \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_p$ (this operation might enlarge V and e). Let $\{t_{H\alpha} | \alpha \in \mathfrak{J}\}$ be the set of tensors of $\mathcal{T}(N)[\frac{1}{p}]$ that are crystalline realizations of Hodge cycles on the generic fibre of A_V. Let $G^{\acute{e}t}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ be the subgroup of $\boldsymbol{GL}_{H^1(H)[\frac{1}{p}]}$ that fixes $v_{H\alpha}$ for all $\alpha \in \mathfrak{J}$. The group schemes $G_{B(k)}$, $G_{R_e[\frac{1}{p}]}$, and $G^{\acute{e}t}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ are forms of the Mumford–Tate group of $A_V \times_{\mathrm{Spec}(V)} \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{C})$ (see [De2, Sect. 3]) and therefore (as $A_V \times_{\mathrm{Spec}(V)} \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{C})$ has complex multiplication) are tori. The set $\{t_{H\alpha} | \alpha \in \mathfrak{J}\}$ includes the endomorphisms of $N[\frac{1}{p}]$ that are crystalline realizations of elements of $\bar{O}_B$. Thus $\bar{O}_B \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_p$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_p$-subalgebra of $\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(H^1(H))$ which as a $\mathbb{Z}_p$-submodule is a direct summand.

(a) We show that the assumption that either the condition 5.1.3 (i) or the condition 5.1.3 (ii) holds leads to a contradiction. We can assume that the condition 5.1.3 (ii) holds i.e., there exists an isomorphism $\rho : (M, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathfrak{J}}) \overset{\sim}{\to} (H^1(H) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(k), (v_{H\alpha})_{\alpha\in\mathfrak{J}})$. We write $\rho\phi\rho^{-1} = t(1_{H^1(H)} \otimes \sigma)$, where $t \in G^{\acute{e}t}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(B(k))$. Thus t is an endomorphism of $H^1(H) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(k)$ whose Hodge slopes are 1 and 0 with the same multiplicity d and which centralizes $\bar{O}_B$. Thus we have $t \in \bar{O}_B \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} W(k) = W(k)1_M + pO_B \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} W(k)$ and $\det(t) \in p^d\mathbb{G}_m(W(k))$. But as the $\bar{O}_B \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_p$-module $H^1(D)$ is isomorphic to $\bar{O}_B \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_p$, the determinant of t is either a unit or divisible by p^{2d}. Contradiction.

(b) We assume that $d = 1$ and that the elliptic curve A is supersingular. Then G is the group scheme of invertible elements of the $W(k)$-algebra $\mathrm{End}(M) \cap \mathrm{Lie}(G_{B(k)})$ and thus it is a smooth, affine group scheme over $W(k)$. We look at the $\bar{O}_B$-module M. The element $X := p\sqrt{-p}$ of $\bar{O}_B$ is an endomorphism of (M, ϕ) such that $X^2 = -p^3$. Using a $W(k)$-basis $\{e_1, e_2\}$ for M such that $\phi(e_1) = e_2$ and $\phi(e_2) = pe_1$, it is easy to see that the endomorphism X of (M, ϕ) must be divisible by p. Therefore we have inclusions $\bar{O}_B \hookrightarrow O_B \hookrightarrow \mathrm{End}(M, \phi)$. As $O_B \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} W(k)$ is a discrete valuation ring, the $O_B \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} W(k)$-module M is free of rank 1. Thus the $\bar{O}_B$-module M/pM is isomorphic to $O_B/pO_B \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} k$. But we have functorial identifications $M/pM = H^1_{\mathrm{dR}}(H/V) \otimes_V k = (N/F^1(R_e)N) \otimes_V k = N \otimes_{R_e} k$. Thus the $\bar{O}_B$-module $(N/F^1(R_e)N) \otimes_V k$ is isomorphic to $O_B/pO_B \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} k$ and therefore it is not isomorphic to the $\bar{O}_B$-module $H^1(H) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} k$. Thus none of the three conditions 5.1.3 (i) to (iii) holds.

Acknowledgment. We would like to thank FIM of ETH of Zürich, University of California at Berkeley, University of Utah, University of Arizona, and Binghamton University for providing us with good conditions in which to write this paper. We would like to thank Faltings for some comments and a suggestion that led to a shorter Subsection 4.2. We would also like to thank Deligne for many valuable comments and suggestions that led to the addition of Corollary 1.4 and to the enlargement of Subsection 5.5. We are over and over obliged to Milne's conjecture of 1995 and to [Fa2]: in our work on integral aspects of Shimura varieties they have been by far the most inspiring moments (things). This research was partially supported by the NSF grant DMF 97-05376.

References

[An] Y. André, *On the Shafarevich and Tate conjectures for hyperkähler varieties,* Math. Ann. **305** (1996), no. 2, pp. 205–248.

[Be] P. Berthelot, *Cohomologie cristalline des schémas de caractéristique* $p > 0$, Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. **407**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1974.

[Bo] A. Borel, *Linear algebraic groups,* Grad. Texts in Math., Vol. **126**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991.

[BBM] P. Berthelot, L. Breen, and W. Messing, *Théorie de Dieudonné crystalline II,* Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. **930**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1982.

[BLR] S. Bosch, W. Lütkebohmert, and M. Raynaud, *Néron models,* Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3). [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas (3)], Vol. **21**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1990.

[BM] P. Berthelot and W. Messing, *Théorie de Dieudonné crystalline III. Théorèmes d'équivalence et de pleine fidélité,* The Grothendieck Festschrift, Vol. **I**, pp. 173–247, Progr. Math., Vol. **86**, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1990.

[dJ] J. de Jong, *Crystalline Dieudonné module theory via formal and rigid geometry,* Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math., Vol. **82**, pp. 5–96, 1995.

[De1] P. Deligne, *Cristaux ordinaires et coordonées canoniques,* Algebraic surfaces (Orsay, 1976–78), Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. **868**, pp. 80–137, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1981.

[De2] P. Deligne, *Hodge cycles on abelian varieties,* Hodge cycles, motives, and Shimura varieties, Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. **900**, pp. 9–100, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1982.

[Dem] M. Demazure, *Lectures on p-divisible groups,* Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. **302**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1972.

[DG] M. Demazure, A. Grothendieck, et al., *Schémas en groupes, Vol.* **II**, Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. **152**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1970.

[Fa1] G. Faltings, *Crystalline cohomology and p-adic Galois representations,* Algebraic analysis, geometry, and number theory, pp. 25–79, Johns Hopkins Univ. Press, Baltimore, MD, 1989.

[Fa2] G. Faltings, *Integral crystalline cohomology over very ramified valuation rings,* J. of Amer. Math. Soc. **12** (1999), no. 1, pp. 117–144.

[Fa3] G. Faltings, *Almost étale extensions,* J. Astérisque **279**, pp. 185–270, Soc. Math. de France, Paris, 2002.

[Fo1] J.-M. Fontaine, *Groupes p-divisibles sur les corps locaux,* J. Astérisque **47/48**, Soc. Math. de France, Paris, 1977.

[Fo2] J.-M. Fontaine, *Le corps des périodes p-adiques,* Périodes p-adiques (Bures-sur-Yvette, 1988), J. Astérisque **223**, pp. 59–101, Soc. Math. de France, Paris, 1994.

[Fo3] J.-M. Fontaine, *Représentations p-adiques semi-stables,* Périodes p-adiques (Bures-sur-Yvette, 1988), J. Astérisque **223**, pp. 113–185, Soc. Math. de France, Paris, 1994.

[FC] G. Faltings and C.-L. Chai, *Degeneration of abelian varieties,* Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3). [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas (3)], Vol. **22**, Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg, 1990.

[FL] J.-M. Fontaine and G. Laffaille, *Construction de représentations p-adiques,* Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. **15** (1982), no. 4, pp. 547–608.

[Go] D. Goss, *Basic structures of function field arithmetic,* Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998.

[Gr] A. Grothendieck et al., *Revêtements étales et groupe fondamental,* Séminaire de géométrie algébrique du Bois Marie 1960-61 (SGA 1), Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. **224**, Springer-Verlag, 1971.

[Ha] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic geometry,* Grad. Texts in Math., Vol. **52**, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1977.

[Ko] R. E. Kottwitz, *Points on some Shimura Varieties over finite fields,* J. of Amer. Math. Soc. **5** (1992), no. 2, pp. 373–444.

[Il] L. Illusie, *Déformations des groupes de Barsotti–Tate (d'après A. Grothendieck),* Seminar on arithmetic bundles: the Mordell conjecture (Paris, 1983/84), pp. 151–198, J. Astérisque **127**, Soc. Math. de France, Paris, 1985.

[La] G. Laffaille, *Groupes p-divisibles et modules filtrés: le cas peu ramifié,* Bull. Soc. Math. France **108** (1980), no. 2, pp. 187–206.

[Ly] G. Lyubeznik, *F-modules: applications to local cohomology and $\mathcal{D}$-modules in characteristic $p > 0$,* J. reine angew. Math. **491** (1997), pp. 65–130.

[LR] R. Langlands and M. Rapoport, *Shimuravarietäten und Gerben,* J. reine angew. Math. **378** (1987), pp. 113–220.

[Ma] H. Matsumura, *Commutative algebra. Second edition,* The Benjamin/Cummings Publ. Co., 1980.

[Me] W. Messing, *The crystals associated to Barsotti–Tate groups: with applications to abelian schemes,* Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. **264**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1972.

[Mi1] J. S. Milne, *Étale cohomology,* Princeton Mathematical series, Vol. **33**, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1980.

[Mi2] J. S. Milne, *The points on a Shimura variety modulo a prime of good reduction,* The Zeta functions of Picard modular surfaces, pp. 153–255, Univ. Montréal Press, Montreal, QC, 1992.

[Mi3] J. S. Milne, *Shimura varieties and motives,* Motives (Seattle, WA, 1991), pp. 447–523, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Vol. **55**, Part 2, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1994.

[Mi4] J. S. Milne, *Points on Shimura varieties over finite fields: the conjecture of Langlands and Rapoport,* work in progress, http://arxiv.org/abs/0707.3173.

[Ra1] M. Raynaud, *Anneaux Locaux Henséliens,* Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. **169**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1970.

[Ra2] M. Raynaud, *Schémas en groupes de type* $(p, \ldots, p)$, Bull. Soc. Math. de France **102** (1974), pp. 241–280.

[Va1] A. Vasiu, *Integral canonical models for Shimura varieties of preabelian type,* Asian J. Math. **3** (1999), no. 2, pp. 401–518.

[Va2] A. Vasiu, *A purity theorem for abelian schemes,* Michigan Math. J. **52** (2004), no. 1, pp. 71–81.

[Va3] A. Vasiu, *Geometry of Shimura varieties of Hodge type over finite fields,* Proceedings of the NATO Advanced Study Institute on Higher dimensional geometry over finite fields, Göttingen, Germany, June 25 - July 06 2007, 197–243, IOS Press.

[Va4] A. Vasiu, *Manin problems for Shimura varieties of Hodge type,* math.NT/0209410.

[Va5] A. Vasiu, *Generalized Serre–Tate ordinary theory,* math.AG/0208216.

[Va6] A. Vasiu, *CM-lifts of Isogeny Classes of Shimura F-crystals over Finite Fields,* math.NT/0304128.

[Va7] A. Vasiu, *Good reductions of Shimura varieties of hodge type in arbitrary unramified mixed characteristic, Parts I and II,* math 0707.1668 and math 0712.1572.

[Va8] A. Vasiu, *On the Tate and Langlands–Rapoport conjecture for special fibres of integral canonical models of Shimura varieties of abelian type*, manuscript 2008.

[Wi] J.-P. Wintenberger, *Un scindage de la filtration de Hodge pour certaines variétés algébriques sur les corps locaux,* Ann. of Math. (2) **119** (1984), no. 3, pp. 511–548.

Adrian Vasiu
Department of Mathematical Sciences, Binghamton University
Binghamton, New York 13902-6000, U.S.A.
e-mail: adrian@math.binghamton.edu fax: 1-607-777-2450